



Aonad 7: Forfheidhmiú

Tá sé i measc na ndúshlán comhshaoil is mó in Éirinn inniu feabhas a chur ar an reachtaíocht i leith an chomhshaoil. Mínigh do na daltaí go bhfuil dlíthe in Éirinn agus san AE atá ann chun an comhshaol a chaomhnú. Spreagann an Gníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil daoine aonair agus gnóluchtaí chun dea-chleachtais i leith an chomhshaoil a shníomh isteach ina ngníomhaíochtaí, d'fhonn truailliú comhshaoil a chosc. I gcás go dtarlaíonn truailliú, is féidir leis an nGníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil (EPA) an dlí a chur ar an lucht truaillithe, idir dhaoine agus ghnóluchtaí.

Torthaí Foghlama

Déanfaidh na daltaí na rudaí seo a leanas:

- Tuigfidh na daltaí go bhfuil dlíthe cosanta ár gcomhshaoil ann agus tuigfidh siad go ngearrtar pionóis ar dhaoine as na dlíthe sin a bhriseadh.

Gníomhaíochtaí

- Mínigh do na daltaí go bhfuil dlíthe in Éirinn agus san AE atá ann chun an comhshaol a chaomhnú. Faigh eolas ar shuíomh idirlín www.epa.ie maidir le cionta agus le hionchúisimh i do cheantar féin.

agus/nó

- Priontáil na gearrthóga nuachtáin thíos agus iarr ar na daltaí dul ag obair i bpéirí nó i ngrúpaí beaga chun samplaí de na nithe seo a leanas a aimsiú iontu:
 - Cion (briseadh dlí)
 - Dlí nó rialachán comhshaoil
 - Pionóis as na dlíthe a bhriseadh





Cuir pionóis agus cionta i gcomparáid lena chéile, déan plé ar a chothroime is a bhí siad, dar leatsa, ar na fáthanna a mbíonn pionóis níos troime ná a chéile? Cad iad na pionóis is éifeachtaí, dar leis an rang?

- Limerick Independent PRESS: Fíneálacha as bladhm loiscthe dramhaíola suas le €3,000 21-Deireadh Fómhair-2009
- Clare Champion PRESS: Ordú ar Finsa €18,000 a íoc don EPA 02- Deireadh Fómhair - 2009
- Irish Examiner PRESS: Molann EPA lucht truaillithe a ainmniú agus a náiriú 09-Iúil-2009
- Weekender PRESS: Fíneálacha troma leagtha ar dhaoine a dhéanann dumpáil go mídhleathach 17- Deireadh Fómhair -2009





Publication: Limerick Independent
Date: Wednesday, October 21, 2009
Page: 8
Extract: 1 of 1
Circulation: 45,000
Author: Rachael Finucane
Headline: Fines for burning waste flare up to €3,000



Fines for burning waste flare up to €3,000

Rachael Finucane

rfinucan@limerickindependent.com

LIMERICK people who use bonfires or fireplaces to dispose of household waste or hazardous waste in the run up to Halloween could face fines of €3,000 under new regulations.

New regulations established in July 2009 have strengthened the law against backyard and fireplace burning and the burning of household waste is prohibited unless you have a waste licence.

With many people collecting materials for use in bonfires, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) wants to remind people that anyone collecting household waste "is required to carry a Waste Collection Permit".

Dara Lynott, Director of the EPA's Office of Environmental Enforcement said that: "Halloween is a fun time for children and adults

alike and should be a safe experience. It should not be used as an excuse to burn household and hazardous waste that has built up".

"Problems that can arise from bonfires include smoke that is potentially toxic, hazardous substances that can explode and cause injury and ground and water pollution from the ashes of the fire. Burning waste in fireplaces, which causes a release of dioxins, has the added danger of increasing the chances of a chimney fire," he added.

This April, Limerick City councillors condemned the practice of building bonfires as "dangerous, costly and an excuse for illegal dumping". For more information on the regulations, see www.envron.ie.





Publication: Clare Champion
Date: Friday, October 2, 2009
Page: 15
Extract: 1 of 2
Circulation: 19,539
Author:
Headline: Finsa ordered to pay €18,000 to EPA



Finsa ordered to pay €18,000 to EPA

AN East Clare chipboard factory has been ordered to pay the Environmental Protection Agency €18,000 plus costs after it admitted breaching its pollution prevention and control licence on six dates between April 2007 and February 2008.

Finsa Forest Products Ltd, Scariff were sentenced at Ennis Circuit Criminal Court on Monday in relation to six charges of failing to comply with condition 5(1) of the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Licence, which was granted to them on June 16, 2006. The dates of the offences occurred on April 26, 2007; May 21, 2007; August 29, 2007; September 27, 2007; December 14, 2007 and February 27, 2008.

Dearbhal Devaney, an inspector with the Environmental Protection Agency's enforcement department, explained to the court that the licence issued to the company regulates discharges into the air, water and waste discharges. As part of the licence, there are limits for emissions to air, and it was under this regulation where the difficulty arose with Finsa.

Ms Devaney stated that the emissions to air are measured at the out-flow point, in this case at the company's chimney stack, and explained that this output is measured monthly by the company in accordance with the licence.

She outlined that in 2003, a revised limit was issued under new legislation and this required that 20ml per metre cubed would come into effect with respect of emissions to air.

A period of time was given to the company to reduce its emissions and during this time, a limit of 125ml per metre cubed would apply up until January 1, 2007 until they could get equipment to aid the reduction of their emissions to the new limit.

She told the court that on the dates in question, emission levels ranged from 125.9ml per metres cubed and 52ml per metre cubed at a time when the levels should have been down to the limit of 20ml per metre cubed.

In reviewing the licence, Ms Devaney said it had been brought to the EPA's attention that due to financial reasons, the company did not purchase the necessary equipment to reduce the emissions to 20ml/m³. Finsa had also proposed a change in their limit from 20ml/m³ to 50ml/m³.

Mr Pat Horgan, the plant manager at Finsa outlined that in 2003, the company made an offer for equipment that would be able to reduce the emissions to the EPA's desired limit. However, he said that in 2006 when the company went to purchase this equipment "there was a problem" and it emerged that the technology would not operate where heavy fuel oil was being used.

Following this, Mr Horgan said the company began to engage with a Canadian firm whose technology would guarantee an emissions level of 50ml/m³ but could not guarantee the 20ml/m³ limit required.

At this point, they asked the EPA for their licence to be changed and stressed that they could only put this new technology, guaranteeing 50ml/m³ in place if they had the permission of the EPA.

Addressing the company's ability to pay, Michael O'Rourke, finance administrator with Finsa Forest Products, outlined that the company has "been having difficulty since last November".

He explained that the company now employed 114 local workers and that 41 jobs had been lost due to the downturn. Mr O'Rourke said the current payroll is €4 million and explained that the company's turnover in 2008 was €34 million; that there was no profit made in that year and that the company had sustained a loss of €4 million.

However, he explained that 2007 had been the best year the company ever had, and it had made €3 million in profit that year.

The court heard that a District Court Judge had declined jurisdiction in the case and that the maximum fine on each count of the charges in the District Court would have been €3,000. The fine in each case in the Circuit Court was €15 million per count.

Judge Moran imposed the maximum District Court fine of €3,000 on each of the six counts before the court as well as awarding costs of €4,428 and gave the company a month to pay this money to the EPA.





Publication: Irish Examiner
Date: Thursday, July 9, 2009
Page: 9

Extract: 1 of 1

Circulation: 54,191

Author: by Scott Millar

Headline: EPA calls for polluters to be named and shamed



EPA calls for polluters to be named and shamed

by Scott Millar

POLLUTERS should be publicly named and shamed, the Environment Protection Agency has recommended.

Its report, published yesterday, compares sanctions used in other countries to enforce environmental law without resort to criminal or civil courts. It outlined a number of measures which the agency believes should be considered in Ireland. These include:

- Name and shame or publicity orders: Orders requiring the publicity of environmental consequences and penalties.

- Enforcement undertaking: Written undertakings to remedy the harm done that can be enforceable in court.

- Fixed or variable penalties: Payment to discharge or compensate for the breach (on the spot fines or infringement notices).

- Environmental or community services order: Offender carries out a specified project for public benefit, such as providing recycling facilities, conservation work, training or education initiatives.

- Compensation order: Offender compensates the regulator or third party for costs incurred in taking an action.

Director of the EPA's Office of Environmental Enforcement, Dara Lynott, said: "New environmental legislation and the nature of illegal activity requires an increasingly sophisticated and flexible enforcement response to attain compliance.

"Administrative sanctions have the potential to put the environmental regulator in a better position to match their

response to the realities of enforcement. This report will contribute positively to the debate about better regulation."

Consideration of the proposals was broadly welcomed by Labour's environment spokesperson, Joanna Tuffy.

"Some councils have implemented systems where litterers have been publicly named in the past. Consideration of such a sanction should be considered but if it was implemented it would have to be done in a equitable manner and possibly only in the case of habitual offenders.

"The concept of offenders compensating those who have been affected by their actions is certainly one whose implementation I would support."

The report identified a number of hurdles that would need to be addressed if additional administrative sanctions were to be introduced.

These include the identification of the costs versus the benefits, the right to appeal against a sanction and the protection of the constitutional rights of the individual.



Publication: Weekender
Date: Saturday, October 17, 2009
Page: 13
Extract: 1 of 1
Circulation: 10,500
Author:
Headline: Illegal dumpers are hit with hefty fines



Illegal dumpers are hit with hefty fines

MEATH COUNTY Council has been successful in securing convictions against a number of offenders who were caught on CCTV dumping illegally at a Bring Centre (bottle bank) in Ashbourne.

At Navan District Court last week Judge Tom Fitzpatrick imposed fines amounting to €1,000 plus costs of €1,200 against a number of offenders in relation to a number of incidents in October 2008 and February and March, 2009.

Judge Fitzpatrick also fined a number of offenders for illegal dumping on roadsides throughout the county. These offenders were fined amounts of up to €1,000, with costs of €1,400.

There are 40 bring centres throughout Co. Meath and users, in general, carry out their recycling in a proper manner. However, a number of users leave packaging, plastic bags, boxes, containers, bags of rubbish, etc. adjacent to the bottle banks. This is a breach of the Litter Pollution Acts 1997-2003. There are signs on display at bring centres advising the public that the containers are specifically for glass and aluminium cans. Users are advised to take home all bags, boxes, etc. or face the possibility of a litter fine or prosecution in the courts under the Litter Acts.

Peter Brady, Environmental Awareness Officer, welcomed the support of the courts service in encouraging a respect for the environment and a responsibility for proper disposal of waste.

"Each individual has a part to play in maintaining a clean and litter-free environment for our residents and visitors alike," he said. "Litter and illegal dumping is a major problem that has a damaging effect on our environment and is a serious drain on resources. Judge Fitzpatrick commended the civic spirit of a member of the public in reporting illegal dumping and being prepared to attend in court and provide evidence. Meath County Council also welcomes the support of the public in reporting illegal dumping and attending in court.

"Individuals and communities are encouraged to take more responsibility for their local environment, which includes proper treatment of litter and rubbish. Illegal dumping will continue to be monitored by Meath County Council's Enforcement Officers and CCTV operations.

