Agency Status

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is an independent public body established in July 1993 under the Environmental Protection Agency Act, 1992. Its sponsor in Government is the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.

The EPA is managed by a full-time Executive Board consisting of a Director General and four Directors. Independence is assured through the selection procedures for the Director General and Directors and the freedom, as provided in the legislation, to act on its own initiative. The assignment, under the legislation, of direct responsibility for a wide range of functions underpins this independence. Under legislation, it is a specific offence to attempt to influence the Agency, or anyone acting on its behalf, in an improper manner.

The Agency is assisted by an Advisory Committee of twelve members, appointed by the Minister for the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.

Responsibilities

The EPA has a wide range of statutory duties and powers under the Environmental Protection Act. In addition, the capacity of the EPA in relation to enforcement has been enhanced by powers contained in the Protection of the Environment Act 2003. The main responsibilities of the EPA include the following:

- licensing large/complex industrial and other processes with significant polluting potential;
- monitoring environmental quality, including the establishment of databases to which the public have access;
- publishing periodic reports on the state of the environment;
- promoting environmentally sound practices;
- promoting and co-ordinating environmental research;
- licensing all significant waste disposal and recovery activities, including landfills, and the preparation of a national hazardous waste management plan;
- implementing a system of permitting for the control of VOC emissions resulting from the storage of significant quantities of petrol at terminals;
- implementing and enforcing the GMO Regulations for the contained and deliberate release of GMOs into the environment;
- preparing and implementing a national hydrometric programme;
- implementing key reports of the Air and Water Framework Directives;
- drafting a National Allocation Plan for greenhouse gas emissions allowance trading; the establishment of a National Competent Authority for the issuing of trading permits and allowances to those covered by the scheme; the monitoring, overseeing and verification of emissions from participating companies; and the establishment of a National Emissions Trading Registry;
- preparing and implementing the National Waste Prevention Programme with specific focus on enterprise and local authority activities;
- enforcing producer responsibility obligations in relation to the management of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) and the restriction on hazardous substances (RoHS) in electrical and electronic equipment;
- co-ordinating the implementation of the EU ozone depleting regulation in Ireland;

and, under the Office of Environmental Enforcement, established in 2003 and dedicated to the implementation and enforcement of environmental legislation in Ireland:

- improving overall compliance with environmental protection legislation in Ireland;
- raising awareness about the importance of enforcement of environmental protection legislation in Ireland;
- enforcing IPPC licences and Waste licences issued by the EPA;
- auditing and reporting on the performance of local authorities in the discharge of their environmental protection functions, including:
  - enforcement in respect of breaches of waste permits,
  - taking action in relation to illegal dumping,
  - implementation of waste collection permits, and
  - enforcement of producer responsibility initiatives (for example, in the area of packaging waste);
- taking action against local authorities that are not discharging their environmental protection functions in an adequate manner;
- prosecuting, or assisting local authorities to prosecute, significant breaches of environmental protection legislation, in a timely manner; and
- assisting local authorities to improve their environmental protection performance on a case by case basis, through the establishment of an enforcement network to promote information exchange and best practice, and by the provision of appropriate guidance.
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INTRODUCTION

This report is the first in a new series of annual summary statistics to be published on the latest information regarding water quality in Ireland. Its objective is to set out in a concise way some core indicators for water quality, based on the most up-to-date data available. Such indicators are key statistics that summarise a particular water quality issue. Their value is in delivering timely, scientifically sound information to decision makers in particular as well as to the wider general public.

This indicator report focuses on a specific issue – the quality of aquatic ecosystems. As such it complements the national environmental indicator reports, in which integrated assessment is usually guided by, driving forces, pressures, state, impact and response (DPSIR). In order to avoid unnecessary duplication, in this, the first of the series on the quality of the aquatic environment, it has been decided to use only those that can be described as direct environmental indicators. In other words only those indicators of impact or state are considered.

The report concentrates on what are perceived to be the ‘Top Ten’ indicators of ambient water quality in Ireland. As well as giving the current situation, regarding the state of the aquatic resource, the report also includes analyses of trends over time. Only by including historical information can improvement or deterioration be discerned and programmes of measures for remediation instituted. In Ireland biological data on river quality is gathered over a three-year cycle. The next cycle for reporting is 2004-2006 and so the present report uses the years 2003-2005 to give the ‘current’ situation regarding Irish river quality. Similarly, although collected annually, information on estuarine and coastal waters as well as lakes and groundwater is reported in this rolling manner for present purposes. All indicators include information for 2005.

The style of presentation is that the indicators have been set out in a ‘stand alone’ fashion, of two-page maximum length including graphics, so that a concise assessment is available for each.

In the most recent European Environment Agency (EEA) report, the country’s perspective regarding water quality was summarised as follows: ‘Eutrophication of rivers, lakes and tidal waters continues to be the main threat to surface waters with agricultural run-off and municipal discharges being the key contributors’ (EEA, 2005). As will be seen from the present report, this could again aptly describe the current position with the addition that the first of these pressures also poses the greatest threat to the quality of the groundwater resource.

Reference

SUMMARY

The ten indicators used in this report, to reflect ambient water quality conditions in 2005 and preceding years, may be summarised as follows:

• Quality in the 13,200 km of river and stream channel assessed in 2003-2005 showed some improvement, over the previous period, with 70.2 per cent unpolluted, 18.1 per cent slightly polluted, 11.1 per cent moderately polluted and 0.6 per cent seriously polluted.

• Nitrate levels in 11 large rivers showed differences across the country with the highest values recorded in the south-east. With the exception of two, all of these rivers have significantly increased nitrate levels in 2005 as compared with when first sampled in the late 1970s or early 1980s. The increase in nitrate values has coincided with the demise of the pollution-sensitive pearl mussel in some rivers.

• Quality in the 1,050 km$^2$ of lake surface area examined in 2003-2005 showed a slight deterioration, since the previous period, with 89.9 per cent oligotrophic or mesotrophic (unpolluted), 6 per cent eutrophic and 4.1 per cent hypertrophic. The number of lakes assessed was 421, of which 68 were less than satisfactory.

• In 2005 there were 45 reported fish kills compared with 43 the previous year. This annual rate, albeit reduced compared with some previous years, is unacceptably high as each fish kill represents catastrophic environmental disturbance to aquatic life.

• Quality in 67 water bodies from 20 estuarine and coastal areas in 2001-2005 showed that 27 (40.3%) were unpolluted, 25 (37.3%) intermediate, 5 (7.5%) potentially eutrophic and 10 (14.9%) eutrophic. This represents some improvement, compared with previous periods, with the trend toward a decline in the percentage of water bodies being classified as eutrophic.

• In 2005 the quality of shellfish waters showed 30 per cent of sites were Class A (Highest Quality) and 54 per cent Class B (Intermediate Quality) with none in Class C (Low Quality). This represents improvement, over the previous year, when 23 per cent were A, 59 per cent B and 2 per cent C.

• In 2005 there were 46 pollution-at-sea incidents, comprising approximately 72 per cent oil spillage and 28 per cent other substances, e.g. algae or unidentified blooms. This number shows a reduction compared with the 59 reported incidents for the previous year.

• Quality at the 131 bathing waters in 2005 showed 82 per cent of sites complying with the National Limit Values. There was a six per cent increase in the compliance rate with National Standards in 2005 when compared with 2004. However, the number of sites complying with EU mandatory values in 2005 showed a reduction of two per cent (to 96.2%) when compared with 2004.

• Approximately 30 per cent of the 1,714 groundwater samples taken in 2003-2005 showed bacterial contamination with some 11 per cent being grossly contaminated. Since 1995 there has been an increase in the number of samples with zero contamination and a decrease showing gross contamination.

• Approximately 23 per cent of groundwater locations exceeded the mean guide nitrate concentration for drinking water with two per cent breaching the mandatory limit. Since 1995 there has been an increase of samples with concentrations exceeding the guideline value. The occurrence of elevated nitrate appears to be mostly in the south-east although there are raised concentrations at certain clusters of other areas such as Counties Louth and Kerry.
KEY INDICATORS OF THE AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT

INDICATOR 1: RIVER QUALITY

The water quality situation in the 13,200 km of river and stream channel surveyed by the EPA, using a biological assessment method, is regarded as a representative indicator of the national status of such waters and to reflect any overall trends in conditions.

The total river length surveyed in 2003-2005 falling into the four biological water quality classes is shown in Figure 1a. This shows that some 70 per cent of channel length to be satisfactory, indicating an improvement of one per cent since the previous period of assessment (2001-2003). Less than one per cent (0.6%), the same as in the last period, was again classed in the most polluted condition.*

Figure 1a River Quality 2003-2005 – Percentage Channel Length in each Class

Under the regulations (S.I. No. 722 of 2003) implementing the Water Framework Directive (WFD) seven of the eight river basin districts (RBDs) or international RBDs (IRBDs), into which the island of Ireland is divided for water management purposes, fall wholly or partly within the South. The following gives the latest quality breakdown of proportion of channel length in each district with the corresponding percentage for the previous period shown in parentheses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Unpolluted</th>
<th>Slightly Polluted</th>
<th>Moderately Polluted</th>
<th>Seriously Polluted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Western RBD</td>
<td>89% (89%)</td>
<td>8% (8%)</td>
<td>2% (3%)</td>
<td>0.2% (0.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western RBD</td>
<td>83% (84%)</td>
<td>11% (11%)</td>
<td>5% (5%)</td>
<td>0.3% (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western IRBD (South)</td>
<td>75% (76%)</td>
<td>15% (10%)</td>
<td>10% (12%)</td>
<td>0.6% (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon IRBD</td>
<td>66% (63%)</td>
<td>21% (21%)</td>
<td>12% (15%)</td>
<td>0.6% (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Eastern RBD</td>
<td>59% (58%)</td>
<td>27% (28%)</td>
<td>14% (13%)</td>
<td>0.5% (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neagh Bann IRBD (South)</td>
<td>54% (55%)</td>
<td>17% (15%)</td>
<td>28% (30%)</td>
<td>0.1% (0.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern RBD</td>
<td>40% (41%)</td>
<td>28% (28%)</td>
<td>29% (30%)</td>
<td>2.3% (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As would be expected, the less densely populated and less developed regions have the higher proportions of unpolluted channel. At RBD level, recent improvements, i.e. increase in unpolluted length, are noted in the Shannon and South Eastern RBDs.

* The following rivers and streams had seriously polluted stretches in 2003-2005: Ahavanaga Stream; Aghe; Avoca; Ballymascanlan; Blackwater (Munster); Bredagh; Broadford; Brogeen; Brsina; Browses Beck Brook; Camac; Clodagh (Portlaw); Clodagh (Tullamore); Cor创下ary (Upper); Deel (Newcastle West); Enne; Figile; Garranacool Stream; Goweras; Greentus Stream; Jiggy (Hind); Kilcullen Stream; Maggy’s Burn; Murlin; Owendoalcoholic; Roechrow; Roeboy; Slade; Tolkia; Tubbercurry; Tubbercurry Stream; Tullaghakegley; Tullamore; Tully Stream; Ward.
Figure 1b shows the trends in river quality between 1987 and 2005. The proportion of river and stream channel length with an overall satisfactory water quality status has increased by one per cent in the latest period (70.2%) compared to the previous period of assessment (69.2%). There was a reduction (-1.2%) in the moderately polluted length but a small increase in the proportion of slightly polluted channel (+0.2%). In contrast the overall proportion of seriously polluted channel has remained unchanged between the two periods.

**Figure 1b River Quality 1987-2005 – Percentage of Channel Length**

It is important to bear in mind that because the survey is on a three-year cycle the year 2003 is used in both periods and that the full picture of change for the whole channel length will not be apparent until the 2006 assessment, which is surveying the same channel, is complete.

**Sources**

INDICATOR 2: NITRATES IN RIVERS

The concentration of nitrate in rivers is a key quality indicator because of its enriching effect as a nutrient and importantly because of the potential health implication of high nitrate concentration in river waters abstracted for potable supplies.

The EU Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC) requires member states to take specific measures to protect surface and underground waters from nitrate contamination from agricultural activities. (The Irish Regulations implementing the Directive, and incorporating the action plan, were enacted and published as the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2005 (S.I. No. 788 of 2005)). In addition direct waste discharges, such as sewage, may also contribute to such contamination and the EU Directive on urban wastewater treatment (91/271/EEC) provides for the removal of nitrogen from such waste in certain circumstances.

The EU maximum and guideline limits for nitrate in abstracted water for human consumption are respectively 11.30 and 5.65 mg/l N. A dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) level of 2.6 mg/l N has been given as one element of a set of criteria above which tidal fresh waters can be defined as eutrophic or enriched; however, criteria for chlorophyll and dissolved oxygen must also be breached before an area is thus defined (see also Indicator 5: Estuarine and Coastal Water Quality). Nitrate can be reported as N or NO₃⁻ but there is a four-fold difference in numerical terms between the two expressions (see also Indicator 10: Nitrates in Groundwater).

Figure 2 shows annual median nitrate levels at downstream locations on each of 11 large rivers over the last 25 years. From this, it is apparent that, with the exception of the Erne at Belturbet, there is an increase in concentration from west to east. A positive correlation between nitrate levels and the proportions of ploughed land in their catchments has been shown for the rivers in the south-east. While most rivers in that region comply with the EU maximum value of 11.30 mg/l N many exceed the guideline value of 5.65 mg/l N.

The quality requirements of pearl mussel water bodies has been given as <1.7 mg/l N. This protected species, *Margaritifera margaritifera*, a sensitive indicator of water quality, has become extinct in the Barrow and Suir in the past 25-30 years and occurs in depleted numbers in the Nore, Slaney and Blackwater while its current status in the Moy is quite unknown but it is likely to be still living in that river since first recorded there in the late nineteenth century.

This indicator clearly shows the contrast between the regions; levels in the south-east are much higher than those in the west. It is also clear, from Figure 2, that all the river locations, except the Erne and Moy, have significantly increased nitrate levels in 2005 as compared with when first sampled. In decreasing order the highest median values measured in 2005 at the selected locations were: Slaney, Barrow, Nore, Blackwater, Boyne, Suir, Clare, Shannon, Moy, Corrib and Erne.

**Sources**

Figure 2 Annual Median Nitrate Values (mg N/litre) in Rivers 1979-2005

Source: EPA (M. Neill, M. Quinn and R. Smith)
INDICATOR 3: LAKE QUALITY

Nutrient enrichment, resulting in eutrophication, is the principal pressure on lake quality in Ireland. This form of pollution is caused by inputs of nutrients, especially compounds of phosphorus and to a lesser extent nitrogen, either directly to lakes or more commonly via inflowing rivers, at concentrations in excess of natural levels. These nutrient inputs result in plant growth in lakes, particularly planktonic algal forms, whose presence is quantified by a measure of the algal pigment chlorophyll. Lake trophic status is determined by a consideration of the annual maximum chlorophyll values, according to a modified version of a scheme developed by the OECD.

The number of lakes assessed in the period 2003-2005 was 421. The following gives a breakdown of water quality classification of the lakes by number and surface area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lake Quality 2003-2005</th>
<th>Number of Lakes</th>
<th>Surface Area km²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligotrophic</td>
<td>224 (53.2%)</td>
<td>400.9 (38.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesotrophic</td>
<td>129 (30.6%)</td>
<td>541.9 (51.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Eutrophic</td>
<td>18 (4.3%)</td>
<td>19.3 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Eutrophic</td>
<td>27 (6.4%)</td>
<td>29.0 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Eutrophic</td>
<td>10 (2.4%)</td>
<td>14.6 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic</td>
<td>13 (3.1%)</td>
<td>42.8 (4.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority (353 or 83.8%) of the 421 lakes examined in the period 2003-2005 were of satisfactory water quality, i.e. oligotrophic or mesotrophic in status (Figure 3a). The water quality of the remaining 68 lakes was less than satisfactory. Of these 13 lakes were classified as hypertrophic, i.e. most enriched status.* The surface area of the 421 lakes examined amounted to 1048.5 km². Lakes accounting for 943 km² (89.9%) were in the unenriched oligotrophic/mesotrophic categories. A further 62.9 km² (6%) were classified as eutrophic and 42.8 km² (4.1%) were assigned to the hypertrophic category.

The proportion of lakes with an overall satisfactory water quality status has remained relatively unchanged in the latest period (84%) compared to the previous period of assessment (82%). Likewise, the proportion of lake surface area (Figure 3b) categorised as oligotrophic for the period 2003-2005 (90%) is comparable to that for the period 2001-2003 (91%).

* The 13 lakes classed as hypertrophic in the period 2003-2005 were: Creeve Upper (Co. Monaghan); Cross (Co. Mayo); Drimmidy (Co. Cork); Drumsaul (Co. Monaghan); Gangin (Co. Leitrim); Gowra (Cos. Cavan and Longford); Inner (Co. Monaghan); Monalty (Co. Monaghan); Mullagh (Co. Cavan); na Glack (Co. Monaghan); Oony (Co. Monaghan); Oughter (Co. Cavan); Peters (Co. Monaghan).
Figure 3b Lake Quality 1991-2005 – Surface Area (km²)

Sources

INDICATOR 4: FISH KILLS

The presence of healthy fish stocks, particularly salmon and trout, in rivers and lakes is considered to be an indicator of good water quality. The demise of these fish, on the other hand, is a very striking manifestation of serious pollution. Very low oxygen concentration in water is the principal cause of fish kills in Ireland. These conditions are brought about by excessive inputs of organic matter to water or may result from excessive plant growth.

Data on fish kills in Ireland are compiled annually by the Central Fisheries Board, based on returns from the regional fisheries boards. In 2005, 45 fish kills were reported. Based on investigations carried out by fisheries board environmental staff the following causes were attributed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Local Authority</th>
<th>Eutrophication</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As well as resulting from agricultural, industrial and sewage wastes entering water bodies, fish can be killed by other causes. An example would be civil engineering works. In September 2005 a fish kill of up to 3000 juvenile trout occurred in the upper nursery reaches of the River Lee, near Gouganebarra, in Co. Cork. On the day of the fish deaths a pH of 10.66, way above the limit of tolerance for these salmonid fish, was measured at the EPA sampling site situated just downstream of where concreting work on a small bridge over the river was taking place. More usually fish mortality is due to oxygen depletion of the water. For example, in June 2005 silage effluent caused the deaths of hundreds of young crôneen, a unique type of trout that migrates from Lough Derg, in a spawning area of the River Camcor in Co. Offaly. Successful legal prosecutions were taken, respectively by the South Western Regional Fisheries Board and the Shannon Regional Fisheries Board, in both these cases.

A marked upsurge in fish kills had occurred in Irish rivers in the 1970s coinciding with the intensification of agriculture. In response to this situation, a nationwide public information campaign was launched and an enforcement strategy was put in place by the regional fisheries boards and local authorities.

*In addition to these fish kills in fresh waters, a protracted bloom of the dinoflagellate Karenia mikimotoi occurred from late May to July 2005 in the northern half of the western coastline to be succeeded by a further bloom in the south-west in late July. These blooms caused major mortalities of benthic and pelagic marine organisms – and reports of dead fish and Crustacea were received by the Marine Institute from Donegal, Galway, West Cork and Kerry.*
The trend in fish kills over the past 20 years (Figure 4) shows that the years 1987 and 1989 were the worst with in excess of 100 fish kills reported, while 2001 had the least number. In 2003 the relatively high number of fish kills (72) was inflated by recurring deaths of fish in the Avoca River due to acid mine leachate, while in 2004 and 2005 reduced numbers of fish kills were recorded. A pilot treatment plant has been commissioned to help alleviate the problem in the lower reaches of the Avoca River due to discharges from the copper mines which have been occurring for over 200 years.

A fish kill is a sign of catastrophic ecosystem disruption and, while the situation appears to have stabilised somewhat, the number of reported fish kills remains unacceptably high.

**Sources**

As with fresh waters, increased nutrient loading resulting in eutrophication is an increasing pressure on Irish estuarine and coastal waters. A new classification scheme has been designed to provide a means of identifying the occurrence of eutrophication in Irish estuaries and near shore waters based on relevant measures of water quality.

The trophic status of 67 water bodies from 20 estuarine and coastal areas around Ireland was assessed for the period 2001-2005. The assessment of these estuarine and coastal water bodies shows that 10 (14.9%) were classed as eutrophic, 5 (7.5%) as potentially eutrophic, 25 (37.3%) as intermediate and 27 (40.3%) were unpolluted (Figure 5a).

Overall, the status of tidal waters in Ireland is generally unchanged from the previous assessment period 1999-2003. A total of 63 water bodies, or 94 per cent of those assessed, remained unchanged and only one area, the Lower Suir estuary, has shown a decline in status. While this water body improved from eutrophic to intermediate in the previous period, it is classified as potentially eutrophic in the current assessment due to elevated dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels during summer. The outer Barrow-Nore-Suir estuary is now classified as unpolluted, an increase in quality status from both previous assessment periods when it was assessed as intermediate. This improvement reflects a decrease in winter DIN levels.

Data from the Marine Institute’s winter nutrient monitoring programme, in coastal waters of the western Irish Sea and southern Celtic Sea, indicate no instances of excessive nutrient enrichment.

Of the 12 water bodies classified as eutrophic in the previous assessment period (1999-2003), 10 remain thus classified, with Lough Mahon and the Lower Slaney estuary having shown some improvement to potentially eutrophic. In both areas, the improvement was indicated by a decrease in chlorophyll levels.

A comparison of the current assessment period with previous periods (1995-1999 and 1999-2003) shows a decline in the percentage of water bodies being classified as eutrophic, with the proportion dropping from 25 per cent, in the period 1995-1999, to 15 per cent in the current period (Figure 5b). This is reflected, to a certain extent, in an increase in the percentage of water bodies classed as potentially eutrophic and intermediate. The percentage of water bodies assessed as unpolluted has changed little over the three assessment periods.
**Figure 5b Estuarine and Coastal Water Quality – Percentage of Water Bodies in each Class 1995-2005**

![Water Quality Chart](chart.png)

### Sources

INDICATOR 6: QUALITY OF SHELLFISH WATERS

In order to ensure the quality of shellfish for human consumption controls are placed on the waters used for shellfish cultivation and harvesting. These controls are driven by the EU Directive ‘laying down the health conditions for the production and the placing on the market of live bivalve molluscs’ (91/492/EEC) and by 1996 Regulations (S.I. No. 147 of 1996) implementing the directive. The Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources (DCMNR) is the competent authority in Ireland for classifying shellfish production areas.

A shellfish sanitation monitoring programme, based on a number of parameters including microbiological criteria, for classifying shellfish-growing waters had been in operation in Ireland since 1985. The scheme of classification has three categories, corresponding with the criteria and conditions as laid down in the directive and may be summarised as follows:

A  Shellfish can be sold for direct human consumption
B  Shellfish can be sold for human consumption following purification in an approved plant for two days
C  Shellfish can be sold for human consumption following relaying in clean seawater for at least two months

Figure 6 shows the number of shellfish sites, as a percentage of total, in the three classes between the 1991-94 period and 2005. It should be noted that percentages do not necessarily add up to 100 as sites with more than one class are omitted.*

In addition the shellfish production areas are monitored, on a weekly or monthly basis, for the presence of phytoplankton and marine biotoxins as part of a national monitoring programme operated by the Marine Institute on behalf of the Food Safety Authority of Ireland (FSAI). As well as causing illness in humans who consume affected shellfish some blooms can kill shellfish and other marine life. For example, in 2005 the exceptional bloom of a dinoflagellate, not of direct human health significance, did cause substantial stock losses to producers as shellfish died off. The mortalities have been suggested to be due to a combination of the presence of a toxin affecting the marine organisms and anoxic conditions resulting from the decomposition of the algae in the later stages of the bloom (see Indicator 4: Fish Kills).

* In 2005, 30 per cent of sites were Class A waters compared to 23 the previous year but this is much less than the proportion in the 1991-94 period (55%). However, the downward trend in Class A waters appears to have halted in 2004 with the slight upward swing in the following year when also no Class C waters were reported.
Where biotoxins are detected, the production area is closed and harvesting prohibited until the danger of toxicity has passed. Closures of shellfish-growing areas, as a result of biotoxin contamination, are common in the summer and autumn when toxic algae are present.

In accordance with the Directive (79/923/EEC), on the quality required of shellfish waters, which was transposed into Irish law by Regulations made in 1994 (S.I. No. 200 of 1994), seawater samples are taken from designated shellfish waters twice annually and analysed for trace metals and organohalogens. In 2005 all organochlorine pesticide and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) results were below limits of detection. The metal results varied substantially, as would be expected for seawater, and although mean results (of two samples) exceeded current Irish standards for zinc in five locations and for lead in one location, in each instance this only reflected one of the two samples exceeding the standard. These substances are also monitored annually in shellfish flesh as this provides a better indicator of overall water quality than low frequency spot sampling of water. This monitoring typically shows Irish shellfish growing waters to be of high quality with respect to the substances monitored.

Sources
Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources; Marine Institute unpublished data [Test reports for trace metal and organochlorine substances in seawater sampled from designated shellfish growing areas, summer and winter 2005]; Anon., 2005. Workshop on Irish shellfish safety. FSAI News, 7 (6), p. 11.
INDICATOR 7: POLLUTION AT SEA INCIDENTS

Responsibility for the investigation of pollution incidents at sea rests with the Irish Coast Guard (IRCG), a division within the Department of Transport,* as part of its role in developing and co-ordinating an effective regime for marine pollution response. The IRCG’s functions regarding pollution incidents are mandated through Government policy, national legislation (e.g. Sea Pollution Acts, 1991 and 1999), EU Directives and International Conventions. The Irish Pollution Responsibility Zone (IPRZ) covers an area (approx. 200,000 km²) stretching to 200 miles off the west coast and to the median line between Ireland and the UK in the Irish and Celtic Seas.

The number of reported annual pollution incidents in the five-year period 2001-2005 is given in Figure 7.

![Figure 7 Pollution at Sea 2001-2005](image)

The total number of incidents reported by category of pollution in the IPRZ in 2005 was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Oil</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of the 46 incident reports for the year indicates that the reported pollution comprised approximately 72 per cent oil spillage and 28 per cent other substances, e.g. algae or unidentified blooms. Diesel and gas oils were the most frequently identified polluting substances. The overall geographical pattern indicates that the majority of oil discharges occurred in the smaller harbours and their surrounding areas. Clusters of slicks were identified in bays and near shore waters with eight reported in open sea. Again the small percentage of slicks reported in open sea should be treated cautiously as the IRCG has no dedicated aerial surveillance capability and depends on reports from shipping and commercial air traffic.

The distribution of incidents in 2005 by marine environmental zone within the IPRZ was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Sea</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal River/Estuary</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay/Nearshore Waters</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach/Shore</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port/Harbour</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With effect from 1 January 2006 the responsibility for Marine Safety and Environment was transferred from the Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources to the Department of Transport.
The Coast Guard’s role in marine casualty incidents is to oversee, control, intervene and exercise ultimate command and control to prevent/reduce the threat to the marine environment and the safety of the vessel or crew. During 2005 the IRCG intervened in a number of marine casualty incidents and closely monitored incidents, which posed a threat of marine pollution. These incidents were mainly minor incidents, which were prevented from developing into more serious incidents. Five more potentially serious incidents occurred during 2005.

Work is ongoing to draft the national oil spill contingency plan (NCP) and approve harbour oil spill contingency plans in accordance with the Sea Pollution (Amendment) Act, 1999. The IRCG has instructed maritime county councils to submit their oil spill contingency plans. The Coast Guard also reviews and approves oil spill contingency plans for mobile offshore drilling platforms intending to carry out drilling work within the IPRZ. Review and approval of these plans is ongoing.

**Source**

Irish Coast Guard (E. Clonan).
INDICATOR 8: BATHING WATER QUALITY

Local authorities are responsible for bathing water quality in their areas and for making information available to the public during the summer season. The EPA collates the results of monitoring which are forwarded to the European Commission for inclusion in the compendium report published annually by the EU. The EPA also publishes an annual national bathing water report, which is released prior to the start of the following bathing season.

The primary legislation is set out in Regulations (S.I. No. 155 of 1992) and subsequent amendments giving effect to the EU Directive (76/160/EEC) concerning the quality of bathing water. The Regulations set more stringent limits for some parameters than the Directive.

The number of designated bathing areas is 131 including both seawater (122) and freshwater (9) sites. Results for 2005 show that the quality of bathing water in Ireland is good, with 82 per cent (107 of 131) of sites complying with the National Limit Values.

### 2005 Bathing Water Quality Areas: Compliance with EU and National Limit Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Non-Compliant</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seawater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freshwater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EPA (J. Delaney)

Assessing compliance using the European Commission’s approach shows 96 per cent (126 of 131) of sites complying with the minimum mandatory limit values specified in the Directive (Figure 8a) and 91 per cent (119 of 131) of sites with the stricter guide values. These guide values can be regarded as quality objectives which all bathing sites should endeavour to achieve. Two bathing water areas in Galway (Silver Strand and Salthill) have shown improvement in water quality since 2003, which is attributed to the commissioning of a new wastewater treatment facility at Mutton Island close to Salthill in May 2004. Similarly, the Ringsend treatment plant continues to have a positive impact on the water quality in Dublin Bay.
The overall quality of bathing waters in Ireland remains very good although the number of sites complying with EU mandatory values in 2005* showed a reduction of two per cent when compared with 2004. However, guide compliance has increased by three per cent from 2004 (Figure 8b). There was a six per cent increase in the compliance rate with National Standards in 2005 when compared with 2004.

Sources

* The five bathing areas that failed to comply with the mandatory EU standards in 2005 were: Merrion Strand and Sutton Beach in Dublin; Na Forbacha and Clifden in Galway; Ardmore in Waterford.
KEY INDICATORS OF THE AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT

INDICATOR 9: FAECAL COLIFORMS IN GROUNDWATER

Groundwater is a valuable resource in Ireland, used in food and industrial processing as well as being an important source of drinking water. Groundwater and springs account for approximately 16 per cent of the total drinking water supplied in Ireland rising to 86 per cent in some rural areas. Although treated public water supplies account for approximately 92 per cent of the total drinking water quantity in Ireland, the actual number of group water schemes far exceeds that of public supply schemes. In Ireland, group water schemes supply drinking water to approximately 10 per cent of the population and the majority of these schemes are privately managed. Additionally, there are numerous private small groundwater abstractions supplying individual dwellings or industries. Therefore, there needs to be adequate protection of groundwater as a resource, given that the majority of private groundwater supplies in Ireland are untreated.

The presence in water of microbiological indicators such as faecal coliforms is taken as evidence of faecal contamination and provides an indication that pathogens, i.e. the actual disease-causing organisms, may be present. The presence of a single faecal coliform in a water supply is a breach of the Drinking Water regulations (S.I. No. 439 of 2000) in Ireland and is therefore unacceptable.

![Figure 9a Faecal Coliforms in Groundwater 1995-2005](image)

The EPA national groundwater-monitoring network includes sampling at some locations that are used for the abstraction of drinking water. Approximately 30 per cent of all samples of groundwater taken between 2003-2005* showed bacteriological contamination, with some 11 per cent of all samples being grossly contaminated, i.e. had greater than 10 faecal coliforms/100 ml. Since 1995, generally there has been an increasing trend in the percentage of samples showing zero contamination, with a decreasing trend in the percentage of samples showing gross contamination (see Figure 9a). However, 52 per cent of all EPA monitoring locations showed bacteriological contamination at least once between 2003 and 2005, with 30 per cent of all EPA monitoring locations being grossly contaminated at least once during this period. The groundwater monitoring locations in karst limestone areas appear to show the greatest degree of contamination (see Figure 9b). This reflects the vulnerable nature of the more dynamic flow systems to pollution.

* Approximately 30 per cent of the 1,714 groundwater and spring samples taken as part of the EPA’s national groundwater monitoring programme, between 2003 and 2005, showed bacteriological contamination.
Figure 9b Maximum Faecal Coliform Count/100ml during 2003-2005
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Source: EPA (M. Craig)

Sources
INDICATOR 10: NITRATES IN GROUNDWATER

Relatively low concentrations of nitrate are found in groundwater under natural conditions, with most coming from organic or inorganic sources. Anthropogenic organic sources can include run-off from septic tanks and animal waste spreading, whilst inorganic sources can include the spreading of artificial fertiliser. If a significant proportion of surface water flow is derived from groundwater, then increased nitrate concentrations in groundwater may contribute to eutrophication in surface waters.

The EPA national groundwater-monitoring network includes sampling at some locations that are also used for the abstraction of drinking water. The presence of high nitrate concentrations in drinking waters has been linked internationally to methaemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome) in bottle-fed infants if the nitrate converts to nitrite and reacts with blood haemoglobin.

**Figure 10a Nitrates in Groundwater**

Nitrate can be reported as N or NO₃ but there is a four-fold difference in numerical terms between the two expressions (see also Indicator 2: Nitrates in Rivers). Between 2003 and 2005, the mean nitrate concentration exceeded the Drinking Water Regulations (S.I. No. 439 of 2000) guide concentration of 25 mg/l NO₃, at approximately 23 per cent of all EPA monitoring locations and exceeded the Maximum Admissible Concentration (MAC) of 50 mg/l NO₃ at approximately two per cent of all EPA monitoring locations. Since 1995 there has been a general increase in the percentage of samples with nitrate concentrations between 25-40 mg/l NO₃. There also appears to be a decrease in the percentage of samples with nitrate concentrations between 10-25 mg/l NO₃ (see Figure 10a). The elevated nitrate concentrations appear to be most prevalent in the south-east although there are elevated nitrate concentrations at certain clusters of monitoring locations, for example, in Counties Louth and Kerry (see Figure 10b).

Elevated nitrate concentrations may be observed in monitoring points that are in close proximity to potential point source waste discharges. However, the spatial distribution of monitoring locations with elevated nitrate concentrations appears to relate to areas with more intensive agricultural practices, which suggests that diffuse, agricultural sources are the cause. The increased percentage of monitoring locations with nitrate concentrations greater than 25 mg/l NO₃ would appear to indicate that this may develop into a widespread problem and without future nutrient management plans may result in a greater need for drinking water treatment for nitrate.
Figure 10b Mean Nitrate Concentrations during 2003-2005
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Source: EPA (M. Craig)

Sources
Stádas na Gníomhaireachta

Is comhlacht poiblí neamhspleách í an Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil (EPA) a bunaíodh i mí Iúil 1993 faoin Acht fán nGníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil, 1992. Ó thaobh an Rialtais, is í an Roinn Comhshaoil agus Rialtas Áitiúil a dhéanann urraíocht uirthi.

Déanann Bord Feidhmeach lánaimseartha comhdéanta d’Ard-Stiúrthóir agus ceathrar Stiúrthóirí bainistíocht ar an EPA. Cinntiútear neamhspleáchas trí nósanna imeachta roghnaithe i gcás an Ard-Stiúrthóra agus na Stiúrthóirí agus an tsaoirse, de réir mar a sholáthraítear sa reachtaíocht, gníomhú as a stuaim féin. Tá an sannadh, faoin reachtaíocht, maidir le freagracht dhíreach as réimse leathan feidhmeanna mar bhonn tacú ag an neamhspleáchas sin. Faoin reachttaíocht, is cion sainiúil é iarracht a dhéanamh tionchar a imirt ar an Gníomhaireacht, nó ar aon duine a bhionn ag gníomhú thar ceann na Gníomhaireachta, ar bhealach míchuí.

Cuidionn Coiste Comhairleach ar a bhfuil dhá chomhalta déag arna gceapadh ag an Aire Comhshaoil, Oidhreachta agus Rialtais Áitiúil leis an nGníomhaireacht.

Freagrachtáí

Tá réimse leathan duilgas agus cumhachtach reachtúla ag an EPA faoin Acht fán nGníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil. Chomh maith leis sin, tá curtha le hacmhainn an EPA maidir le freagracht dhíreach le forfheidhmiú le cumhacht san Acht um Chaomhnú an Chomhshaoil 2003. Áirítear orthu seo a leanas príomhfreagracht an EPA:

• ceadúnú a dhéanamh ar phróisis thionsclaíocha mhóra/choimpléascacha a bhféadfadh cumas truaillithe suntasach a bheith ag baint leó;
• monatóireacht ar chaighdeán comhshaoil, lena n-áirítear bunachair shonraí a bhunú ar a mbeidh rochtain ag an bpobal;
• tuarsacála tréimhsíúla maidir le staid an chomhshaoil a fhoilsiú;
• sárchleachtais comhshaoil a chur chun cinn;
• taighde comhshaoil a chur chun cinn agus a chomhordú;
• gníomhchóitciú diúscartha dramhaíola agus aisghabhála suntasacha, lena n-áirítear láithreachtaí lonnta talún a cheadúnú agus pleán bainistíocha guaisdramaíola náisiúnta a ullmhú;
• córas a chur i bhfeidhm a cheadadtonn rialú astaithe VOC a bhionn mar thoradh ar scoileadh MGOanna isteach sa chomhshaoil i aon turas;
• rialacháin GMO a chur i bhfeidhm agus a fhorrfeidhmíodh ó thaobh GMOanna a choimneáil agus a scoileadh amach sa chomhshaoil i aon turas;
• clár húdaráis ataúil a ullmhú agus a chur i bhfeidhm;
• ag cur i bhfeidhm eochair-thuarascálaacha na gCreat-Treóracha Aoir agus Uisce;
• dréacht a chur le chéile de Phlean Leithrhoine Náisiúnta do thrádáil lúntas astaithe gás ceaptha teasa; Údaráis Inniuila Náisiúnta a bhunú a ceandanna trádála agus lúntais a easúntú orthu síúd atá slúite ag an scéim; monatóireacht, léargas, agus floridh mar a bhaint sí úd-áitiúil chuid tealtaíochta rannpháirtíseachtha; agus Clár Trádála Astúitse Náisiúnta a bhunú;
• Réiteach agus cur i bhfeidhm an Chláir Náisiúnta um Chosc ar Dhramhail le fócas ar leith ar ghníomhalochtal fiontair agus údárastáitíula.
• Forfeidhmíodh obileagáidí freagrachtach an táirgeor a d'imir le trealamh leictreach agus leictreonach draímaíola (WEEE) a bhainistíochtaí agus maidir leis an sraon a bheith aon isteach sa guaiseachta (RoHS) i dtrealamh leictreach agus leictreonach.
• Cur i bhfeidhm rialacháin an AE in Éirinn maidir le húdaráis a laghdú agus, faoin Oifig Forfeidhmíochta Comhshaoil, a bunaíodh i 2003 agus atá tionscnamh a chur ar thiontachtaí ar chás le feidhmiúchán comhshaoil.

Feabhas a chur ar chomhshaoilchórt de Phlean Leithroinnt Náisiúnta do thrádáil liúntais astaithe go fóirní treorachtaí agus an Uasal Beasúr a bhunú le ceadanna trádála agus liúntais a eisiúint orthu siúd atá clúdaithe ag an scéim.

Forfeidhmíodh obileagáidí freagrachtach an táirgeor a d'imir le trealamh leictreach agus leictreonach draímaíola (WEEE) a bhainistíochtaí agus maidir leis an sraon a bheith aon isteach sa guaiseachta (RoHS) i dtrealamh leictreach agus leictreonach.

Cur i bhfeidhm rialacháin an AE in Éirinn maidir le húdaráis a laghdú agus, faoin Oifig Forfeidhmíochta Comhshaoil, a bunaíodh i 2003 agus atá tionscnamh a chur ar thiontachtaí ar chás le feidhmiúchán comhshaoil.