Proposals for development involving alterations or additions to a protected structure or its setting will only be permitted where it can be clearly demonstrated that;

a) Development will be compatible with and will not detract from the special character of the structure and its setting; and
b) Development will complement and reflect the design and character of contiguous buildings and the surrounding area; and

c) Features of architectural or historic interest and the historic form and structural integrity of the structure will be retained.

Proposals for development will not be permitted that compromise the setting of protected structure(s) or result in the material alteration or demolition of the structure(s) except where;

a) The structure is not capable of repair; and
b) There is no compatible or viable alternative use for the structure.

PROTECTED STRUCTURES OBJECTIVES:

It is an objective to seek the protection of all structures within the town that are of special architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural, scientific, social or technical interest. Such structures include but are not exclusive to the Record of Protected Structures hereunder.

Record of Protected Structures List

The Record of Protected Structures list is a list of the structures that constitute the Cobh Town Record of Protected Structures. It is contained in Appendix 1. The location of the structures is indicated on Map 5a-5h of the Plan.

All of the Protected Structures listed in the Cobh Development Plan (CTC 2005) should be suitably protected (if necessary) during construction works. CH26 incorporates all of the information as detailed in the Cobh Development Plan.
5. Constraints Inventory, Predicted Impacts & Suggested Mitigation

The constraints inventory below is tabularised as per the NRA published guidelines on constraints studies for both archaeological and architectural heritage (NRA n.d.; NRA n.d.a). All constraints are also shown on relevant mapping provided in this report.

In addition to the published information of the archaeological and architectural heritage of the study area, the constraints inventory below also includes potential archaeological and cultural heritage sites that were identified during the archaeological walkover. Unrecorded or 'new' monuments and structures have also been provided here as recorded during the walkover and the analysis of the aerial photo. High-resolution digital aerial photos (orthophotos) were examined for the purpose of this archaeological assessment. Nothing of an archaeological nature was noted on the photo. Much of the green field areas for pipelines were inaccessible at the time of writing and only aerial photos were used. The WWTP site was inspected. The red pipelines (along roads) were wind-screen surveyed and portions walked.

For the purposes of the Constraints assessment and this report, the constraints have been allocated a unique code "CH" in order to clearly identify them in the report and the accompanying mapping. All other pertinent codes are provided in the table (for instance RMP numbers, inventory numbers and Protected Structure numbers) in order to aid any cross-referencing that may be required.
5.1 Archaeological Monuments Constraints Inventory (figs 19-23; Table 5)
The following are the archaeological monuments in the vicinity of the study area, which are likely to be impacted by the proposed development. There is a description of each monument as they appear in the Archaeological Inventory of County Cork - Volume II: East and South Cork (Power 1994). Where possible these sites were visited in the field. Due to the scale of the development, only those recorded monuments whose ZAP are predicted to be impacted by the development have been included as CH sites. Other pertinent information has been included in the description where available.

Many of the pipes proposed to be positioned through green field locations and in private property. Aerial photos were consulted and where possible were viewed from roads or gateways. Nothing of an archaeological nature was noted on these. However, there remains the potential for archaeological features to be present at a very low above ground register, which may not manifest on aerial photos. There remains the possibility that subsurface unrecorded archaeological remains may be impacted during the positioning of these pipes. To mitigate against this, it is suggested that ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING takes place at all of the green field pipe locations.

The WWTP proposed site was inspected and field walked (see above). Nothing new of an archaeological nature was noted as being extant during the inspection. The proposed site is adjacent to two recorded archaeological monuments (CH9 & CH10). It is suggested that this site, due to its scale of the proposed development that the WWTP site be ARCHAEOLOGICAL TEST TRENCHED in advance of any development there. It is also proposed (see below) that a suitable buffer zone around CH9 & CH10 be instated, within which no development should be undertaken (this should include pipe routes).
The recorded archaeological monuments predicted to be impacted by the proposed development are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraint Study Code</th>
<th>Reference Nos</th>
<th>Legal Status</th>
<th>Townland</th>
<th>Monument Type</th>
<th>National Grid Reference</th>
<th>Information Source</th>
<th>Information Detail</th>
<th>Type of Impact Predicted</th>
<th>Suggested Mitigation Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH1</td>
<td>CO087-006</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Rathanker</td>
<td>Ringfort</td>
<td>17590/06740</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Indicated. In pasture, on south-facing slope. Roughly circular area (35.3m n-s; 32.5m E-W) defined by heavily overgrown earthen bank (H1.85m) SE to SW; low rise elsewhere, with slight depression externally to NW. Break in low rise to NW and E. Possible souterrain (5140) in interior.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2</td>
<td>CO087-013</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Ballywilliam</td>
<td>Holy Well</td>
<td>18127/06719</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Indicated 'Tubberlaonnam' Roadside. Enclosed by rectangular stone wall; roofed with slab. Surrounding area wet; overgrown; no longer in holy use.</td>
<td>Possible inadvertent damage when pipe trench is dug, due to proximity of monument to roadside</td>
<td>Securely fence off during pipe works (remove fencing when project complete). Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3</td>
<td>CO087-024</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Parkgarriff</td>
<td>Ringfort</td>
<td>17599/06616</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Indicated. In pasture, on south-facing slope. Shown on 1842 OS map as circular enclosure (diam. c. 40m); as slight curve in NNW-SSE field fence on 1902 and 1934 OS maps. Modern bungalow now occupies site. Field fence (h 1.2m) SW to</td>
<td>None. Monument is no longer extant and obscured by modern construction</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>CO087-025---Inventory 5186</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Ballyfoulo</td>
<td>Holy Well</td>
<td>17567/06574</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>NW, possibly retains original bank. Fosse (d 0.95m) outside bank.</td>
<td>Indicated 'Töbernödy' in wooded area, beside road. Site not located. According to Hurse (1926, 90) well closed but 'rounds were made and votive gifts offered' and 'the old thorn bush or tree remains'.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH5</td>
<td>CO087-026---Inventory 6155</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Monkstown (Castlefarm)</td>
<td>Lime Kiln</td>
<td>17626/06533</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Indicated. Built against natural slope. Front south-facing; heavily overgrown with arched recess (wth 2.6m; D 2.7m), front of recess partially infilled with rubble, stoking hole evident. Funnel infilled; rear of kiln collapsed.</td>
<td>Indirect: Possible inadvertent damage when pipe trench is dug, due to proximity of monument to roadside</td>
<td>Securely fence off during pipe works (remove fencing when project complete). Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH6</td>
<td>CO087-033---Inventory 6293</td>
<td>Recorded monument and Protected Structure 00579</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>17307/06249</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Indicated. Late 18th/early 19th century flour mill, in Carrigaline town. Shown as L-shaped structure on 1842 OS map. Rectangular 4-storey mill (long axis N-S), now used as a store. Roof double-half-hipped. Wooden floor intact; also remains of hoist system and winnower. Courtyard to North enclosed on three sides by additional buildings.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument. Monument already renovated for modern use.</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH7</td>
<td>CO087-036-01</td>
<td>Recorded monument and Protected Structure</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>Graveyard Church Church of Ireland Church</td>
<td>17414/06259 17415/06259 17419/06259</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>On north shore Owenboy estuary, on south side of road; rectangular area (c. 50m E-W; c. 80m N-S) enclosed by stone wall; still in use, recent extension on</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument. Monument</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| CH8 | CO087-036-03 | 00576 | Shanbally | 17555/06461 | RMP Inventory | Indicated. In quarry, built against natural slope. Arched recess (H 2.4m; W 1.98m; D 3m), sloping slabs to rear, stoking hole evident; keystone inscribed 'G.P.B. 1837. Stone-lined funnel almost completely infilled. Not located during this survey (no access and

west side. Inscribed headstones date from 1690, also a number of chest tombs; large gabled burial vault SE of church. Facing entrance to graveyard, SW of church, altar tomb of Lady Susanna Newenham, date 1754, set in vaulted shelter; burial place of Newenham family of Coolmore house. At centre, St. Mary’s C of I church; nave and chancel with spired tower at west end; built in new-Gothic style in 1823 to design of Pain brothers, brass tablet above door. On site of ancient parish church of Carrigaline, described in 1700 as 'above 70foot long... well slated and weil furnished with seats' (Lunham 1909, 169-70); O’Early notes 'detached stone' bearing inscription 'this church was rebuilt in the year of our savior Chrst, 1723'; Smith (1750, vo. I 208) described it as 'in decent order'; no visible surface trace. Font dated 1637 inside church.

still in use as graveyard.

| CO087-038--- | Recorded monument | Lime Kiln | 17555/06461 | RMP Inventory | Indirect; pipe impacting ZAP of monument. | Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument |
| CH9 | CO087-040--- | Recorded monument | Shanbally | Enclosure | 17528/06387 | RMP Inventory Aerial Photograph | Indicated. In pasture, on south-facing slope of E-W ridge overlooking Owenboy river. Depicted on 1842 OS map as hachured D-shaped enclosure; south part of site now levelled. Arc (NW to NE) formed by earthen bank (H 0.85m) with external fosse. Possible second earthen bank (H 0.75m) immediately outside fosse; heavily overgrown and incorporated into field fence system. Interior surface irregular, interfered with; open to south. | Indirect and direct Impact. ZAP impacted by WWTP site and pipeline at this location. Some of pipe route adjacent to extant bank now extant as field boundary. | Creation of c.20m buffer zone around monument in order to protect it from inadvertent damage and to maintain integrity of monument in landscape. Berms, trees and fencing should respect buffer zone and be placed outside it. Pipeline to respect buffer zone and should not impinge it. |
| CH10 | CO087-041--- | Recorded monument | Shanbally | Circular Enclosure | 17547/06365 | RMP Inventory | Not shown. In pasture, on south-facing slope. Aerial photograph (Bord Gais) shows levelled circular enclosure. No visible surface trace. Due to the photographic evidence and the fact that this is in close proximity to another enclosure it is quite likely that its archaeological remains are | Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument. Adjacent to WWTP site. | Creation of c.20m buffer zone around monument in order to protect it from inadvertent damage and to maintain integrity of |
## Archaeological & Architectural Heritage Impact Assessment

### Cork Lower Harbour drainage scheme

**Pipeline to respect buffer zone and should not impinge it. Berms, trees and fencing should respect buffer zone and be placed outside it.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH11</th>
<th>CO087-049---Inventory Not included</th>
<th>Recorded monument</th>
<th>Ballybricken</th>
<th>Possible church</th>
<th>17705/06449</th>
<th>RMP</th>
<th>Not included in inventory. Site not located during inspection.</th>
<th>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.</th>
<th>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH12</td>
<td>CO087-054---Inventory 4271</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Ringaskiddy</td>
<td>Shell midden</td>
<td>17908/00248</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Not shown. On beach at Curlane Bank. Narrow layer of midden material extends for 30m n-s along shoreline just above high tide mark and measures 0.1m in thickness. Deposit contains cockles, limpets and winkles with some oyster and razor shells. Large scatter of shells (c. 100m E-W) on beach at low tide level.</td>
<td>No Impact of archaeological feature (shell midden) and its ZAP, as it is not intended to undertake intrusive works at this location at present.</td>
<td>Existing pipe wayleave. No works required at this time. Should work be required in future, suitable mitigation should be put in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH13</td>
<td>CO087-077---Inventory Not included</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>17979/06647</td>
<td>RMP Urban Archaeology Survey County Cork</td>
<td>Not included in inventory. No surface trace found during walkover. Located close to harbour in centre of Cobh. No visible trace. Unlocated during</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH14</td>
<td>CO087-078---</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Graveyard</td>
<td>17967/06684</td>
<td>RMP Urban Archaeology Survey County Cork</td>
<td>Not included in inventory. No surface trace found during walkover. Urban Survey records that it was uncovered in 19th century and its site is now occupied by Cove Male National School.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH15</td>
<td>CO087-079---</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Graveyard</td>
<td>17975/06647</td>
<td>RMP Urban Archaeology Survey County Cork</td>
<td>Not included in inventory. No surface trace found during walkover. Urban Survey records site now occupied by Pearse Square and originally uncovered in 19th century and recorded by Coleman in 1894.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH16</td>
<td>CO087-097---</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Rathanker</td>
<td>Possible Souterrain</td>
<td>17590/06740</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Not shown. In ringfort (4614). According to Hurse ('subterranean passage or cave was to be seen between forty and fifty years ago. It is now covered with a large flat stone and the soil has grown over it'). No visible surface trace.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH17</td>
<td>CO087-107---</td>
<td>Recorded monument</td>
<td>Carrigaline East</td>
<td>Possible Souterrain</td>
<td>17506/06287</td>
<td>RMP Inventory</td>
<td>Not shown. Uncovered during building of house c.1977. According to local information consisted of 'stone-lined passage'. Destroyed by foundation trenches. (pers. comm. S. Lane). No trace found during inspection.</td>
<td>Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument. Impact already occurred due to construction of house.</td>
<td>Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CH18
- **CO087-109**
- **Recorded monument**
- **Carrigafoy Battery**
- **RMP Inventory**
- **18097/06667**

**Indicated.**

On steep s-facing shore of Great Island with commanding view of entrance to Cork harbour; remains of roughly star-shaped fort later enclosed within rectangular ordnance grounds; known as Cove Fort. Fort built 1743-9 (Brunnecardi 1982,4).

**Direct and Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument and some stretches very close to extant portions of the monument.**

**Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument.**

### CH19
- **CO099-072**
- **Recorded monument**
- **Commeen Fudacht Fiadh (burnt mound)**
- **RMP Inventory**
- **17423/06108**

**Not shown.**

According to local information, fulacht fiadh discovered during reconstruction of rural water scheme. No visible surface trace. Well nearby.

**Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.**

**Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument.**

### CH27
- **CO087-010**
- **Recorded Monument Protected Structure**
- **Ballyvoloon Church and Graveyard**
- **RMP Inventory**
- **17960/06785**

**Indicated.**

"Cobh Cemetery", Square graveyard, large collection of inscribed headstones, contains ruins of 17th church. Many headstones have maritime connection; Lusitania mass grave here in SW corner. Site of ancient parish church called Clonmel.

**Indirect: pipe impacting ZAP of monument.**

**Monitoring of pipeline in vicinity of ZAP for monument.**

---

Table 5. Archaeological constraints inventory of recorded monuments

---
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5.2 Architectural Constraints Inventory (figs 19-23; Table 6)

The following is the list of all known recorded protected structures (RPS) within the study area. (Excepting Cobh Town.) Its elements have been grouped under CH26 see below due to its complexity.) This study's code is provided as well as the RPS county code for the structure. The importance/legal status of the structure is provided along with the name of the address in which the structure is situated. The site type is the classification designated to the structure in the list of Protected Structure in the Cork County Development Plan 2003, (CCC, as varied). The source of the information provided in the table is given, along with the pertinent points of that source in the final column. The National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) was contacted. They informed the writer that they have yet to survey the study area and its vicinity and as such have no records for the study area at present (W. Cumming, NIAH pers comm.). It is important to point out that the NIAH's future work may have a bearing on this study. Full details of all sources used are provided in section 7 of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraint study code</th>
<th>Reference (RPS County Code)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Location/Coordinates</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Importance/Legal Status</th>
<th>Information Detail</th>
<th>Type of Impact Predicted</th>
<th>Suggested Mitigation Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH6</td>
<td>00579</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>17307/06249</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Cork County Development Plan (as varied)</td>
<td>Protected Structure (and recorded monument)</td>
<td>List of Protected Structures. Also a recorded archaeological monument.</td>
<td>No impact on fabric of structure, pipeline in vicinity of structure.</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH7</td>
<td>00576</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>17415/06259</td>
<td>St Mary's Church</td>
<td>Cork County Development Plan (as varied)</td>
<td>Protected structure (and recorded monument)</td>
<td>List of Protected Structures. Also a recorded archaeological monument.</td>
<td>No impact on fabric of structure, pipeline in vicinity of structure.</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH27</td>
<td>00861</td>
<td>Ballyvoloon</td>
<td>17960/06785</td>
<td>Clonmel Church</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>List of Protected Structures. Also a recorded archaeological monument.</td>
<td>No impact on fabric of structure, pipeline in vicinity of structure.</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Architectural constraints inventory of recorded structures within study area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Plan</th>
<th>Structure and recorded monument</th>
<th>Structures. Also a recorded archaeological monument.</th>
<th>Fabric of structure, pipeline in vicinity of structure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>and graveyard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.3 Further Potential Archaeological & Architectural Constraints (figs 19-23, Table 7)

Some wayside monuments were noted during the inspection of the study area. These are not formally protected. They might be regarded as being of local interest and so it is suggested that they be protected from inadvertent damage during the construction of the development. The potential architectural constraints detailed in the table below have been included here (although they are not recorded structures at present). Potential architectural features were identified from the walkover inspection only. One “new” unrecorded extant archaeological monument was noted during the walkover CH22. While the WWTP site was walked by the writers, the remainder of the green field pipe locations were not accessible at the time of writing (these were accessed on the aerial photos only). No green field pipe location is near an RMP or a PS. However, it is suggested that all these locations, where new pipelines are being constructed in green field areas are ARCHAEOLOGICALLY MONITORED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraint study code</th>
<th>Ref. Nos</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Location/ Coordinates</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Importance/Legal Status</th>
<th>Information Detail</th>
<th>Type of Impact Predicted</th>
<th>Suggested Mitigation Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH20</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Ringaskiddy</td>
<td>Within study area, 177180/0643 60</td>
<td>Roadside memorial</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Memorial for a death near this location.</td>
<td>Direct Impact: May be inadvertently disturbed during construction.</td>
<td>Suitably protected and fenced off (temporarily) during construction works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH21</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Ringaskiddy</td>
<td>Within study area, 176970/0646 59</td>
<td>plaque</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Cork Harbour Commissioners commemorative plaque erected in 1980 and bearing the inscription &quot;This plaque acknowledges the assistance of the European Regional Development Fund in the development of the port of Cork. June 1980&quot;. It is a 2.40m high brick structure.</td>
<td>Direct Impact: May be inadvertently disturbed during construction.</td>
<td>Suitably protected and fenced off (temporarily) during construction works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH22</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Ringmore, Limkiln</td>
<td>Within study area, 176970/0646 59</td>
<td>Limkiln</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Unusual occurrence of indirect impact</td>
<td>Suitably protected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH23</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Ballyfouloo “Strawhill”</td>
<td>075400/065100</td>
<td>Railway Embankment</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Railway embankment extant relating to the Great Southern railway which ran from Carrigaline to Crosshaven. Closed in the 1930s. Low possibility that some remains of Raffeen Mills may be present subsurface at this location also.</td>
<td>Indirect: impact adjacent to pumping station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH24</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Kilnagley/Commeen</td>
<td>073525/061515</td>
<td>The Dandy Bridge</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Indicated as Ford and crossing point and bridge. Origin of name unknown. Bridge single span semi-circular headed arch in mortared stone. Parapets at either side of roadway, mortared stone. Very overgrown. Stone paving noted in water to north side of bridge, possible original fording</td>
<td>Direct and Indirect impact: adjacent to pipe location. Route of pipe may traverse bridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Archaeological & Architectural Heritage Impact Assessment**
*Cork Lower Harbour drainage scheme*

*an unrecorded kiln in Co. Cork. Ryne in his publications does not note it. Associated with a local quarry to west of monument. Marked on the first edition 6” map with a limekiln symbol (circle/ring with dot). In very good condition.*

*and fenced off (temporarily) during construction works*

*Archaeological monitoring in vicinity of monument.*
### Table: Archaeological & Architectural Heritage Impact Assessment

**Cork Lower Harbour drainage scheme**

| CH25 | None | Kilnaglery | 074255/062100 | Bridge/Railway Embankment | Fieldwork OS map analysis | None | Railway embankment extant relating to the Great southern railway which ran from Carrigaline to Crosshaven. Closed in the 1930s. Kilnaglery bridge to south. Marked on earlier editions of maps. Modern road now traverse bridge which appears modern (though may be older and repaired). | Indirect impact adjacent to outfall of pipe | Archaeological monitoring in vicinity of monument |

| CH26 | None | Historic Town | 179750/0664 70 | Historic Town | Fieldwork OS map and documentary analysis | None (as a historic entity) | Cobh town is a complex and very important cultural heritage location. It is not designated as an entity in its own right but for the purposes of this study has been allocated a CH number. This CH number incorporates the contents of the Cobh Development Plan (CTC 2005) including its PS list and so they have not been described individually. At the end of the 18th century Cobh was | Direct and indirect impact: Pumping stations and pipe locations in historic town | Archaeological monitoring of all pipe routes within Urban district of Cobh. Sympathetic design of major pumping station at West Beach. Suitable Screening of all works during construction phase so as not to detract from the historic integrity of the town until they are made good. |
Archaeological & Architectural Heritage Impact Assessment
Cork Lower Harbour drainage scheme

described as a small fishing village consisting of a few scattered houses. Its subsequent rise arose from its convenient island location for shipping in Cork Harbour. It also benefited from the erection of Camden and Carlisle forts and by the construction of an artillery barracks on Spike Island to the south. It was a British naval base until 1937 and was the principal American naval base in Europe during WW1. In 1838 the Sirius sailed from here, the first steamer to cross the Atlantic. In the 19th century Cobh was a “winter resort” and in 1894 Queen Victoria visited when it was temporarily called “Queenstown”. The ill-fated Titanic stopped at Cobh before it continued its maiden voyage to America (Zajac et al, Urban Survey 1995)

Table 7. Further potential architectural constraints within study area

Archaeological testing in advance of construction of pumping stations at Carrigaloe and West Beach.
Figure 19. Aerial photo showing CH locations along pipeline routes in the Monkstown area (north to top not to scale, for indication only)
Figure 20. Aerial photo with CH locations in Cobh and environs (north to top not to scale, for indication only)
Figure 21. Aerial photo showing CH locations along pipeline routes in the WWTP site area (in yellow) (north to top not to scale, for indication only)
Figure 22. Aerial photo showing CH locations along pipeline routes in the Ringaskiddy area (north to top not to scale, for indication only)
Figure 23. Aerial photo showing CH locations along pipeline routes in the Carrigaline area (north to top not to scale, for indication only)
6. Discussion & Overview

It is clear from this study that the landscape that the proposed development is located in is rich in cultural heritage elements from the earliest times to the present. Perhaps the most important of those is that of Cobh Town itself CH26. Due to its historic past and its protected structures (which are seen as individual elements) it was decided that in the case of this study it should be seen as a cohesive entity. This ethos is echoed in the town’s Development Plan (CTC 2005). Most of the proposed development is underground pipe work, so while it is predicted to be visible when construction is taking place, in the long term, the visual impact should not be permanent. Major pumping stations will have a visual impact, particularly the one proposed for West Beach Cobh. This should be designed sensitively with its central location borne in mind, among all the historic structures. The other stations might also be suitably screened and their construction either/or archaeologically test trenched or monitored. The following is an overview of the results of the study.

6.1 Cultural Heritage (Table 8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraint Study Code</th>
<th>Townland</th>
<th>Monument Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH1</td>
<td>Rathanker</td>
<td>Ringfort</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2</td>
<td>Ballywilliam</td>
<td>Holy Well</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3</td>
<td>Parkgarriff</td>
<td>Ringfort</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>Ballyfoulo</td>
<td>Holy Well</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH5</td>
<td>Monkstown</td>
<td>Lime Kiln</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Castlafarm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH6</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP, RPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH7</td>
<td>Carrigaline Middle</td>
<td>Church &amp; Graveyard</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP, RPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH8</td>
<td>Shanbally</td>
<td>Lime Kiln</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH9</td>
<td>Shanbally</td>
<td>Enclosure</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH10</td>
<td>Shanbally</td>
<td>Circular Enclosure</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH11</td>
<td>Ballybrokken</td>
<td>Possible church</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH12</td>
<td>Ringaskiddy</td>
<td>Shell Midden</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP (no works proposed here at present)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH13</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH14</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Graveyard</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH15</td>
<td>Kilgarvan</td>
<td>Graveyard</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH16</td>
<td>Rathanker</td>
<td>Possible souterrain</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH17</td>
<td>Carrigaline East</td>
<td>Possible souterrain</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH18</td>
<td>Carrigafay</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Recorded, RMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Other Designations (Fig. 24, Table 9)

This report is primarily concerned with the cultural heritage of the subject site proposed for the scheme. (RMP and PS). However, it is important to note that the study area is variously designated in the County Development Plan 2003. The mapping used dates to April 2006. It was obtained from the Cork County Council website. The other designations of the study area are as follows (correct at the time of writing and not an exhaustive list):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location in study area</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Cork County Development Plan location (CCC 2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R610/N28 (Passage West/Monkstown/Ringaskiddy)</td>
<td>Scenic Route</td>
<td>A54</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R624 (Cobh Road)</td>
<td>Scenic Route</td>
<td>A53</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R612 (Carrigaline to Crosshaven)</td>
<td>Scenic Route</td>
<td>A56</td>
<td>Map 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N and NW of Passage West, Along R610 Monkstown, Monkstown, portion N of Monkstown</td>
<td>Scenic Landscape</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Island, north of Cobh along R624</td>
<td>Scenic Landscape</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N and S of Owenboy River, Carrigaline</td>
<td>Scenic Landscape</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Map 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owenboy River Estuary</td>
<td>Nature Conservation</td>
<td>PNHA-1990</td>
<td>Map 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkstown Creek</td>
<td>Nature Conservation</td>
<td>PNHA-1979</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuskinny Bay (E of Cobh)</td>
<td>Nature Conservation</td>
<td>PNHA-1987</td>
<td>Map 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cork Harbour</td>
<td>Special Protection Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 7.2.8 in Carrigaline Electoral Area Local Area Plan (CCC 2005 and CCC as varied)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Other Designations in study area (after CCC 2006 with additions)

Cork County Council also has adopted a county Heritage Plan 2005-2010, (CCC 2005) which has several objectives in relation to heritage in the County. None of the objectives are specific
to the study area, however, these objectives should be borne in mind. It was consulted as part of this study. This Plan is available from www.corkcoco.ie.

Figure 24. Other designations in study area (after CCC 2006 with additions)
7. Conclusions & Suggested Mitigation Summary

Twenty-seven cultural heritage constraints have been identified as part of this study. Some are archaeological monuments, protected structures or both. One new archaeological monument, a limekiln was noted during the study. The town of Cobh was allocated a cultural heritage number due to its importance within the study area. The cultural heritage features highlight the importance of this locality from prehistory to the present day. Specific mitigation measures have been suggested in section 5 of this report. Underwater/intertidal areas (marked in blue) have been considered separately in the ADCO report in section 10. Further general mitigation measures are provided below.

7.1 Predicted Impacts

7.1.1 “Do-Nothing” Scenario

If nothing is done at the locations described in this report then the extant and possible subsurface cultural heritage features including archaeology, will remain as they are at present.

7.1.2 “Worst Case” Scenario

If no cultural mitigation measures are put in place it is predicted that it is possible that cultural heritage features including archaeology and artefacts, which may lie sub-surface may be destroyed or damaged without a suitable record being made.

7.1.3 Predicted Impact Scenario

Indirect impacts are predicted for a number of CH sites along the route. This means that the ZAP for a number of recorded sites is predicted to be impacted by the current route of the pipeline. ZAP are indicative zones around a monument only. Visual impact in relation to
pipelines is predicted not to be permanent as they are to be buried. Pumping stations and WWTP are predicted to have permanent visual impacts on a number of CH sites. Suitable screening is suggested in these cases. Specific impact information is detailed for each CH site in tables 5-7 above (column headed "Type of Impact Predicted" in each case) and so is not repeated here. A summary is provided in Table 10.

7.1.4 Predicted Residual Impacts

It is anticipated that in the event of the mitigation measures as detailed in this report being implemented in accordance with the requirements of the National Monuments Section (NMS) and the National Museum of Ireland (NMI) there will be no residual impacts arising from the proposed development.
7.2 Suggested Mitigation

As detailed above, some indirect impacts are predicted on the cultural heritage of the area. In order to mitigate the predicted impacts, mitigation has been suggested. Each CH site has specific mitigation suggested in tables 5-7 (column headed “Suggested Mitigation Measure”) and so has not been repeated here. Pre-construction archaeological testing has been suggested particularly for the WWTP site and the pumping stations, with varying levels of archaeological monitoring throughout the scheme. Suitable screening is suggested for pumping stations and WWTP to alleviate any negative visual impacts that might occur. The suggested mitigation measures in this report are those which have been used previously on similar projects. However, it is important to note that these mitigation measures are suggestions and that it is the remit of the NMS of the DoEHLG in consultation with the NMI that recommends and ratifies any archaeological mitigation required. As such, those bodies may recommend measures that have not been included here. Table 10 provides a summary of the suggested mitigation for the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General predicted impact summary</th>
<th>Mitigation measure summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted impacts on specific CH sites 1-27 detailed in section 5</td>
<td>Refer to section 5 for suggested specific measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of green field pipe routes on unrecorded subsurface archaeology or cultural heritage</td>
<td>Field walking of ALL green field areas when accessible. Archaeological test trenching and/or monitoring of pipe routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of WWTP</td>
<td>Archaeological testing in advance of construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of pipe routes where it cuts boundaries such as barony/townland boundaries</td>
<td>Archaeological test trenching of locations where this occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of pipe routes within zones for CH sites</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring of these locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of pipe routes along roads outside zones for CH sites</td>
<td>Archaeological inspections of works at these locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of major pumping station locations: Monkstown, Raffeen/Strawhill, West Beach and Carrigaloe</td>
<td>Archaeological testing and/or monitoring of these locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impact of pipeline routes</td>
<td>Suitable screening during construction especially in CH26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impact of major pumping stations</td>
<td>Suitable screening to minimise visual impact on cultural heritage. In particular, sensitive design of West Beach pumping station in line with provisions of Cobh Development Plan (CTC 2005), due to its highly visible location with the cultural heritage town of Cobh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted Impacts on underwater and intertidal zones (as indicated by blue lines on mapping)</td>
<td>Refer to specific measures as set out in ADCO report section 10 of this report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale of proposed development</td>
<td>Due to scale of proposed development it is suggested that a Project Archaeologist be appointed to the project to oversee and manage its cultural heritage dimension during construction by liaising directly with main contractor (as has been done in similar projects previously)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Mitigation Summary
7.3 Non-Technical Summary

7.3.1 Scope of Study

This is a desk based and fieldwork study to assess the potential impact of the proposed development on the potential archaeological and cultural heritage significance of the site. Aegis Archaeology Limited undertook the terrestrial dimension of the study and ADCO Limited was contracted to undertake the underwater and intertidal dimension.

7.3.2 Method of Study

The site was visited on three occasions by two qualified archaeologists and recorded in the proper fashion (as per published guidelines in the compilation of EIS). A desk based study was undertaken which consulted all immediately available material relating to the site, including review of archaeological works, National Museum files, archaeological information held and published by the Archaeological Survey of Ireland, Cork County Development Plans, national Inventory of Architectural Heritage was contacted, though this body has yet to undertake fieldwork at this location (their future work may have a bearing on this project presently), local histories, mapping and aerial photos.

An underwater and intertidal archaeological survey of two pipeline impact corridors was undertaken. Systematic visual inspection of the sub-tidal seabed and intertidal/foreshore areas surrounding the proposed impacts did not reveal any material or features of archaeological significance. The work was carried out under licence from the DEHLG.

7.3.3 Existing Environment

The environment at present is a mixture of landscapes which include roadways in urban centres, roadways in countryside, green field locations, intertidal and underwater locations. For the purposes of the study the area was subdivided into five areas which were assessed in turn. They are: Passage West, Monkstown, Raffeen/Strawhill; Carrigaline; Shanbally (WWTP); Ringaskiddy and Cobh and environs. The underwater and intertidal dimension was undertaken by ADCO and is detailed in their report (section 10 of this report).

Twenty-seven cultural heritage constraints have been identified as part of this study. Some are archaeological monuments, protected structures or both. One new archaeological monument, a limekiln was noted during the study. The town of Cobh was allocated a cultural heritage number due to its importance within the study area. The cultural heritage features highlight the importance of this locality from prehistory to the present day.
The underwater assessment was undertaken along the works corridor identified for the proposed marine pipeline, crossing between Monkstown and Cobh (River Lee Estuary), and the inter-tidal survey was carried out across the route of the proposed foreshore pipeline at Carrigaline (north side of Owenboy River).

7.3.4 Impacts of the Proposed Development

The landscape is rich in cultural heritage elements from the earliest times to the present. Perhaps the most important of those is that of Cobh Town itself. Due to its historic past and its protected structures (which are seen as individual elements) it was decided that in the case of this study it should be seen as a cohesive entity. It is important to note that the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage have yet to undertake fieldwork in this area and it is likely that their work will produce further protected structures, which may have a bearing on this project. This “entity” ethos is echoed in the town’s Development Plan. Most of the proposed development is underground pipe work, so while it is predicted to be visible when construction is taking place, in the long term, the visual impact should not be permanent.

Major pumping stations will have a visual impact, particularly the one proposed for West Beach Cobh. This should be designed sensitively with its central location borne in mind, among all the historic structures. The other stations might also be suitably screened and their construction either/or archaeologically test trenched or monitored.

A number of archaeological sites are located in the region however no archaeological sites are predicted to be directly impacted by the proposed development site. The WWTP site has a ring-fort monument located northeast of the site, with a possible second, further eastwards. The buffer zones around these sites should be respected and pipe work should be outside these areas.

There are no documented occurrences of any archaeologically significant items or sites on the proposed development site. However, it is possible that features and artefacts of interest may be unearthed during the construction works. The loss of such artefacts would be a significant impact.

It is anticipated that in the event of the mitigation measures as detailed in this report being implemented in accordance with the requirements of the National Monuments Section (NMS) and the National Museum of Ireland (NMI) there will be no residual impacts arising from the proposed development.
7.3.5 Conclusions and Suggested Mitigation

The subject site is within an area rich in cultural heritage and archaeology. Ground disturbance works associated with the construction of the proposed development may have an impact on the western portion of the enclosure, adjacent to the site boundary of the WWTP site. In order to be proactive, Archaeological testing works are suggested at the WWTP site and pumping stations locations in order to undertake some archaeological works at pre-construction stage. Pipe locations along roads may reveal features of archaeological interest. As such, monitoring by a fully qualified archaeologist is recommended, to reduce potential impacts.

It is recommended that archaeological monitoring of riverbed/ seabed disturbances during construction be undertaken, with the proviso to resolve fully any archaeological material observed at that point. Archaeological Monitoring of the proposed foreshore pipeline is not deemed necessary.

Several methods of archaeological mitigation have been suggested here, as has been used in similar projects. It is the remit of the National Monuments Section of the Dept of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, to legally recommend any one or a combination of these mitigation measures and perhaps to make recommendations that have not been suggested above (sometimes through the local authority).
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10. Appendix: ADCO Report

The following report details the archaeological assessment of the intertidal/ underwater locations in the study areas at the Owenboy River and the ferry terminal crossing between Passage West and Carrigaloe. Predicted impacts and suggested mitigation is provided.
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SUMMARY

The Archaeological Diving Company Ltd. was appointed by Aegis Archaeology Ltd, on behalf of Mott Macdonald Pettit (consulting engineers for Cork County Council), to undertake an underwater and intertidal archaeological survey of two pipeline impact corridors as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed Cork Harbour Lower Drainage Scheme: the underwater assessment was undertaken along the works corridor identified for the proposed marine pipeline, crossing between Monkstown and Cobh (River Lee Estuary), and the inter-tidal survey was carried out across the route of the proposed foreshore pipeline at Carrigaline (north side of Owenduff River).

Systematic visual inspection of the sub-tidal seabed and intertidal/foreshore areas surrounding the proposed impacts did not reveal any material or features of archaeological significance. The work was carried out under licence from the DoEHLG, 07D0030 and 07R0135, on 24th and 25th September 2007. It is recommended that archaeological monitoring of riverbed/seabed disturbances during construction be undertaken, with the proviso to resolve fully any archaeological material observed at that point. Archaeological Monitoring of the proposed foreshore pipeline is not deemed necessary.
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: OS 1:50,000 Discovery Series Map showing location of ADCO Underwater and Intertidal Survey Areas.

Figure 2: Project Drawing of Proposed showing location of ADCO Survey Areas (Figure adapted from Project Drawing number: DRG NR A5670-NK44).

Figure 3: Extract from OS 6” mapping (RMP Sheet CO:087) showing listed RMP sites located within the vicinity of the proposed marine pipeline crossing site.

Figure 4: Extract from OS 6” mapping (RMP Sheets CO:087 & CO:099) showing listed RMP sites located within the vicinity of the proposed foreshore pipeline route.

Figure 5: Extract of Project Drawing showing ADCO Survey Area and seabed observations at site of proposed marine pipeline crossing between Monkstown and Cobh.

Figure 6: Extract of Project Drawing showing location of ADCO Survey Area along the northern foreshore of Owenboy River (Map 1 of 2).

Figure 7: Extract of Project Drawing showing location of ADCO Survey Area along the northern foreshore of Owenboy River (Map 2 of 2).

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1: North-facing view of the estuary mouth, River Lee survey area in distance.

Plate 2: East-facing view across Marine Pipeline Survey Area, River Lee Estuary.

Plate 3: West-facing view across Marine Pipeline Survey Area, River Lee Estuary.

Plate 4: West-facing view of downstream (southern) masonry façade from the south wing of the Royal Victoria Baths located on the west side of the River Lee.

Plate 5: Northwest-facing view of western side of survey area, adjacent to the remains of the Swimming area of Royal Victoria Baths; diver in middle distance.

Plate 6: West-facing view of start of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken from survey start-point (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 7: South-Facing view of inter-tidal mud flats along the northern side of the Owenduff River, shot taken from survey start point (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 8: North-facing view of upper foreshore c.350m along pipeline route.
Underwater and Intertidal Archaeological Assessment

Plate 9: South-facing view of inter-tidal mud flats along the northern side of the Owenduff River, shot taken from c.400m from survey start-point (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 10: West-facing view of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken c.350 along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 11: North-facing view of upper foreshore c.550m along pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 12: South-facing view of inter-tidal mud flats along the northern side of the Owenduff River, shot taken from c.600m from survey start-point (see Figure 6 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 13: West-facing view of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken c.550 along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 14: North-facing view of upper foreshore c.800m along pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 15: South-facing view of inter-tidal mud flats along the northern side of the Owenduff River, shot taken from c.850m from survey start-point (see Figure 6 for location); three modern mooring posts in foreground (1m scale).

Plate 16: West-facing view of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken c.800 along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 17: North-facing view of upper foreshore c.100m along pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 18: South-facing view of inter-tidal mud flats along the northern side of the Owenduff River, shot taken from c.1050m from survey start-point (see Figure 6 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 19: West-facing view of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken c.1000m along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 6 for location).

Plate 20: North-facing view of upper foreshore c.1120m along pipeline route (see Figure 7 for location).

Plate 21: South-facing view of central channel of the Owenduff River at Low Water. Note: rapids caused by rock armour protection for a previous pipeline across the river; shot taken 1120m along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 7 for location); 1m scale.

Plate 22: West-facing view of pipeline route along upper foreshore; shot taken c.1120m along proposed pipeline route (see Figure 7 for location).

Plate 23: North-facing view of small sand and gravel cliff face delineating
1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Archaeological Diving Company Ltd (ADCO) was appointed by Aegis archaeology Ltd., on behalf of Mott MacDonald Pettit (consulting engineers for Cork County Council) to undertake a non-disturbance archaeological assessment of two proposed pipeline impact areas as part of the Cork Harbour Lower Drainage Scheme (Figure 1). The assessment was commissioned as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment to be undertaken prior to the drainage scheme commencing. ADCO carried out an underwater dive assessment, including metal-detection survey, across the River Lee at Monkstown (c.390m wide crossing-point) and field-walking of the intertidal section of the proposed pipeline route (c.2.4km long corridor, Owneduff River near Carrigaline).

The archaeological surveys sought to identify and record the location, nature and dimensions of any archaeological features, fabric or artefacts that may be impacted by the proposed development. Assessment was concentrated within the immediate impact areas, although a sizeable buffer zone was incorporated into each assessment; significantly extending the survey area either side of the proposed seabed/foreshore impacts.

The assessment was carried out on 24th and 25th September 2007 by a team of three maritime archaeologists, under licence from DoEHLG, licences: 07D0030, 07R0135.

The following report addresses the known and potential archaeological environment; assesses the actual and proposed impacts on that environment from the works programme; and makes recommendations to resolve any further archaeological requirements during/ following the works programme.

2.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

It is proposed to construct a new Waste Water Treatment Plant and Sludge Treatment Centre on a greenfield site, located east of Carrigaline, and to expand/upgrade the existing waste-water drainage network. The proposed development will facilitate storm water run-off and sewage collection from the population centres of

1 The following relates to project information provided by Mott MacDonald Pettit Ltd. and does not relate to specific engineering details; only an indicative project design has been provided for the purposes of assessing the potential impacts arising from the project.