



**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES
(DRINKING WATER) (NO.2)
REGULATIONS 2007**



**A HANDBOOK ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
REGULATIONS FOR WATER SERVICE AUTHORITIES
FOR PRIVATE WATER SUPPLIES**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010



**European Communities (Drinking Water) (No.2)
Regulations 2007
(S.I. 278 of 2007)**

A Handbook on the
Implementation of the Regulations
for Water Services Authorities
for Private Water Supplies



Environmental Protection Agency
An Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú
Comhshaoil

Office of Environmental Enforcement
PO Box 3000
Johnstown Castle Estate
Co Wexford, Ireland

Telephone: +353-53-60600
Fax: +353-53-60699
E-mail: info@epa.ie
Website: <http://www.epa.ie>

Water Services Training Group
Grúpa Traenála na Seirbhísí Uisce

Monastery Road
Roscrea
Co Tipperary
Ireland

Telephone: +353-505-24688
Fax: +353-505-23427
E-mail: wsntg@eircom.net
Website: <http://www.wsntg.ie>

© Environmental Protection Agency 2010
European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

(S.I. 278 of 2007)

**A Handbook on the Implementation of the Regulations
for Private Water Supplies**

All or part of this publication may be reproduced without further permission, provided that the source is acknowledged.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Notice

This handbook has been compiled to provide guidance to private water suppliers and the Water Services Authorities as the supervisory authority on the implementation of the European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007 (S.I. 278 of 2007) in respect of private water supplies. It is not a legal document and it must not be taken to be an authoritative interpretation of these Regulations. The only definitive documents relating to the quality of drinking water are these Regulations and the European Communities Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption, which is given effect in Irish law by these Regulations. In all cases of doubt or possible ambiguity reference must be made to the Regulations.

Although every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the material contained in this publication, complete accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Neither the Environmental Protection Agency nor the author accept any responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage occasioned or claimed to have been occasioned, in part or in full, as a consequence of any person acting, or refraining from acting, as a result of any material contained in this publication

ISBN 978-1-84095-350-3 xx/10/xx

Price: €xx

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Acknowledgements

The Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) acknowledges the major contribution from Owen Hydes OBE, an Independent Drinking Water Consultant, who prepared this handbook for the EPA under a consultancy contract managed by the Water Services Training Group.

The EPA also acknowledges the contribution of a Steering Group who supervised the preparation of this handbook and reviewed its contents. The members of the Steering Group were:

- ◆ Mr Peter O'Reilly, Chairman, Fingal County Council, representing the City and County Managers Association
- ◆ Mr Darragh Page, the EPA
- ◆ Mr Brendan Wall, the EPA
- ◆ Mr Tom Kirby, Water Services Training Group
- ◆ Mr Oliver Fogarty, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government
- ◆ Dr Patricia McDonald, Health Service Executive
- ◆ Mr Paul McGuinness, Health Service Executive
- ◆ Mr Sean Clerkin, National Federation of Group Water Schemes
- ◆ Mr Colm Brady, National Federation of Group Water Schemes

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Foreword

This handbook has been prepared to assist the private water suppliers and the Water Services Authorities (WSAs) as the supervisory authorities to implement the provisions of the European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007 (S.I. 278 of 2007) (the Regulations) in respect of private water supplies. A parallel handbook has been prepared to assist the WSAs to implement the Regulations in respect of public water supplies. This handbook and the parallel handbook together replace the previous handbook on the implementation of the European Communities (Drinking Water) Regulations 2000 (S.I. 439 of 2000).

The handbook is presented as a controlled document in loose leaf format in an A4 ring binder and consists of a list of contents and 13 separate sections dealing with the principal aspects of the Regulations and some related matters of good practice. Each section begins with a brief summary of the material covered in the section together with a contents list. This format will allow the EPA to readily up-date the handbook should there be a need for further guidance or if there are any amendments to the Regulations in the future.

The handbook begins with a comprehensive summary (section 1) of the Regulations for those personnel in the private water suppliers and in WSAs that need an overview of the Regulatory requirements. This summary sets out the water supplies that are covered by the Regulations and the water supplies that are exempt. In respect of private water supplies, Public Group Water Schemes, Private Group Water Schemes, other private water supplies of 10 m³/d or more (supplying 50 people or more) and other private water supplies of less than 10 m³/d (supplying less than 50 people) that are part of a commercial or public activity are covered by the Regulations. It outlines the supervisory role of the WSAs over the private water suppliers, including powers of direction to improve the quality of private water supplies. It explains the duty of the private water suppliers to supply wholesome and clean water - defined by standards and the point at which these standards apply (mainly at consumers' taps). It outlines the monitoring requirements (sampling and analysis) that WSAs must carry out on private water supplies and sets out what the WSAs and private water suppliers must do when there is a failure to meet a standard or an indicator parameter value. These include investigations to establish the cause of the failure, actions to protect human health if the Health Service Executive (the HSE) considers the failure to be a potential danger to human health and remedial actions to ensure that the standards and indicator parameter values are met, including those associated with authorised departures

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

from the standards. The handbook sets out the enforcement powers of the WSAs to require private water suppliers to take action and the records about private water supplies that must be maintained by private water suppliers and the WSAs. It explains the responsibilities of private water suppliers and owners of premises when a failure is caused by the condition of pipe work and fittings within premises (the domestic distribution system). It outlines the requirements on the use of treatment chemicals and materials of construction in the provision of private water supplies. It details the requirement on the WSAs to audit private water suppliers to check whether they are meeting the regulatory requirements. Finally it explains the offences that private water suppliers can commit if they fail to meet particular regulatory requirements and the prosecution powers of the EPA. The full text of the Regulations is included as an appendix to section 1.

Sections 2 to 13 provide more detailed and specific guidance to private water suppliers and WSAs on the principal requirements of the Regulations. Individual sections will be of interest to different groups of personnel within private water suppliers and WSAs (and any contractors to the private water suppliers and the WSAs).

Section 2 describes and lists the standards that define a wholesome and clean water supply and the action that private water suppliers must take to restore compliance if there is a failure to meet an indicator parameter value. It also describes and lists the indicator parameter values that are not part of the definition of wholesomeness. If there is a failure to meet an indicator parameter value, the private water supplier is only required to take remedial action if the HSE considers the failure to be a potential danger to human health.

Section 3 describes and provides comprehensive guidance on the two types of “compliance monitoring” - “check monitoring” and “audit monitoring” that WSAs are required to carry out on each private water supply to determine whether the supply complies with the standards and indicator parameter values. It also expands the frequency tables in the Regulations for ease of reference. It also provides comprehensive guidance on the “operational monitoring” that private water suppliers should consider carrying out to check that treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

It is very important that sampling and analysis for compliance monitoring is carried out properly so that there is confidence that the results obtained are accurate. Sections 4 and 5 provide comprehensive guidance on sampling and analysis. This guidance is not significantly different from the guidance in the 2004 Handbook because the regulatory requirements on sampling and analysis have not changed.

Section 6 deals with the very important procedures when there is a failure to meet a standard or indicator parameter value. It describes, and gives examples of, the investigations that private water suppliers must carry out to determine the cause of the failure (deterioration of raw water quality, a failure of a treatment process, a problem in the distribution network or the condition of the domestic distribution system). It sets out how the WSA must consult with the HSE on whether a failure is a potential danger to human health and if it is the WSA must take action with the agreement of the HSE to require, by direction if necessary, the private water supplier to prohibit or restrict the use of the supply (such as advice to boil water) in order to protect human health. It also describes the requirement, under a direction from the WSA if necessary, upon the private water supplier to prepare a programme of remedial action to bring a failing supply back into compliance and gives guidance on the actions for important parameters. Much of the guidance in this section is issued by the EPA pursuant to powers in the Regulations and is legally binding on the private water suppliers and the WSAs. This section also describes the process whereby WSAs can apply to the EPA for a departure from the standards - that is permission for the private water supplier to carry on supplying non-compliant water whilst remedial action is being taken.

Section 7 provides guidance for private water suppliers on the handling of consumers' complaints about drinking water quality. Section 8 defines incidents and emergencies affecting drinking water quality and provides guidance to private water suppliers on the management of such events including the use of Drinking Water Incident Response Plans (DWIRPs).

Section 9 sets out the information on drinking water quality and other information about private water supplies that WSAs are required to provide annually to the EPA to enable the EPA to compile its Annual Report on Drinking Water Quality in Ireland.

The EPA has adopted a drinking water safety plan (DWSP) approach to ensuring drinking water is both "safe" and "secure". Section 10 provides guidance to private water suppliers on preparing DWSPs based on the approach adopted by the World Health

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Organisation (WHO). The appendix to this section provides comprehensive guidance as part of a DWSP on carrying out risk assessments for *Cryptosporidium*, an important water borne parasite which if present can cause outbreaks of Cryptosporidiosis.

It is vital to ensure that in ensuring drinking water quality that water treatment works and distribution networks are designed, operated and maintained properly. Sections 11 and 12 provide guidance on matters related to the operation of water treatment works and distribution networks including the importance of quality management systems and standard operating procedures and the training of operators.

Finally section 13 describes the requirement on WSAs to audit private water supplies and sets out the EPA's guidance to WSAs on the priority for audits, the format of audits and the reporting mechanism.

CONTENTS



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Contents

Notice	3
Acknowledgements	4
Foreword	5

Section 1: The 2007 Regulations

1. Introduction and brief summary of the Regulations	3
2. Application of the Regulations	5
3. Role of WSA's and the EPA as the Supervisory Authority	8
4. Duties of private water suppliers to supply wholesome water	11
5. Point of compliance with the standards	13
6. Monitoring of drinking water quality	13
7. Protection of Human Health	15
8. Investigation of failures and remedial action	15
9. Departures from the standards	17
10. Enforcement by WSAs	18
11. Records to be maintained by private water suppliers and WSAs	19
12. Duties in relation to water on premises	21
13. Treatment, equipment and materials	22
14. Audit of private water suppliers by WSAs	22
15. Offences, prosecutions and penalties	23

Section 2: Standards for drinking water quality

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction	2
2. Parameter categories	2

Section 3: Monitoring of drinking water quality

1. Introduction	3
2. Compliance monitoring	3
3. Operational monitoring	14

Section 4: Guidance on Sampling

1. Introduction	4
2. Sampling manual	5
3. Compliance sampling from consumers' taps in the distribution network (and treatment works)	9
4. Compliance sampling from tankers	10
5. Operational sampling of water supplies	11
6. Other types of samples	20
7. Training of samplers	21
1. Introduction	22
2. Sampling procedures	23
Appendix 2: Specimen field log sheet	32
1. Introduction	33
2. Compliance sampling programme	33
3. Compliance sampling points	35
4. Delineation of supply zones	37

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Parameters sampled from consumers' taps	38
6. Selection of consumers' taps for sampling	40
7. Sampling from the water leaving treatment works	42
8. Compliance sampling for specific parameters	44

Section 5: Guidance on Analysis

1. Introduction	4
2. Guidance on analysis for WSAs	5
3. Annual reporting to the EPA of results of monitoring private water supplies	6
4. Analysis of operational and other samples by private water suppliers	6
Appendix 1: Guidance on analysis for WSAs	9
1. Introduction	9
2. Competency and training of analysts	10
3. Sample storage and preservation	12
4. Suitability of analytical equipment	13
5. Performance of analytical methods	14
6. Analytical quality control (AQC)	22
7. Calibration of analytical systems	26
8. Correction for analytical recovery losses for organic parameters	27
9. Records of laboratory analysis and integrity of results	29

Section 6: Procedures for non-compliance with standards

1. Introduction and overview of Regulations 9, 10 and 11	4
----------------------------------------------------------	---

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Protection of human health and consultation with the HSE	8
3. Investigations of non-compliances	11
4. Notification of non-compliances to the WSA	14
5. Preparation of remedial action programmes	15
6. Departures from the standards	33
Appendix 1: Model form for application for a departure from the standards in table B of the schedule to the Regulations in respect of a private water supply in accordance with regulation 11	36

Section 7: Drinking water quality complaints

1. Introduction	2
2. Procedures for dealing with drinking water quality complaints	2
3. Liaison with the Health Service Executive (the HSE)	5
4. Reporting complaints to the WSA	5

Section 8: Incidents and emergencies

1. Introduction	3
2. Definition of incidents and emergencies	3
3. Drinking Water Incident Response Plan (DWIRP)	5
4. Notification of incidents to the WSA and the HSE	10
5. Report on the incident/emergency by the WSA	12
6. Incidents involving outbreaks of illness	14
7. Small private water supplies	14

Section 9: Annual reporting of monitoring results and other information to the EPA

1. Introduction	3
-----------------	---

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Monitoring results and information on supplies to be reported to the EPA	4
3. Format for submission of monitoring results and information on supplies	4
Appendix 1: Suggested reporting format for pesticides	13

Section 10: Water treatment and related matters

1. Introduction	4
2. Key steps in developing a DWSP	6
3. General principles of risk assessments and control measures	7
4. Documenting the DWSP	16
5. Small private water supplies	17
Appendix 1: Risk screening methodology for <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	19
1. Introduction	22
2. Risk screening methodology	25
3. Surface water risk screening methodology	30
4. Groundwater risk screening methodology	44

Section 11: Water treatment and related matters

1. Introduction	2
2. Raw water quality	3
3. Water treatment works operation	5
4. Training of operators	7
5. Use of on-line monitors and control systems	9
Appendix 1: Performance Management System for Water Treatment Plant Index	11

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 12: Distribution network and related matters

1. Introduction	2
2. Service reservoirs and water towers	2
3. Operation and maintenance of the distribution network	4
4. New mains, repaired mains and re-lined mains	6
5. Training of operators	12

Section 13: Audit of WSAs by the EPA

1. Introduction	2
2. Audit requirements	2
3. Format of audits	3
4. Reports on audits	4

SECTION 1: THE 2007 REGULATIONS



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 1: The 2007 Regulations

Summary of Section 1

- ◆ Describes the requirements of the Regulations for private water supplies in terms of standards of wholesomeness, monitoring (sampling and analysis), protection of human health, investigations of failures and remedial action, record keeping and other matters.
- ◆ Sets out the duties and roles of the private water suppliers and the Water Services Authorities (WSAs) as the supervisory authority for the private water suppliers.
- ◆ Sets out the duties and roles of the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) as the supervisory authority for the WSAs where relevant to private water supplies.
- ◆ Sets out the role of the Health Service Executive (HSE) in protecting human health.

Contents of Section 1

1. Introduction and brief summary of the Regulations
 - 1.1 Introduction
 - 1.2 Brief summary of the Regulations
 - 1.3 General matters
2. Application of the Regulations
 - 2.1 Supplies covered
 - 2.2 Supplies exempted
3. Role of WSAs and the EPA as supervisory authorities
 - 3.1 Role of WSAs
 - 3.2 Role of the EPA
4. Duties of private water suppliers to supply wholesome water
5. Point of compliance with the standards
6. Monitoring of drinking water quality
 - 6.1 Role of WSAs
 - 6.2 Role of the EPA
 - 6.3 Role of the private water suppliers

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

7. Protection of human health
8. Investigation of failures and remedial action
9. Departures from the standards
10. Enforcement by WSAs
11. Records to be maintained by private water suppliers and WSAs
12. Duties in relation to water on premises
13. Treatment, equipment and materials
14. Audit of private water suppliers by WSAs
15. Offences, prosecutions and penalties
 - 15.1 Offences
 - 15.2 Prosecutions and penalties

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction and brief summary of the Regulations

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 | The European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007 (the Regulations) fully transpose and implement the EU Council Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption. These Regulations came into effect on 12 June 2007 when the previous Regulations were repealed.

1.1.2 | This section describes the requirements of the Regulations for private water supplies including the responsibilities of the private water suppliers and the Water Services Authorities (WSAs) as the supervising authorities for private water supplies. It also describes the role of the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) as the supervising authority for WSAs where relevant to private water supplies. While it paraphrases many of the provisions of the Regulations, it should be read in conjunction with text of the Regulations. For ease of understanding, the sub-sections follow a logical order rather than following the order of the Regulations.

1.1.3 | The following are private water supplies:

- ◆ **“Public” Group Water Schemes (PuGWS).** These are schemes where the treated water is provided by the WSA but responsibility for distribution of the treated water rests with the privately managed group scheme, such as a Committee or a Board. These schemes usually take water from the larger public water supplies.
- ◆ **“Private” Group Water Schemes (PrGWS).** These are schemes where the water is privately sourced, treated and distributed to the members (users) by a Management Committee or Board. Many of these schemes have their water treated under a Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contract arrangement.
- ◆ **Small Private Supplies (SPS).** This is a large group of different types of supply comprising industrial water supplies (such as those used in the brewing industry) to boreholes serving commercial premises (e.g. pubs, hotels etc.) and public buildings (e.g. schools, nursing homes).

1.1.4 | Collectively these are referred to as “private water supplies” and the groups, Committees, Boards, organisations or individual providing the supplies are referred to as “private water suppliers”. The “public” and “private” group water schemes combined supply water to around 10% of the population of Ireland.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1.2 Brief summary of the Regulations

1.2.1 | Briefly the Regulations:

- ◆ define the water supplies covered and exempted;
- ◆ specify WSAs as the supervisory authority in respect of all private water supplies;
- ◆ require private water suppliers to supply wholesome and clean water and define such water by reference to standards and other requirements;
- ◆ define the points at which the standards apply;
- ◆ require WSAs to monitor (sample and analyse) private water supplies with their monitoring programmes subject to approval and enforcement by the EPA;
- ◆ require WSAs, in consultation and agreement with the Health Service Executive (the HSE), to take action to protect consumers whenever private water supplies are considered to be a risk to human health;
- ◆ require WSAs to ensure that failures to comply with the standards and other requirements are immediately investigated by the private water supplier;
- ◆ require the private water supplier to prepare and implement action programmes to secure compliance which are enforceable by the WSA;
- ◆ provide for the EPA, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, to grant departures from the some of the standards pending completion of action programmes;
- ◆ require private water suppliers to inform consumers of the remedial action taken;
- ◆ require WSAs to keep a register of each private water supply within their functional areas;
- ◆ require WSAs to keep up-to-date records of the results of monitoring and make them available to the consumers of private water supplies;
- ◆ require private water suppliers to maintain records of any incidents of failure affecting their operations and to make the records available to WSAs;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ require private water suppliers to ensure that the efficiency of disinfection is verified, that concentrations of disinfection by-products are minimised without compromising disinfection and that substances and materials used in water supplies do not compromise human health;
- ◆ require WSAs to audit private water supplies;
- ◆ a person authorised by the WSA (may be a member of the WSA staff or from another organisation) may at all reasonable times enter any premises (the private water supply or premises served by the supply) for carrying out duties under the Regulations (such as sampling and investigation of failures);
- ◆ make it an offence for private water suppliers to fail to meet certain requirements (such as to comply with Directions from WSAs or to notify WSAs of incidents); and
- ◆ provide for prosecution of offences either summarily or on indictment.

1.3 General matters

1.3.1 | Regulation 1 cites that the Regulations are called ‘the European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007’ (S.I. 278 of 2007). Regulation 2 states that the Regulations come into immediate effect which means they apply from 12 June 2007. Regulation 3 defines and interprets various terms used in the Regulations.

2. Application of the Regulations

2.1 Supplies covered

2.1.1 | The supplies covered by the Regulations are defined in regulation 3(1) by the meaning of the term ‘water intended for human consumption’. Thus the Regulations apply to all public water supplies and many private water supplies as follows:

- ◆ public water supplies supplied by WSAs through a distribution network; and
- ◆ private water supplies including:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- public group water schemes – where the treated water is provided by a WSA but the responsibility for distribution of the treated water to the users rests with a privately managed group scheme, such as a Management Committee or Board. These schemes usually take water from the larger public water supplies;
- private group water schemes - where the water is privately sourced, treated and distributed to the members (users) by a Management Committee or Board. Many of these schemes have their water treated under a Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contract arrangement;
- individual private water supplies that supply 10 m³/d or more on average (50 or more persons); and
- ◆ individual private water supplies that supply less than 10 m³/d on average (less than 50 persons) and are part of a commercial or public activity, such as bed and breakfast establishments;
- ◆ water supplied from a tanker (or similar container) for example when the normal distributed supply is not available or prohibited (a do not drink water notice is in force); and
- ◆ all water used in food production (whether in manufacturing, processing, preserving or marketing) unless the EPA for public water supplies or WSAs for private water supplies is satisfied that the quality of that water cannot affect the wholesomeness of the foodstuff in its finished form (see paragraph 2.1.5).

2.1.2 | A dairy farm supplied by a private supply is covered by the Regulations because the use of the water in milking and production of milk based products could affect the wholesomeness of the finished food products.

2.1.3 | **This handbook applies to all private water supplies supplied by private water suppliers (or their DBO agents) as described above and water supplied in tankers or similar containers by private water suppliers when the private water supply is not available from the distribution network or a do not drink water notice is in force.**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.1.4 | A similar 'Handbook on Implementation for Water Services Authorities on Public Water Suppliers' applies to all the above public water supplies and water supplied in tankers or similar containers by WSAs when water is not available from a public water supply or a do not drink water notice is in force.

2.1.5 | There may be some private water supplies that do not provide water to any premises for domestic purposes (drinking, cooking etc) and only provide water to a food production undertaking. If there are any such supplies, the WSA may be able to exempt them from the Regulations if it is satisfied that the quality of the water cannot affect the wholesomeness of the finished foodstuff. Examples of water used in food production where the WSA may be satisfied that the quality of the water cannot affect the wholesomeness of the finished foodstuff are:

- ◆ washing of barley prior to making whisky and water used in distillation; and
- ◆ washing of vegetables prior to processing for canning.

Examples of water used in food production where the quality of the water could affect the wholesomeness of the finished foodstuff are:

- ◆ washing of salad crops (such as lettuce, water cress and spring onions) that are eaten uncooked;
- ◆ washing of fruit (such as eating apples, pears and plums) that are eaten raw; and
- ◆ in dairy farms for the processing of milk and other dairy products and the cleaning of equipment and utensils.

2.2 Supplies exempted

2.2.1 | The exempted supplies are defined in regulation 3(1) partly under the meaning of 'water intended for human consumption' and partly under the meaning of 'exempted supply'. Thus the following supplies are exempted from the provisions of the Regulations:

- ◆ natural mineral water as defined in the European Communities (Natural Mineral Waters, Spring Waters and Other Waters in Bottles or Containers Regulations 2007) (S.I. No. 225 of 2007);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ water, other than natural mineral water, supplied in bottles or other similar containers (Natural Mineral Waters, Spring Waters and Other Waters in Bottles or Containers Regulations 2007) (S.I. 225 of 2007);
- ◆ waters which are medicinal products (within the meaning of EU Council Directive 65/65/EEC of 26 January 1965);
- ◆ individual supplies of less than 10 m³/d on average or serving fewer than 50 persons, provided that those supplies are not used in a commercial or public activity (such as a hotel or similar commercial outlet);
- ◆ supplies used solely for purposes in respect of which (in the view of the relevant supervisory authority) the quality of the water could have no influence whatsoever on the health of consumers; and
- ◆ supplies used in food production (whether in manufacturing, processing, preserving or marketing) which the EPA for public water supplies or WSAs for private water supplies is satisfied that the quality of that water cannot affect the wholesomeness of the foodstuff in its finished form.

2.2.2 | For the exempted supplies specified in the last three bullets of 2.2.1, the Regulations require WSAs to notify those supplied that the Regulations do not apply. WSAs must also indicate to those consumers the action that can be taken to protect their health from the adverse effects of any contamination of the supply and when a potential danger to human health comes to light from an exempted supply, the relevant WSA must provide appropriate advice promptly to the consumers of that supply.

3. Role of WSA's and the EPA as the Supervisory Authority

3.1 Role of WSAs

3.1.1 | Under regulation 3(1) the WSA is defined as the supervisory authority for all private water supplies in its functional area. Thus each WSA is responsible for monitoring (sampling and analysis) of all private water supplies in its area and for enforcement

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

of compliance by the private water suppliers with the standards for drinking water quality and other relevant requirements of the Regulations. The Regulations specifically provide for each WSA:

- ◆ to monitor compliance of water supplied by private water suppliers with the standards (tables A and B of part 1 of the schedule) and indicator parameter values (table C of part 1 of the schedule) specified in the Regulations (regulation 7(2));
- ◆ to direct private water suppliers to keep such records as it may specify on the management, treatment, monitoring and remedial action in relation to private water supplies (regulation 8(1)), to maintain a register of all private water supplies in its area (regulation 8(3)) and to require private water suppliers to provide specified information to enable it to maintain the register (regulation 8(5));
- ◆ to ensure, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, that whenever it is considered that a private water supply is a potential danger to human health that appropriate action is taken by the private water supplier, such as prohibiting or restricting the supply of water, to protect consumers (regulation 9(1));
- ◆ to ensure that any failure to comply with the standard and indicator parameter values is immediately investigated by the private water supplier to identify the cause (regulation 10(1));
- ◆ to ensure that the necessary remedial action is taken by the private water supplier as soon as possible to restore drinking water quality and if necessary to issue a Direction to the water supplier for that purpose (regulation 10(4)) and to amend and approve remedial action programmes submitted to it by private water suppliers (regulation 10(6));
- ◆ to make an application for a departure to the EPA in respect of a private water supply when such an application is appropriate (regulation 11(2));
- ◆ to issue such directions to private water suppliers as it considers necessary to achieve compliance with the standards and indicator parameter values and if a private water supplier fails to comply to carry out or arrange to carry out any action needed to comply and to recover the costs from the private water supplier (regulation 12);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ to direct private water suppliers to undertake specific measures to comply with the requirement on the quality of treatment (including disinfection), equipment and materials (regulation 13(3));
- ◆ to give such directions to private water suppliers as it considers appropriate for its functions under the Regulations (regulation 16(1));
- ◆ to undertake an audit of private water supplies to ensure that the Regulations are complied with by the private water suppliers (regulation 17(1));
- ◆ to apply to the High Court for an order when a person fails to comply with a direction issued by it or fails to comply with a requirement of the Regulations (regulation 18(1));
- ◆ to recover its costs for monitoring private water supplies [from the private water supplier]; and
- ◆ to take a prosecution against a private water supplier for any of the summary offences specified in the Regulations (regulation 22(1)).

3.1.2 | Where a private water supply is made within the functional areas of two or more WSAs, the WSAs concerned may agree that one of the WSAs shall perform the functions required by the Regulations in respect of that private water supply (regulation 7(3)(a)). It would be reasonable for the WSA with the largest part of the private water supply in its area to agree to perform these functions. The Minister at the Department of Environment Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) may direct those WSAs to nominate a WSA to perform these functions and if those WSAs fail to comply the Minister may direct one of the WSAs to perform these functions (regulation 7(3)(b)). It is likely that the Minister will only use these powers when the WSAs fail to agree amongst themselves which WSA shall perform the functions.

3.2 Role of the EPA

3.2.1 | Under the Regulations the EPA is the supervising authority for the WSAs and this includes some of the WSAs' duties and responsibilities in respect of private water supplies. The Regulations specifically provide for the EPA to:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ to review WSAs' monitoring programmes in respect of private water supplies (regulation 7(5)(b)), to direct WSAs to amend their monitoring programmes (regulation 7(6)), to issue guidelines on the manner, frequency and method of monitoring (regulation 7(11)), to supervise the performance of WSAs on their monitoring functions and direct WSAs as necessary (regulation 7(12) and apply to the High Court for an order when a WSA has failed to comply with a Direction on monitoring (regulation 7(15));
- ◆ to issue guidelines to assist WSAs to fulfil their obligations about protecting human health when there is potential danger to health from private water supplies (regulation 9(5));
- ◆ to issue guidelines for private water suppliers to notify WSAs of any failures to meet the standards and indicator parameter values (regulation 10(2)) and on the nature and timing of remedial and enforcement action (regulation 10(8)) to ensure that remedial action is taken by private water suppliers to restore the quality of water; and
- ◆ with the agreement of the HSE, to grant (regulation 11(1)) and review (regulation 11(4)(d)) departures from the standards in respect of private water supplies on applications by WSAs and to specify the information to be included in the applications (regulation 11(3)).

4. Duties of private water suppliers to supply wholesome water

4.1 | Regulation 4 requires private water suppliers to ensure that their water supplies are wholesome and clean, and that they meet the requirements of the Regulations. Water that is "wholesome and clean" is defined as water which:

- ◆ is free from any micro-organisms and parasites and from any substances which in numbers or concentrations, constitute a potential danger to human health; and
- ◆ meets the quality standards specified in Tables A (microbiological) and B (chemical) in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.2 | The indicator parameter values in Table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations are not part of the definition of a wholesome and clean water. However if there is a breach of one of these values, the WSA is required to consider whether the breach poses a risk to human health and if it does to require the relevant private water supplier to take action to restore the quality of water (regulation 10(5)). The WSA is required to consult, and agree with, the HSE on whether there is a risk to human health (regulation 9(2)).

4.3 | Under regulation 6(1), a private water supplier is not in breach of its obligations under the Regulations where any non-compliance with the standards or indicator parameter values is due to the domestic distribution system in premises or the maintenance of that system (see paragraph 12 of this section).

4.4 | WSAs determine whether the private water supplies in their functional areas are wholesome by carrying out the monitoring (sampling and analysis) required by regulation 7 and parts 2 and 3 of the schedule to the Regulations (see sub-section 6 of this section). Where this monitoring shows that a water supply does not meet the requirements and standards in paragraph 4.1 and the values in paragraph 4.2, the WSA is required to ensure that the private water supplier investigates the failure to determine the cause and to take appropriate remedial action (see sub-section 8 of this Section). When a failure is considered to be a potential danger to human health, the WSA is required, in agreement with the HSE, to ensure that the private water supplier takes action to protect consumers (see paragraph 7 of this section). WSAs may direct a private water supplier to keep records about the management and treatment of its supply, the corrective action taken following non-compliance or verification of the efficiency of disinfection (regulation 8(1)). WSAs are required to maintain a register of private water supplies including details of each private water supply, the results of monitoring to determine compliance with the standards, the results of investigation of failures and details of remedial action taken (regulations 8(3) and (4)).

4.5 | Private water suppliers and WSAs in taking measures under the Regulations must ensure that there is no deterioration in the existing quality of private water supplies so far as that is relevant for the protection of human health or an increase in the pollution of waters used in the production of water supplies (regulation 15).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Point of compliance with the standards

5.1 | The standards and other requirements of wholesomeness in paragraph 4.1 and the indicator parameter values in paragraph 4.2 are required to be met as follows:

- ◆ at the taps normally used for human consumption (such as kitchen taps - consumers' taps) in the case of water supplied by private water suppliers through a distribution network;
- ◆ at the point where it emerges from a tanker or similar container provided by a private water supplier when the normal distributed supply is not available or is prohibited; and
- ◆ at the point where water is used in a food undertaking.

5.2 | WSAs are required to monitor compliance with the standards and other requirements in private water supplies by taking and analysing samples from consumers' taps in premises in the distribution network. However, WSAs may take samples from other points within the distribution network (such as service reservoirs) or from treatment works for particular parameters if WSAs can demonstrate that there will be no adverse change in the concentrations or values of those parameters between those points and consumers' taps.

6. Monitoring of drinking water quality

6.1 Role of WSAs

6.1.1 | Each WSA is required under regulation 7(2) to monitor all the private water supplies in its functional area to determine whether they comply with the standards and other requirements of the Regulations. To achieve this each WSA is required by regulation 7(5) to establish an annual monitoring programme that accords with the detailed provisions (frequency and parameters for check and audit monitoring) in part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations and which specifies the points at which samples will be taken for analysis. The DoEHLG has already issued each WSA with an interactive database under the Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP) which enables WSAs to generate the monitoring programmes. Each WSA is also required to carry out on a case by case basis under regulation 7(10) additional monitoring for substances and micro-organisms for which no standard is set in the Regulations,

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

but where there is reason to suspect that they may be present and they may pose a potential danger to human health (these could include for example *Cryptosporidium*, uranium, molybdenum).

6.1.2 | Regulation 7(3) deals with the situation where a private water supply provided by a private water supplier straddles the areas of one or more WSAs. In this situation those WSAs may decide that one of them shall carry out the monitoring function for that supply. The Minister of DoEHLG has the power to direct one of the WSAs to carry out the monitoring function for that supply.

6.1.3 | Each WSA has to ensure that the samples it takes are representative of the quality of drinking water consumed throughout the year and are equally distributed through the supply (regulation 7(7)). It also has to ensure (regulation 7(8)) that it complies with the specifications for the analysis of parameters specified in part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations. Detailed advice on analysis is provided in section 5 of this handbook.

6.2 Role of the EPA

6.2.1 | Regulation 7(12) requires EPA to supervise the performance of WSAs in respect of their monitoring functions and permits EPA to issue directions if necessary. Each WSA is required to submit its monitoring programme on private water supplies to EPA whenever EPA directs it to do so (regulation 7(5)). EPA may issue a legally binding direction (regulation 7(6)) to a WSA requiring it to amend its monitoring programme. EPA may also issue guidelines under regulation 7(11) on various matters relating to monitoring and it has done so in Section 3 of this handbook. A WSA must comply with any direction from the EPA and it commits an offence if it fails to comply (Regulations 7(13) and (14)). When there is such a failure, the EPA may apply to the High Court for an order (Regulations 7(15) and 18(1)) and it may bring a prosecution under regulation 22(1).

6.3 Role of private water suppliers

6.3.1 | The Regulations do not require private water suppliers to carry out any monitoring to assess whether their supplies comply with the standards and indicator values in the Regulations. This mandatory “compliance monitoring” function is carried out by WSAs. Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is recommended

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

that private water suppliers should consider carrying out appropriate operational monitoring of their raw water sources, treatment works and distribution systems (see sections 3 and 4 of this handbook).

7. Protection of Human Health

7.1 | Regulation 9 provides that where a private water supply is considered to be a risk to human health a WSA must take follow-up action in consultation and agreement with the HSE. The Regulation obliges a WSA, subject to the agreement of the HSE, to ensure that use of the relevant private water supply is prohibited or restricted and that consumers are informed promptly and given any necessary advice. The regulation requires the WSA to issue a Direction as it considers it necessary to the private water supplier requiring it to take measures necessary to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the risk. Therefore each WSA must establish, and keep under review, appropriate contact arrangements with the HSE and its private water suppliers. A private water supplier commits an offence if it fails to comply with a Direction from a WSA (regulation 9(6)). Further advice from the EPA in relation to regulation 9(5) on the protection of human health is given in section 6 of this handbook.

8. Investigation of failures and remedial action

8.1 | Regulation 10(1) requires each WSA to ensure that each non-compliance with quality standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations is immediately investigated by the private water supplier to determine the cause of the failure. This investigation should establish whether the non-compliance was due to the domestic distribution system or the maintenance of that system (see paragraph 12 of this section). Regulation 10(2) requires a private water supplier to inform the WSA as soon as it discovers non-compliance (for example through operational monitoring or breakdown of treatment) in accordance with any guidelines issued by the EPA (see section 6 of this handbook). Failure to notify the WSA is an offence under regulation 10(3).

8.2 | Regulation 10(4) specifies the intervention necessary for the purposes of remedial action. The WSA is required to ensure that remedial action is taken by the relevant private water supplier as soon as possible. However, the WSA must prioritise its interventions having regard to the extent to which the standards have been breached and human health put at risk. Regulation 10(4)(c) requires that within 14 days of

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

receiving the monitoring results indicating a breach of a standard (not an indicator parameter value), the WSA must, subject to any departures in force (see paragraph 9 of this section), ensure that the private water supplier –

- ◆ takes the necessary remedial action to restore water quality and secure compliance with the standards in the Regulations; **the WSA may issue a direction if it considers it necessary;**
- ◆ prepare and submit a remedial action programme to the WSA for approval within 60 days; and
- ◆ implement it as soon as possible but not later than:
 - one year from the date of its approval by the WSA in relation to quality standards specified in Tables A (microbiological) and B (chemical) in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations where a risk to human health arises, or
 - two years from the date of its approval by the WSA in relation to quality standards specified in Table B (chemical) in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, where a risk to human health does not arise.

8.3 | When there is a breach of an indicator parameter value, the WSA must consider whether the breach poses a risk to human health. The WSA must consult and agree with the HSE on whether there is such a risk and, if so, what action to take. If a risk to human health exists the WSA must, as it considers it necessary, require the relevant private water supplier to take remedial action to restore water quality and to submit and implement a remedial action programme within the time frame specified in paragraph 8.2.

8.4 | Under regulation 10(6), the WSA may amend a private water supplier's action programme and under regulation 10(7), the programme must include such interim measures as are appropriate taking into account any strategic water supply plan of the WSA for its functional area. Regulation 10(8) provides for the EPA to issue guidelines about the nature and timing of remedial, enforcement and other relevant action under this regulation. Private water suppliers are obliged under regulation 10(9) to inform consumers about the remedial action, unless the WSA considers the breach to be trivial in nature and extent. It is unlikely that the WSA will issue a direction if it considers the breach to be trivial.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

8.5 | Private water suppliers are required to maintain, and make available on request to WSA, a record of each incidence of failure to meet the standards and indicator parameter values which includes details of (Regulations 10(10) and (11)):

- ◆ the date of the incident;
- ◆ the extent and duration of the failure;
- ◆ the cause of the failure; and
- ◆ any complaint received arising from the failure.

8.6 | Regulation 10(12) makes it an offence for a private water supplier to fail to:

- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA under regulation 10(4);
- ◆ inform consumers in accordance with regulation 10(9);
- ◆ maintain records for the purposes of regulation 10(10); and
- ◆ make a record available to the WSA on request under regulation 10(11).

9. Departures from the standards

9.1 | Regulation 11 provides for departures from the standards in table B (chemical parameters) in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. A departure is permission for a private water supplier to continue supplying water which breaches a standard whilst remedial action is being taken. The EPA may grant a departure on application by a WSA up to a maximum value determined by the EPA, and subject to agreement with the HSE, provided that the departure does not constitute a potential danger to human health and the water supply to the area cannot be maintained by other reasonable means.

9.2 | Regulation 11(3) specifies that the application for a departure shall contain the information specified by the EPA (see section 6 of this handbook). Regulation 11(4) requires that a departure granted by the EPA shall:

- ◆ be subject to such conditions as may be specified by the EPA;
- ◆ be for as short a period of time as possible and not exceed 3 years;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ specify the matters set out in part 4 of the schedule to the Regulations, unless the EPA has determined that the non-compliance is trivial and the remedial action is completed within 30 days (but not if the failure occurred on more than 30 days during the previous 12 months); and
- ◆ be reviewed by the EPA before the end of the period to determine whether sufficient progress has been made.

9.3 | Regulations 11(6) and (7) provide for the EPA under specified circumstances and conditions to grant second and third departures each for a period not exceeding three years. Regulation 11(8) requires the EPA to notify the granting of all departures involving supplies exceeding 1,000 m³/d (more than 5,000 people) to the minister at the DoEHLG who in turn has to notify the European Commission.

9.4 | Regulation 11(9) requires a WSA which is granted a departure for a private water supply (other than one for a trivial breach) to:

- ◆ promptly inform the population supplied of the departure and its conditions; and
- ◆ advise particular population groups for which the departure could present a special risk (for example for a departure from the nitrate standard, advising mothers bottle feeding infants to use low nitrate bottled water instead of the water supply because of the risk of methaemoglobinaemia).

10. Enforcement by WSAs

10.1 | In addition to the functions outlined previously, WSAs now have significantly enhanced enforcement powers to ensure that private water suppliers comply with the requirements of the Regulations:

- ◆ regulation 16 enables a WSA to give legally binding directions to private water suppliers as are appropriate for fulfilling its functions and failure to comply with a direction is a prosecutable offence under regulation 22;
- ◆ regulation 18 provides for a WSA to obtain injunctive relief in the High Court when a private water supplier has failed to comply with a direction or other requirement – in effect an order from the Court to the private water supplier to comply;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ regulation 19 provides for an authorised person from the WSA (or the EPA) to enter premises for the purpose of their functions under the Regulations and to bring on to the premises other persons and equipment to carry out such work as the authorised person considers necessary. Any person from the WSA (or the EPA) entering any premises connected with the private water supply would need to comply with all health and safety measures and would not interfere with the safe operation of any plant and equipment at the premises;
- ◆ regulation 12 provides for the WSA, after consultation with the private water supplier to give such assistance or support to that private water supplier in order to achieve satisfactory compliance with the standards and other requirements of the Regulations. Regulation 12(2) also provides for direct intervention by the WSA where a water supplier fails to comply with a direction. It is likely that a WSA would only use this as a last resort where direct and urgent intervention is necessary in the interests of human health. If the WSA uses this power it can recover its costs from the private water supplier as a simple contract debt; and
- ◆ regulation 13 enables the WSA to issue a legally binding direction to a private water supplier to ensure that they undertake specific measures in relation to the substances or materials used in the treatment or distribution of drinking water and also in relation to the efficiency of disinfection systems.

11. Records to be maintained by private water suppliers and WSAs

11.1 | Regulations 8(1) and 10(10) place specific record keeping obligations on private water suppliers. Regulation 8(1) provides for a WSA to direct a private water supplier to keep, and submit to the WSA, such records as the WSA may specify in relation to:

- ◆ the management and treatment of water supplies;
- ◆ the corrective action, and the other information required by regulation 10(10), taken following a non-compliance with the standards and values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations;
 - the date of the incident of failure to comply;
 - the extent and duration of the failure;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- the cause of the failure;
 - the remedial action taken; and
 - any complaint received arising from the failure; and
- ◆ verification of the efficiency of disinfection in accordance with regulation 13(2).

11.2 | Regulation 8(2) requires the WSA to carry out, or arrange to carry out, such monitoring as it considers necessary to verify the information in the private water supplier's record. Under regulation 8(3), each WSA is required to maintain a register of each private water supply provided by each private water supplier which must include as a minimum (the WSA can direct a private water supplier to provide the information):

- ◆ the name and address of the private water supplier;
- ◆ the volume of water supplied in m³/d (or population);
- ◆ the type of water treatment;
- ◆ the source of the water supply; and
- ◆ the supply code allocated under the DWNMP, or any subsequently allocated code.

The WSA is required to keep the register at its principal office for inspection by any person during office hours and to provide a copy of an entry in the register to a person for whom it may charge the reasonable cost of that copy Regulations 8(7) and (8). Regulation 8(9) enables this register to be in electronic form provided a person can obtain a legible copy from it.

11.3 | Each WSA is required to keep the record of its monitoring of private water supplies (and public water supplies) at its principal office for inspection by any person during office hours and to provide a copy of an entry in the record to a person for which it may make a reasonable charge. The Minister of the DoEHLG has issued guidelines under regulation (9) (Circular letter WSP 6/09) requesting **WSAs to start putting their monitoring results on their websites** from July 2009. Access to the information should be from a prominent position of the home page on the website and the information should be presented in a simple user friendly way with a minimum of technical language. The information should be put on the website within one month of the results being available from the laboratory except that when the result indicates

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

a failure to meet a standard or indicator parameter that result should be put on the website as soon as possible after the HSE has confirmed whether or not there is a potential risk to human health.

12. Duties in relation to water on premises

12.1 | Under regulation 6(1), a private water supplier is not in breach of its obligations under regulation 4(1) where any non-compliance with the standards or indicator parameter values is due to the domestic distribution system in premises or the maintenance of that system. It follows that when there is non-compliance in a sample taken from a consumer's tap, the private water supplier's investigation has to determine whether the failure was due to the quality of water supplied by the private water supplier or to the condition of the domestic distribution system.

12.2 | The owner of a premises where the water is supplied as part of a commercial or public activity (including but not limited to schools, hospitals and restaurants) is required by regulation 6(2) to maintain the domestic distribution system within the premises so that its condition does not cause, or risk, a failure of a standard or value in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. Where there has been a failure, or risk of a failure, in such premises, the WSA shall ensure, by direction if necessary, that the owner (or WSA) takes appropriate action to (regulation 6(3)):

- ◆ immediately prevent or restrict the supply as the WSA deems appropriate until the system is restored. Before taking this action, the WSA must take into account the risk to health an interruption to supply may cause and it should consult and agree the action with the HSE; and
- ◆ restore the system to the standard necessary to comply with the standards and values.

It is an offence for the owner of premises to fail to comply with these requirements.

12.3 | Where the non-compliance, or risk of non-compliance in premises where the water is not supplied as part of a commercial or public activity, the WSA must ensure under regulation 6(6) that:

- ◆ measures are taken to reduce or eliminate the risk, including advising the owners of any possible remedial action they can take; **or**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ other measures are taken to reduce or eliminate the risk of not complying after supply, such as appropriate treatment to change the nature or properties of the water before it is supplied; **and**
- ◆ the consumers are informed of these measures and advised of any possible additional remedial action they should take.

12.4 | Regulation 19 provides for an authorised person from a WSA (or the EPA) to enter premises for the purpose of their functions under the Regulations and to bring on to the premises other persons and equipment to carry out such work as the authorised person considers necessary. A WSA may need to use this provision to enable it to comply with its duties under regulation 6.

13. Treatment, equipment and materials

13.1 | Regulation 13(1) requires each private water supplier to ensure that the substances and materials (and any impurities in them) used in the treatment or distribution of water at new installations do not remain in the water supplied in concentrations higher than necessary for their purpose of use and that they do not reduce the protection of health provided by the standards and other requirements of the Regulations.

13.2 | Private water suppliers must ensure when disinfecting a water supply that the efficiency of disinfection is verified and that the concentrations of disinfection by-products (such as trihalomethanes) are kept as low as possible without compromising disinfection (regulation 13(2)).

13.3 | The WSA can direct a private water supplier to take specific measures on the above matters and it is an offence for a private water supplier to fail to comply with a direction (Regulations 13(3) and (4)).

14. Audit of private water suppliers by WSAs

14.1 | Regulation 17(1) requires each WSA to audit the private water supplies made by private water suppliers to ensure that the provisions of the Regulations (standards, investigations and remedial action etc) are met by private water suppliers.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

15. Offences, prosecutions and penalties

15.1 Offences

15.1.1 | A private water supplier commits an offence under the Regulations if it fails to:

- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA regarding its record keeping (regulation 8(6));
- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA regarding the protection of human health (regulation 9(6));
- ◆ inform the WSA of a failure to meet the standards or values in tables A, B and C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations (regulation 10(3));
- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA regarding an action programme (regulation 10(12(a)));
- ◆ inform consumers of the remedial action taken (regulation 10(12(b)));
- ◆ maintain records of each incidence of failure to meet the standards or values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations (regulation 10(12(c)));
- ◆ make the record of such a failure available to the WSA on request (regulation 10(12)(d));
- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA regarding the use of substances and materials and the efficiency of disinfection (regulation 13(4)); and
- ◆ comply with a direction from the WSA about any matter it considers appropriate (regulation 16(2)).

15.1.2 | A person commits an offence under the Regulations if the person:

- ◆ contravenes the requirement to maintain the domestic distribution system within premises in a satisfactory condition (regulation 6(7)(a));
- ◆ fails to comply with a direction from a WSA regarding the domestic distribution system within premises (regulation 6(7)(b)); and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ fails to allow an authorised person to enter premises, obstructs or impedes, gives false or misleading information to or fails to comply with a direction issued by an authorised person (regulation 19(3)).

15.2 Persecutions and Penalties

15.2.1 | Regulation 22(1) permits the WSA to bring a prosecution against a private water supplier or a person who has committed a summary offence under the Regulations. A person who commits an offence under the Regulations is liable to:

- ◆ on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding 5,000 Euros, or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 months, or both; or
- ◆ on conviction on indictment, to a fine not exceeding 500,000 Euros, or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 years, or both.

SECTION 2: STANDARDS FOR DRINKING WATER QUALITY



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 2: Standards for drinking water quality

Summary of Section 2

- ◆ Describes the standards of wholesomeness that private water supplies provided by private water suppliers are required to meet. If there is a failure to meet a standard, the private supplier is required to take remedial action to ensure compliance with the standard.
- ◆ Describes the indicator parameter values and the actions that are necessary where there has been a failure to meet one of the indicator parameter values.

Contents of Section 2

1. Introduction
2. Parameter categories
 - 2.1 Introduction
 - 2.2 Microbiological parameters
 - Table 2.1: microbiological parameters
 - 2.3 Chemical parameters
 - Table 2.2: chemical parameters
 - 2.4 Indicator parameters
 - Table 2.3: indicator parameters (excluding radiological parameters)
 - Table 2.4: radiological parameters

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | Regulation 4 requires private water suppliers to ensure that their water supplies are wholesome and clean, and that they meet the requirements of the Regulations. Water that is “wholesome and clean” is defined as water which:

- ◆ is free from any micro-organisms and parasites and from any substances which in numbers or concentrations, constitute a potential danger to human health; and
- ◆ meets the quality standards specified in Tables A (microbiological) and B (chemical) in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations.

1.2 | The indicator parameter values in Table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations are not part of the definition of a wholesome and clean water. However if there is a breach of one of these values, the Water Services Authority (WSA) is required to consider, in consultation and agreement with the Health Service Executive (the HSE), whether the breach poses a risk to human health and if it does to require the relevant private water supplier to take action to restore the quality of water.

1.3 | The quality standards and indicator parameter values are collectively referred to as parameter values in the Regulations.

2. Parameter categories

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 | The Regulations define three categories of parameters. These are **microbiological** parameters, **chemical** parameters and **indicator** parameters. The indicator parameters include some **microbiological** and **chemical** parameters and two parameters under the heading **radioactivity**. The main difference between the first two categories and the third is related to the actions that are necessary following non-compliance with the specified parametric values. Where there is non-compliance with a microbiological or chemical parameter the private water supplier is required to take remedial action to bring the water supply into compliance. Where there is non-compliance with an indicator parametric value the supervisory authority (WSA) must determine, in consultation and agreement with HSE, whether the non-compliance poses a risk to human health and if it does it may require, by means of a direction, the

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

private water supplier to take remedial action to restore the quality of water. Where the WSA is satisfied, in agreement with the HSE, that there is no risk to human health, the private water supplier is not required to take remedial action.

2.2 Microbiological parameters

2.2.1 | The microbiological parameters and their standards in table A of the schedule to the Regulations are shown in table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1: microbiological parameters

Parameter number	Parameter	Parameter value (number/100ml)
1	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (E. coli)	0
2	Enterococci	0

2.2.2 | The parameter *E. coli* is of paramount importance for the assessment of the microbiological quality of drinking water. It has been used for many years as an indicator of contamination by faecal matter. Properly treated and disinfected water will not contain *E. coli*. If *E. coli* is detected in treated or distributed water, there is a potential risk to human health. The cause of the presence of *E. coli* must be investigated immediately and remedial action must be taken promptly. The parameter enterococci comprises another group of faecal indicator organisms and its determination complements and supplements that of *E. coli*.

2.3 Chemical parameters

2.3.1 | These form the largest group of parameters in the Regulations. The parameters range from specific substances such as individual metals, individual organic compounds to generic substances such as pesticides and the disinfection by-products, trihalomethanes. The parameters and their parametric values in table B of the schedule are further defined by reference to the notes associated with the table. For example for the generic substances the notes specify the individual substances to be included within the generic parameter.

2.3.2 | Table 2.2 sets out the chemical parameters, their parametric values and measurement units and the comments as in the Regulations, but omitting some standards that are no longer applicable because a more stringent standard is now in

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

force. The notes in the schedule are reproduced in italics after the table and where necessary are amplified in normal type to provide further explanation. Some additional explanatory notes are indicated by a superscript applied to the parameter name.

Table 2.2: chemical parameters

Parameter number	Parameter	Parametric value	Units	Comments
3	Acrylamide	0.10	µg/l	Note 1
4	Antimony	5.0	µg/l	
5	Arsenic	10	µg/l	
6	Benzene	1.0	µg/l	
7	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	µg/l	
8	Boron	1.0	mg/l	
9	Bromate ¹	10	µg/l	
10	Cadmium	5.0	µg/l	
11	Chromium	50	µg/l	
12	Copper	2.0	mg/l	Note 2
13	Cyanide	50	µg/l	
14	1,2-Dichloroethane	3.0	µg/l	
15	Epichlorohydrin	0.10	µg/l	Note 1
16	Fluoride ² (a) fluoridated supplies (b) supplies with naturally occurring fluoride, not needing further fluoridation	0.8 1.5	mg/l	
17	Lead Until 24 December 2013 From 25 December 2013	25 10	µg/l µg/l	Notes 2 and 3
18	Mercury	1.0	µg/l	
19	Nickel	20	µg/l	Note 2
20	Nitrate	50	mg/l	Note 4
21	Nitrite	0.50	µg/l	Note 4
22	Pesticides	0.10	µg/l	Notes 5 and 6
23	Pesticides – Total	0.50	µg/l	Notes 5 and 7

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter number	Parameter	Parametric value	Units	Comments
24	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	0.1	µg/l	Sum of concentrations of specified compounds; Note 8
25	Selenium	10	µg/l	
26	Tetrachloroethene and Trichloroethene	10	µg/l	Sum of concentrations of specified parameters
27	Trihalomethanes – Total	100	µg/l	Sum of concentrations of specified compounds; Note 9
28	Vinyl chloride	0.50	µg/l	Note 1

*Note 1: **acrylamide, epichlorohydrin and vinyl chloride.** The parametric value refers to the residual monomer concentration in the water as calculated according to specifications of the maximum release from the corresponding polymer in contact with the water.*

Acrylamide is the unit chemical (monomer) from which polyacrylamides, used as coagulant aids in drinking water treatment, are prepared. If polyacrylamides are used in the treatment process it is essential that the amount of acrylamide monomer in the product is determined. This will be available from the manufacturer but it should also be checked independently on a regular basis by the private water supplier or the WSA. This figure is used with the dosage of polyacrylamide to calculate the maximum concentration of acrylamide monomer that could be present in the treated water if none is removed in the waterworks sludge. Direct measurement of acrylamide monomer in treated drinking water is only practical in specialist laboratories and should not be carried out by WSAs unless a routinely practical method becomes available. Additional acrylamide monomer may be present in the treated water when polyacrylamides are used in the waterworks sludge treatment and the supernatant is returned to works inlet. If this is the case a similar calculation will be necessary. Private water suppliers using polyacrylamides should only use those products that have been approved (for example by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (the DWI) of England and Wales or other equivalent European approval scheme). WSAs should have regard to the CEN Report IS CR 14269:2001: Chemicals Used for the Treatment of Water Intended for Human Consumption – Guidelines for the Purchase.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Epichlorohydrin is one of the unit chemicals from which the polyamines, used as coagulants and coagulant aids in drinking water treatment, are prepared. Similar information and a similar calculation is needed as for acrylamide. Private water suppliers using polyamines should only use those products that have been approved (for example by the DWI or other equivalent European approval scheme). WSAs should have regard to the CEN Report IS CR 14269:2001: Chemicals Used for the Treatment of Water Intended for Human Consumption – Guidelines for the Purchase. Epichlorohydrin is also one of the unit chemicals used to prepare epoxy resins that can be used to line the internal surfaces of service reservoirs, water towers and distribution mains. Private water suppliers using epoxy resins should only use those products that have been approved (for example by the DWI or other equivalent European approval scheme) because they will meet the requirements of the Regulations.

Vinyl chloride is the unit chemical used to make unplasticised polyvinyl chloride (uPVC) pipes used for distribution mains. Private water suppliers using uPVC should only use those products that have been approved (for example by the DWI or other equivalent European approval scheme) because they will meet the requirements of the Regulations.

*Note 2: **copper, lead and nickel.** The value applies to a sample of water intended for human consumption obtained by an adequate sampling method at the tap and taken so as to be representative of a weekly average value ingested by consumers and that takes account of the occurrence of peak levels that may cause adverse effects on human health. Section 4 describes in more detail the recommended sampling method for these parameters and particularly for lead.*

*Note 3: **lead.** The lead standard is 25 µg/l until the end of 24 December 2013. From the start of 25 December 2013 the new standard of 10 µg/l must be met. All appropriate measures shall be taken to reduce the concentration of lead in water intended for human consumption as much as possible during the period needed to achieve compliance with the parametric value. When implementing the measures priority shall be progressively given to achieve compliance with that value where lead concentrations in water intended for human consumption are highest. Section 6 describes the actions private water suppliers are required to take to meet the new lead standard.*

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Note 4: nitrate and nitrite. Compliance must be ensured with the conditions that $[nitrate]/50 + [nitrite]/3 \leq 1$, the square brackets signifying concentrations in mg/l for nitrate (NO_3) and nitrite (NO_2), and the value of 0.10 mg/l for nitrite ex water treatment works. The combined standard for nitrate and nitrite is important when chloramination is used as the disinfection method.

Note 5: pesticides. Only those pesticides which are likely to be present in a given supply require to be monitored. "Pesticides" means:

- ❖ - organic insecticides,
- ❖ - organic herbicides,
- ❖ - organic fungicides,
- ❖ - organic nematocides,
- ❖ - organic acaricides,
- ❖ - organic algicides,
- ❖ - organic rodenticides,
- ❖ - organic slimicides,
- ❖ - related products [inter alia, growth regulators]

and their relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products.

Paragraph 8.2 of appendix 3 of section 4 gives detailed advice to WSAs on how to decide which pesticides are likely to be present in private water supplies, what constitutes relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products and therefore which pesticides need to be included in their compliance monitoring programme.

Note 6: pesticides. The parametric value applies to each individual pesticide. In the case of aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide the parametric value is 0.030 µg/l.

Note 7: total pesticides. "Pesticides - Total" means the sum of all individual pesticides detected and quantified in the course of the monitoring procedure. Any pesticide included in the compliance monitoring programme that was not detected, that is its

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

concentration was between 0.0 µg/l and the limit of detection of the method used, is assumed not to be present and to make no contribution to the total pesticides concentration.

Note 8: trihalomethanes. For the “trihalomethanes – total” parameter - *the specified compounds are chloroform, bromoform, dibromochloromethane and bromodichloromethane.* Thus the total trihalomethanes (THMs) parameter refers to the sum of the detected and quantified concentrations of the specified individual THMs calculated in a similar manner to the total pesticides parameter. The following part of the note in the schedule to the Regulations is now irrelevant because private water suppliers were required to meet the standard for total THMs of 100 µg/l by the start of 25 December 2008. *All appropriate measures must be taken to reduce the concentration of THMs in water intended for human consumption as much as possible during the period needed to achieve compliance with the parametric value. When implementing the measures to achieve this value, priority must progressively be given to those areas where THM concentrations in water intended for human consumption are highest*

Note 9: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *The specified compounds are:*

- ❖ - benzo(k)fluoranthene
- ❖ - benzo(b)fluoranthene
- ❖ - benzo(ghi)perylene
- ❖ - indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene.

Thus the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) parameter refers to the sum of the detected and quantified concentrations of the specified individual PAH calculated in a similar manner to the total pesticides parameter. Private water suppliers and WSAs should note that there is a separate standard for another individual PAH, benzo(a) pyrene, of 0.01 µg/l as it is the most toxic of the PAHs found in drinking water. The usual source of PAH in drinking water is from the degradation of the internal lining of coal tar pitch applied to some of the older cast iron distribution mains.

¹Bromate. This is a disinfection by-product that occurs when waters containing bromide are treated with strong oxidants such as ozone. Bromate is also present in sodium hypochlorite solutions that are often used to disinfect drinking water supplies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

²**Fluoride.** The standard for fluoride for those water supplies that are fluoridated is 0.8 mg/l. It is understood that currently no private water supplies are fluoridated, but some Public Group Water Schemes (PUGWS) may be fluoridated by the WSAs and then distributed by the private water suppliers. For supplies that are not fluoridated, that is containing only naturally occurring fluoride, the standard is 1.5 mg/l.

2.4 Indicator parameters

2.4.1 | The indicator parameters are a mixture of microbiological, chemical and radiological parameters and parameters covering other characteristics of drinking water supplies. A failure to meet an indicator parameter value does not necessarily mean that there is a human health risk from the supply. A failure is a signal that there may be a problem with the supply that needs investigation and consideration of whether there is a human health risk. For example a failure to meet the aluminium indicator parameter value could be a signal that the coagulation and filtration treatment plant is not being operated effectively or the detection of coliform bacteria could be a signal that the water supply has become contaminated. Any failure to meet an indicator parameter must be investigated by the private water supplier to determine the cause but the private water supplier is only required to take remedial action when the WSA, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, decides that there is a risk to human health. This decision takes into account the nature of the parameter and the extent and duration of the failure and whether other indicator parameters fail in the same supply. Many of the indicator parameters describe the aesthetic quality of water supplies – the characteristics of drinking water that are noticed by consumers because of its appearance, taste or smell.

2.4.2 | Table 2.3 sets out the indicator parameters except for radiological parameters, their parametric values and measurement units and the comments as in the Regulations. The radiological parameters are set out similarly in table 2.4. The notes in the schedule are reproduced in italics after the tables and where necessary are amplified in normal type to provide further explanation. Some additional explanatory notes are indicated by a superscript applied to the parameter name.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 2.3: indicator parameters (excluding radiological parameters)

Parameter number	Parameter	Parametric value	Units	Comments
29	Aluminium	200	µg/l	
30	Ammonium	0.30	mg/l	
31	Chloride	250	mg/l	Note 1
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> (including spores)	0	Number/100ml	Note 2
33	Colour ¹	Acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change		
34	Conductivity	2500	µS cm ⁻¹ at 20°C	Note 1
35	Hydrogen ion concentration	≥6.5 and ≤ 9.5	pH units	Note 1
36	Iron	200	µg/l	
37	Manganese	50	µg/l	
38	Odour ¹	Acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change		
39	Oxidisability	5.0	mg/l O ₂	Note 3
40	Sulphate	250	mg/l	Note 1
41	Sodium	200	mg/l	
42	Taste ¹	Acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change		
43	Colony count 22°C ²	No abnormal change		
44	Coliform bacteria	0	Number/100 ml	
45	Total organic carbon [TOC] ²	No abnormal change		Note 4
46	Turbidity ¹	Acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change		Note 5

Note1: chloride, conductivity, pH value and sulphate. The water should not be aggressive. The values of these parameters should be such that the water does not corrode the tanks and pipes at the treatment works and in the distribution network.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

*Note 2: **clostridium perfringens (including spores)**. This parameter need not be measured unless the water originates from or is influenced by surface water. In the event of non-compliance with this parametric value, the supply shall be investigated to ensure that there is no potential danger to human health arising from the presence of pathogenic micro-organisms, e.g. Cryptosporidium.*

*Note 3: **oxidisability**. This parameter need not be measured if the parameter TOC is analysed. The Environment Protection Agency (EPA) recommends that TOC is measured rather than oxidisability in all private water supplies as it is a more useful parameter and easier to determine.*

*Note 4: **total organic carbon (TOC)**. This parameter need not be measured for supplies of less than 10,000 m³ a day. The EPA recommends that TOC is measured rather than oxidisability in all private water supplies as it is a more useful parameter and easier to determine.*

*Note 5: **turbidity**. In the case of surface water treatment, a parametric value not exceeding 1.0 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) in the water ex treatment works must be strived for. If the turbidity exceeds 1.0 NTU in the water leaving a treatment works that is a strong indication that the coagulation and filtration process is not working efficiently and there could be harmful micro-organisms, such as *cryptosporidium*, in the supply.*

¹**Colour, odour, taste and turbidity.** The requirement for these parameters is that they should be acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change. Acceptable to consumers means that consumers are not rejecting the water for drinking, cooking etc because of its taste, smell or appearance. No abnormal change means that there is no significant variation in the value for the parameter compared to the value normally expected in that supply.

²**Colony counts at 22°C and TOC.** The requirement for these parameters is that there is no abnormal change. This means that there is no significant variation in the value for the parameter compared to the value normally expected in that supply.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 2.4: radiological parameters

Parameter number	Parameter	Parametric value	Units	Comments
47	Tritium	100	Bq/l	Notes 6 and 8
48	Total indicative dose	0.10	mSv/year	Notes 7 and 8

*Note 6: **tritium.** Monitoring frequencies to be set at a later date in Part 2 of the Schedule. The Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) has not modified part 2 to include monitoring frequencies for tritium. Meanwhile guidance on monitoring for tritium is given in sub-section 8.4 of section 4.*

*Note 7: **total indicative dose.** Excluding tritium, potassium-40, radon and radon decay products; monitoring frequencies, monitoring methods and the most relevant locations for monitoring points to be set at a later date in Part 2 of the Schedule. DoEHLG has not modified part 2 to include monitoring locations for total indicative dose. Meanwhile guidance on monitoring for total indicative dose is given in sub-section 8.4 of section 4.*

*Note 8: **tritium and total indicative dose.** (A) The proposals required by Note 6 on monitoring frequencies, and in Note 7 on monitoring frequencies, monitoring methods and the most relevant locations for monitoring points in Part 2 of the Schedule shall be adopted in accordance with the Committee procedure laid down in Article 12 of Council Directive 98/83/EEC. (B) Drinking water need not be monitored for tritium or radioactivity to establish total indicative dose where, on the basis of other monitoring carried out, the levels of tritium or the calculated total indicative dose are well below the parametric value. The European Commission has not yet promulgated requirements on monitoring for these parameters. Meanwhile guidance on monitoring for these parameters is given in sub-section 8.4 of section 4.*

**SECTION 3: MONITORING OF DRINKING
WATER QUALITY**



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 3: Monitoring of drinking water quality

Summary of Section 3

- ◆ Describes the difference between mandatory “compliance monitoring” carried out by Water Services Authorities (WSAs) and non-mandatory “operational monitoring” carried out by private water suppliers.
- ◆ Sets out and describes the parameters included in the compliance monitoring categories of check monitoring and audit monitoring.
- ◆ Sets out the monitoring frequencies (number of samples) for the check monitoring and audit monitoring parameters.
- ◆ Sets out the requirement for a pre-determined compliance monitoring programme and describes the relationship with the Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP).
- ◆ Describes the general requirement for a separate non-mandatory operational monitoring programme.

Contents of Section 3

1. Introduction
2. Compliance monitoring
 - 2.1 Monitoring categories
 - 2.2 Check monitoring parameters
 - Table 3.1: parameters subject to check monitoring
 - 2.3 Audit monitoring parameters
 - Table 3.2: parameters subject to audit monitoring
 - 2.4 Summary of check and audit monitoring parameters
 - Table 3.3: summary of check and audit monitoring parameters
 - 2.5 Monitoring frequencies
 - Table 3.4: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers’ taps in the distribution network

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.6 Monitoring of small private water supplies of $\leq 100 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$

2.7 Check monitoring frequencies

2.8 Audit monitoring frequencies

2.9 The Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme

3. Operational monitoring

Appendix 1: Check monitoring frequencies

Table 3.5: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers taps in the distribution network

Appendix 2: Audit monitoring frequencies

Table 3.6: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers taps in the distribution network

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | There are two types of monitoring of drinking water quality. The first type is **mandatory “compliance monitoring”** to determine whether water supplies comply with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. The compliance monitoring samples should be analysed in accredited laboratories (see section 5). The second type is **non-mandatory “operational monitoring”** to check that treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards and to provide early warning that source water quality is deteriorating, a treatment process is failing or there is a problem in the distribution networks.

1.2 | Regulation 7(2) requires **Water Services Authorities (WSAs)** to carry out the **compliance monitoring** of private water supplies in their functional areas. This compliance monitoring programme should be pre-determined before the beginning of the monitoring year. When preparing its programme the WSA should consult with the private water supplier to make sure that the premises selected for monitoring are supplied by the private water supply. The WSA should also consult the HSE when preparing the programme to avoid duplication of monitoring. The WSA should notify the private water supplier of the results of that monitoring as soon as practical after the results are available.

1.3 | Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is strongly recommended that **private water suppliers** have a separate pre-determined **operational monitoring programme** to check the operation of their treatment works and distribution networks. The operational monitoring samples need not be analysed in accredited laboratories – they may be analysed in small laboratories/benches at treatment works provided the methods are properly calibrated and subject to analytical quality control.

2. Compliance monitoring

2.1 Monitoring categories

2.1.1 | The Regulations specify two categories of mandatory compliance monitoring – **check monitoring** and **audit monitoring** – to determine compliance with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. Check monitoring is carried out relatively frequently for a limited range of parameters. Audit monitoring is carried less frequently for **all** the parameters including those subject to check monitoring. This

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

means that for some parameters the monitoring frequency is the sum of the check and audit monitoring frequencies. **Check monitoring** and **audit monitoring** on private water supplies is carried out by **WSAs**, not the private water suppliers.

2.2 Check monitoring parameters

2.2.1 | 2.2.1 Part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations states “*The purpose of check monitoring is regularly to provide information on the organoleptic and microbiological quality of the water supplied for human consumption as well as information on the effectiveness of drinking-water treatment (especially of disinfection) where it is used, in order to determine whether or not water intended for human consumption complies with the relevant parametric values laid down in Part 1 of this Schedule.*” The term “organoleptic” means the properties and parameters of water that can be detected by the sensory organs such colour, taste and odour

2.2.2 | 2.2.2 The parameters set out in table 3.1 are subject to check monitoring. Some of these parameters are always included in check monitoring whilst others are only included when the specified circumstances described in the notes exist. If the specified circumstances do not exist the parameter must be included in audit monitoring. The notes in italics are quoted directly from the schedule to the Regulations.

Table 3.1: parameters subject to check monitoring

Parameter number	Parameter	Notes (specified circumstances)
29	Aluminium	<i>Necessary only when used as a flocculant (coagulant)</i>
30	Ammonium	
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> (including spores)	Only need be measured if the water originates from or is influenced by surface water
33	Colour	
34	Conductivity	
1	<i>Escherichia coli</i> [<i>E.coli</i>]	
35	Hydrogen ion concentration	
36	Iron	<i>Necessary only when used as a flocculant (coagulant)</i>
21	Nitrite	Necessary only when chloramination is used as a disinfectant
38	Odour	
42	Taste	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter number	Parameter	Notes (specified circumstances)
44	Coliform bacteria	
46	Turbidity	

2.3 Audit monitoring parameters

2.3.1 | Part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations states “*The purpose of audit monitoring is to provide the information necessary to determine whether or not all the parametric values specified in Part 1 of this Schedule are being complied with. All such parameters must be subject to audit monitoring unless it can be established by a sanitary authority [now called a WSA], for a period of time to be determined by it, that a parameter is not likely to be present in a given supply in concentrations which could lead to the risk of a breach of the relevant parametric value. This paragraph does not apply to the parameters for radioactivity, which, subject to Notes 6, 7 and 8 in Table C in Part 1 of the Schedule will be monitored in accordance with monitoring requirements adopted under the Committee procedure set out in Article 12 of Council Directive 98/83/EC.*”

2.3.2 | The Regulations require that all parameters are subject to audit monitoring unless it can be shown that a parameter is unlikely to be present. This means that some parameters are subject to both check and audit monitoring for the same supply. For these particular parameters, the monitoring frequency is the sum of the check and audit monitoring frequencies. This is particularly important for private water supplies that are monitored infrequently as it means extra surveillance of their quality, particularly microbiological quality.

2.3.3 | The European Commission has not yet promulgated requirements on monitoring for the radiological parameters, tritium and total indicative dose. In the meantime and in order to acquire information about the presence of radioactivity in Irish drinking water supplies, it is recommended that WSAs include the radiological parameters in audit monitoring. Guidance on monitoring for radiological parameters is given in Section 4, paragraph 4.4.

2.3.4 | Table 3.2 sets out the parameters subject to audit monitoring. Some of these parameters are only included in audit monitoring if the specified circumstances exist. If they do not exist those parameters must be included in check monitoring. Note that it is not possible to analyse for certain parameters and they must be controlled by product specification (see notes to table 2.2 in section 2).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 3.2: parameters subject to audit monitoring

Parameter number	Parameter	Specified circumstances
Microbiological parameters		
2	Enterococci	
Chemical parameters		
3	Acrylamide	Analysis not practical. Controlled by product specification.
4	Antimony	
5	Arsenic	
6	Benzene	
7	Benzo(a)pyrene	
8	Boron	
9	Bromate	
10	Cadmium	
11	Chromium	
12	Copper	
13	Cyanide	
14	1,2-Dichloroethane	
15	Epichlorohydrin	Analysis not practical. Controlled by product specification.
16	Fluoride	
17	Lead	
18	Mercury	
19	Nickel	
20	Nitrate	
21	Nitrite	When chloramination is not used as the disinfectant
22	Pesticides	
23	Pesticides – total	
24	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	
25	Selenium	
26	Tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene	
27	Trihalomethanes - total	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter number	Parameter	Specified circumstances
28	Vinyl chloride	Analysis not practical. Controlled by product specification.
Indicator parameters		
29	Aluminium	Only when not used as a flocculant (coagulant)
31	Chloride	
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> (including spores)	Only when the water does not originate from surface water or is not influenced by surface water
36	Iron	Only when not used as a flocculant (coagulant)
37	Manganese	
39	Oxidisability	Monitor TOC instead
40	Sulphate	
41	Sodium	
43	Colony count 22°C	
45	Total organic carbon (TOC)	Monitor instead of oxidisability
[47]	[Tritium]	Include pending advice from European Commission
[48]	[Total indicative dose]	Include pending advice from European Commission

2.4 Summary of check and audit monitoring parameters

2.4.1 | Table 3.3 sets out for ease of reference a summary of the check and audit monitoring parameters by parameter category and monitoring category. This takes into account the comments and recommendations made in sub-sections 2.2 and 2.3 above.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 3.3: summary of check and audit monitoring parameters

Parameter		Parameter category			Monitoring category	
No.		Microbiological	Chemical	Indicator	Check	Audit
1	Escherichia coli (<i>E. coli</i>)	Yes			Yes	Yes
2	Enterococci	Yes				Yes
3	Acrylamide		Yes			
4	Antimony		Yes			Yes
5	Arsenic		Yes			Yes
6	Benzene		Yes			Yes
7	Benzo(a)pyrene		Yes			Yes
8	Boron		Yes			Yes
9	Bromate		Yes			Yes
10	Cadmium		Yes			Yes
11	Chromium		Yes			Yes
12	Copper		Yes			Yes
13	Cyanide		Yes			Yes
14	1,2-Dichloroethane		Yes			Yes
15	Epichlorohydrin		Yes			
16	Fluoride		Yes			Yes
17	Lead		Yes			Yes
18	Mercury		Yes			Yes
19	Nickel		Yes			Yes
20	Nitrate		Yes			Yes
21	Nitrite		Yes		Yes*	Yes*
22	Pesticides		Yes			Yes
23	Pesticides – Total		Yes			Yes
24	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons		Yes			Yes
25	Selenium		Yes			Yes
26	Tetrachloroethene and Trichloroethene		Yes			Yes
27	Trihalomethanes – Total		Yes			Yes
28	Vinyl chloride		Yes			

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter		Parameter category			Monitoring category	
No.		Microbio-logical	Chemical	Indicator	Check	Audit
29	Aluminium			Yes	Yes*	Yes*
30	Ammonium			Yes	Yes	Yes
31	Chloride			Yes		Yes
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> (including spores)			Yes	Yes*	Yes*
33	Colour			Yes	Yes	Yes
34	Conductivity			Yes	Yes	Yes
35	Hydrogen ion concentration			Yes	Yes	Yes
36	Iron			Yes	Yes*	Yes*
37	Manganese			Yes		Yes
38	Odour			Yes	Yes	Yes
39	Oxidisability			Yes		
40	Sulphate			Yes		Yes
41	Sodium			Yes		Yes
42	Taste			Yes	Yes	Yes
43	Colony count 22°C			Yes		Yes
44	Coliform bacteria			Yes	Yes	Yes
45	Total organic carbon (TOC)			Yes		Yes
46	Turbidity			Yes	Yes	Yes
47	Tritium			Yes		Yes
48	Total indicative dose			Yes		Yes

*These parameters can be either check or audit monitoring depending on the circumstances

2.5 Monitoring frequencies

2.5.1 | WSAs are required to monitor each of the private water supplies in their functional areas to determine compliance with the standards and indicator parameters at the minimum frequencies set out in table B of part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations for the check monitoring and audit monitoring parameters. A large private water supply may be divided into supply zones in which the water quality is regarded

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

as being approximately the same (see section 4 of this handbook). The monitoring frequencies are based on the volume of water distributed or produced each day within a supply zone. If the volume distributed or produced is not known, WSAs may use the population supplied within a supply zone assuming 1,000 m³/day supplies 5,000 population. For small supplies (most of the private water supplies) the frequencies are not specified and are to be determined by the WSAs, subject to any guidance from the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA). Such guidance is given in section 4 of this handbook based on the use of risk assessments to determine the parameters most at risk of failing to comply.

2.5.2 | WSAs must take the samples from private water supplies at the points of compliance defined in regulation 5. This requires that for water supplied through a distribution network samples must be taken from the tap or taps in premises where the water is used for human consumption. This means the kitchen tap (consumer's tap) in normal domestic premises and any appropriate tap (kitchen tap or other tap where water is used for drinking) in premises that are used for commercial or public activities. However, the Regulations allow WSAs to take samples for particular parameters from within the supply zone (for example at a service reservoir) or from the water leaving the treatment works instead of from consumers' taps if they can demonstrate that there would be no adverse change to the measured value of the parameters concerned. This could apply to a **"Public" Group Water Scheme (PuGWS)** where the treated water is provided by the WSA but responsibility for distribution of the treated water rests with the private water suppliers as well as to a **"Private" Group Water Scheme (PrGWS)** and **other private water supplies** where water is treated and distributed by the private water supplier.

2.5.3 | The check monitoring frequencies may be reduced by up to 50% in certain specified circumstances. Particular parameters may be excluded from audit monitoring if a WSA can demonstrate for those parameters that they are unlikely to be present in a water supply. Guidance on how this may be demonstrated is given in section 4 of this handbook.

2.5.4 | Table 3.4 sets out the minimum monitoring frequencies per year for various sizes of supply zone based on table B of part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations. The notes associated with that table are reproduced in italics with further amplification or explanation in normal type.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 3.4: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers' taps in the distribution network

Volume of water distributed within a supply zone (m ³ /d) (Notes 1 and 2)	Number of samples per year	
	Check monitoring (Notes 3, 4 and 5)	Audit monitoring (Notes 3 and 5)
< 10*	Note 6	Note 6
≥ 10 - ≤ 100	2	Note 6
> 100 - ≤ 1,000	4	1
> 1,000 - ≤ 10,000	4 + 3 for each 1,000 m ³ /d and part thereof of the total volume	1 + 1 for each 3,300 m ³ /d and part thereof of the total volume
> 10,000 - ≤ 100,000		3 + 1 for each 10,000 m ³ /d and part thereof of the total volume
> 100,000		10 + 1 for each 25,000 m ³ /d and part thereof of the total volume

*Only where water is supplied as part of a commercial or public activity.

Note 1: A supply zone is a geographically defined area within which water intended for human consumption comes from one or more sources and water quality may be considered as being approximately uniform. See section 4 of this handbook for definition of a supply zone.

Note 2: The volumes are calculated as averages taken over a calendar year. The number of inhabitants in a supply zone may be used instead of the volume of water to determine the minimum frequency, assuming a water consumption of 200 l/day/capita. This means 1,000 m³/d supplies a population of 5,000.

Note 3: In the event of intermittent short-term supply the monitoring frequency of water distributed by tankers is to be decided by the sanitary authority [WSA] concerned. See section 4 of this handbook for advice on sampling from tankers.

Note 4: Where the values of the results obtained from samples taken during the preceding two years are constant and are significantly better than the values specified in Part 1 of the Schedule, and no factor is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of the water, the number of samples specified in Table B of Part 2 of the Schedule may be reduced and the reduction shall not (except in the case of a supply where the volume distributed or produced each day within a supply zone does not exceed 100m³) be more than 50%.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Note 5: As far as possible, the number of samples should be distributed equally in time and location. The EPA advises that the premises at which samples should be taken should be selected at random from all the premises in a supply zone in accordance with the advice in section 4 of this handbook.

Note 6: To be determined by the sanitary authority [WSA], subject to any relevant guidance issued by the Agency [the EPA]. The EPA's guidance is set out in section 2.6 below.

2.5.5 | The frequencies of compliance monitoring set out above are the **minimum** frequencies and, while they are the lowest permissible, they should afford, in normal circumstances, sufficient degree of protection of drinking water quality. However there may be circumstances when increased frequencies for particular parameters in particular supplies may be necessary such as:

- ◆ past monitoring has indicated quality problems;
- ◆ there is a significant degree of variability in the concentrations of parameters;
- ◆ there is a perceived risk to the supply; and
- ◆ the EPA has granted an authorised departure from a standard (see section 6 of this handbook).

2.6 Monitoring of small private water supplies of ≤ 100 m³/d

2.6.1 | The Regulations require check monitoring at a frequency of 2 per year for the check monitoring parameters for supplies between ≥ 10 - ≤ 100 m³/d but do not specify a frequency for audit monitoring. The Regulations also apply to private water supplies of less than 10m³/day that are part of a public or commercial activity but do not specify check or audit monitoring frequencies for these supplies, The Regulations require the frequency of monitoring for these small supplies to be determined by the WSA taking into account guidance from the EPA. This sub-section sets out the EPA guidance.

2.6.2 | Sampling and analysis, even once per year, for a whole range of parameters that are unlikely to be present in small private water supplies is not an effective use of resources. Therefore the EPA recommends that for each of these small supplies the WSA, in consultation with the private water supplier, carries out a risk assessment (see

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 10 of this Handbook) taking into account the nature of the catchment, the activities in the catchment and any treatment provided to decide whether any of the parameters are likely to be present in the supply.

2.6.3 | For audit monitoring of private water supplies between $\geq 10 - \leq 100$ m³/d, the WSA should monitor any parameters identified in the risk assessment that are not included in the check monitoring at a frequency of 2 per year (in other words add them to the list of check monitoring parameters).

2.6.4 | For check and audit monitoring of private water supplies of less than 10 m³/d that are supplied as part of a public or commercial activity, the WSA should monitor any parameters identified in the risk assessment at a frequency of 2 per year (in other words combine the check and audit monitoring for a limited number of parameters).

2.7 Check monitoring frequencies

2.7.1 | Table 3.4 shows how the monitoring frequencies (number of samples) increases with increasing volume of water supplied, but it does not readily allow WSAs to determine the frequencies required for a given size of supply. Appendix 1 expands the check monitoring frequency table (table 3.5) to cater for the entire spectrum of volumes supplied and population served for Irish private water supplies (assumes the largest private water supply is less than 5,000 m³/d, less than 25,000 population). The notes associated with table 3.4 still apply.

2.8 Audit monitoring frequencies

2.8.1 | Table 3.4 shows how the monitoring frequencies (number of samples) increases with increasing volume of water supplied, but it does not readily allow WSAs to determine the frequencies required for a given size of supply. Appendix 2 expands the audit monitoring frequency table (table 3.6) to cater for the entire spectrum of volumes supplied and population served for Irish private water supplies (assumes the largest private water supply is less than 5,000 m³/d, less than 25,000 population). The notes associated with table 3.4 still apply. For supplies of > 100 to $\leq 1,000$ m³/d (serving > 500 to $\leq 5,000$ people), the minimum audit monitoring frequency is 1 per year and it is recommended that WSAs increase this to a minimum of 2 per year.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.9 The Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme

2.9.1 | Each WSA should prepare, before the beginning of each calendar year, its pre-determined compliance monitoring programme for that year for each private water supply in its functional area. This programme should set out for each water supply zone the check monitoring and audit monitoring parameters, the frequency of monitoring for each parameter, the randomly selected premises at which samples are to be taken (or the treatment works if sampling for particular parameters is permitted there) and the timing of the samples during the year.

2.9.2 | The Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP) issued in December 2004 by DoEHLG under circular letter, reference WSP11/04, and was the basis of the pre-determined compliance monitoring programme. It set out the monitoring frequencies for all the parameters in the Regulations for each of the then identified supply zones and treatment works for each private water supply in each sanitary authority, now WSA. The DWNMP included all the PuGWS and PrGWS but may not have included all the other relevant private water supplies. The DWNMP was based on the check and audit monitoring frequencies described in the above sub-sections. The DWNMP is still valid although WSAs may have identified some additional private water supplies and they may have made some changes to private water supply schemes, such as some schemes being adopted by WSAs as public water supplies and some amalgamations of schemes.

3. Operational monitoring

3.1 | Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is recommended best practice that **each private water supplier** should consider having an **operational monitoring programme** for each of its raw water sources, treatment works and associated distribution networks as part of its Quality Assurance System (such as the Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) based system advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, (NFGWS). This operational monitoring programme is entirely separate from the mandatory compliance monitoring programme undertaken by the WSA.

3.2 | It is recognised that there may be practical and resources difficulties for private water suppliers, particularly in respect of small supplies, to maintain an operational monitoring programme. However, those private water supplies that are “Public” Group Water Schemes and “Private” Group Water Schemes, including schemes with

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contracts in place, (see definitions in Section 1 of this Handbook), should have an operational monitoring programme. Smaller private water suppliers should endeavour to have an operational monitoring programme for the key (most risky) parts of their supply operation.

3.3 | Operational monitoring is sampling and analysis carried out to check that treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards and to provide early warning that source water quality is deteriorating, a treatment process is failing or there is a problem in the distribution networks. At a modern treatment works many of the operational parameters will be monitored continuously or very frequently by instrumentation. These instruments will have alarm levels linked to the treatment works control room (if there is one), or for an unmanned works linked by telemetry to a remote control room (if there is one), or more likely an automatic dial up to an operator cascade system, so that rapid action can be taken when there is deterioration in performance.

3.4 | In general where a private water supplier has an operational monitoring programme in place it should consist of the following elements:

- ◆ monitoring of the source water for parameters that provide a general indication of water quality, which if their concentration or value changed significantly would indicate that there could be deterioration in source water quality. It should also include any parameters that the treatment works is specifically designed to remove;
- ◆ monitoring of the coagulation, settlement and filtration processes for those parameters that provide evidence of the effectiveness of treatment such as jar tests for optimum coagulation conditions, coagulant residual, pH value and turbidity;
- ◆ monitoring of the disinfection process for those parameters that provide evidence of the effectiveness of disinfection such as chlorine residual, pH value and microbiological parameters;
- ◆ monitoring of the water leaving the treatment works for parameters that the works is designed to remove that are not adequately monitored by the WSA's compliance monitoring such as nitrate if nitrate removal is practised; and
- ◆ monitoring within the distribution network for parameters that provide evidence that there is no deterioration or contamination within distribution that are not adequately monitored by the compliance monitoring such as chlorine residual.

3.5 | Further guidance on operational monitoring is given in section 4 of this handbook.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Check monitoring frequencies

Table 3.5: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers taps in the distribution network

Volume of water distributed within a supply zone (m ³ /d)	Equivalent population served	Check monitoring Number of samples per year
< 10	< 50	2 (see section 2.6)
≥ 10 - ≤ 100	≥ 50 - ≤ 500	2
> 100 - ≤ 1,000	> 500 - ≤ 5,000	4
> 1,000 - ≤ 2,000	> 5,000 - ≤ 10,000	10
> 2,000 - ≤ 3,000	> 10,000 - ≤ 15,000	13
> 3,000 - ≤ 4,000	> 15,000 - ≤ 20,000	16
> 4,000 - ≤ 5,000	> 20,000 - ≤ 25,000	19

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 2: Audit monitoring frequencies

Table 3.6: minimum frequency of sampling at consumers taps in the distribution network

Volume of water distributed within a supply zone (m ³ /d)	Equivalent population served	Audit monitoring Number of samples per year
< 10	< 50	2 (see section 2.6)
≥ 10 - ≤ 100	≥ 50 - ≤ 500	2 (see section 2.6)
> 100 - ≤ 1,000	> 500 - ≤ 5,000	1
> 1,000 - ≤ 3,300	> 5,000 - ≤ 16,500	2
> 3,300 - ≤ 5,000	> 16,500 - ≤ 25,000	3

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 4: GUIDANCE ON SAMPLING



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 4: Guidance on Sampling

Summary of Section 4

- ◆ Describes the difference between mandatory “compliance monitoring” carried out by the Water Services Authorities (WSAs) and non-mandatory “operational monitoring” carried out by the private water suppliers.
- ◆ Sets out the recommended contents of the sampling manual by reference to the WSA’s pre-determined compliance sampling programme and the private water supplier’s operational sampling programmes and sampling procedures.
- ◆ Describes compliance sampling from consumers’ taps in the distribution network and from water leaving the treatment works including the pre-determined sampling programme, sampling points, delineation of supply zones and selection of the premises to be sampled.
- ◆ Sets out advice on compliance sampling for special groups of parameters.
- ◆ Describes the compliance sampling from tankers when private water suppliers need to deploy them.
- ◆ Provides guidance to private water suppliers on the operational sampling programme for raw water, treatment works and distribution networks, including the parameters to be monitored and the frequencies.
- ◆ Describes other situations when private water suppliers may be required to take samples.
- ◆ Sets out advice on the training, supervision and monitoring of samplers.

Contents of Section 4

1. Introduction
 2. Sampling manual
 - 2.1 WSA’s sampling manual for compliance monitoring
 - 2.2 Private water supplier’s sampling manual for operational monitoring
- Introduction

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Sampling procedures

3. Compliance sampling from consumers' taps in the distribution network (and treatment works)
4. Compliance sampling from tankers
5. Operational sampling of water supplies
 - 5.1 Introduction
 - 5.2 Operational sampling programme
 - 5.3 Operational sampling points
 - 5.4 Raw water source
 - 5.5 Treatment works
 - 5.6 Distribution network
 - 5.7 Lead
 - 5.8 Cryptosporidium
6. Other types of samples
7. Training of samplers

Appendix 1: WSA's sampling manual for compliance monitoring

1. Introduction
2. Sampling procedures

Annex 1: Sample bottles, sample preservation and storage

Annex 2: Specimen field log sheet for samplers

Appendix 2: Compliance sampling from consumers taps in the distribution networks (and treatment works)

1. Introduction
2. Compliance sampling programme
3. Compliance sampling points
4. Delineation of supply zones
5. Parameters sampled from consumers' taps

Table1: parameters that must be sampled at consumers' taps
6. Selection of consumers' taps for sampling
7. Sampling from water leaving treatment works

Table 2: parameters that must be sampled in the water leaving treatment works

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 3: parameters that may be sampled in the water leaving treatment works

8. Compliance sampling for particular parameters

8.1 Acrylamide, epichlorohydrin and vinyl chloride

8.2 Pesticides monitoring strategy

8.3 Lead monitoring strategy

8.4 Radioactivity

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | There are two types of monitoring of private water supplies for which samples are taken. The first type is mandatory **“compliance monitoring”** carried out by **Water Services Authorities (WSAs)** to determine whether the private water supplies comply with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. The compliance monitoring samples should be analysed in accredited laboratories (see section 5). The second type is non-mandatory **“operational monitoring”** carried out by **private water suppliers** to check that treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards and to provide early warning that source water quality is deteriorating, a treatment process is failing or there is a problem in the distribution network. **WSAs** should have a **pre-determined sampling programme** for compliance monitoring.

1.2 | Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is strongly recommended that **private water suppliers** should have a separate **pre-determined operational monitoring programme** to check the operation of their treatment works and distribution networks. The operational monitoring samples need not be analysed in accredited laboratories – they may be analysed in small laboratories/benches at treatment works provided the methods are properly calibrated and subject to analytical quality control.

1.3 | Sampling is a very important part of the monitoring procedure. If the samples are not representative of the water supplied or the samples are not taken correctly, there is no point in carrying out expensive analysis because the results will have little use. Therefore the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) recommends that **each WSA** (or its sampling contractor) has a **sampling manual** that sets out all the procedures and precautions that samplers should take for every aspect of the sampling process for “compliance monitoring”. **Private water suppliers** should also have a **sampling manual** or at least a set of **standard procedures** for all aspects of the sampling process for “operational monitoring”. The EPA also recommends that all samplers are thoroughly trained in these sampling procedures and precautions. The Water Services Training Group (WSTG) has a course on sampling procedures for the Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP) (www.wsntg.ie/courses).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Sampling manual

2.1 WSA's sampling manual for compliance monitoring

2.1.1 | Each WSA and its laboratory (or contract laboratories) should produce a sampling manual that sets out the comprehensive sampling arrangements needed to ensure compliance with the Regulations in respect of the “compliance monitoring” of private water supplies. One member of the WSA's staff or the laboratory's staff should be designated as responsible for the production and circulation of the sampling manual, for reviewing the sampling manual periodically and for issuing and recording receipt of amendments and additions to the sampling manual. This person should ensure that the sampling manual (and any revisions) is circulated to all appropriate members of staff who may need to use it. Details of what should be included in the WSA's compliance monitoring sampling manual for private water supplies are given in Appendix 1.

2.2 Private water supplier's sampling manual for operational monitoring

Introduction

2.2.1 | Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is strongly recommended that private water suppliers should have a separate pre-determined operational monitoring programme to check the operation of their treatment works and distribution networks. Operational monitoring should form part of a private water suppliers' Quality Assurance System (QAS), such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes (NFGWS). Where operational monitoring is being undertaken, each private water supplier (or its contractor, for example under a Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contract) should produce a sampling manual (or a set of standard procedures) that sets out the comprehensive sampling arrangements for operational monitoring needed to check that its treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards and to provide early warning that source water quality is deteriorating, a treatment process is failing or there is a problem in the distribution network. One member of the private water supplier's staff (or the contractor's staff) should be designated as responsible for the production and circulation of the sampling manual (or standard procedures), for reviewing the sampling manual periodically and for issuing and recording receipt

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

of amendments and additions to the sampling manual. This person should ensure that the sampling manual (and any revisions) is circulated to all appropriate members of staff who may need to use it.

2.2.2 | Generally the sampling manual should contain the following sections:

- ◆ the procedures and precautions to be taken when sampling for each parameter or groups of parameters;
- ◆ the pre-determined **operational** sampling programme to check the effectiveness of water treatment and the quality of water leaving treatment works and in service reservoirs/water towers and the distribution network; and
- ◆ any appropriate **operational** raw water sampling programme to enable the correct treatment to be applied and adjustments of treatment processes to be made when there are changes in raw water quality. This programme should take into account of any monitoring programme under the 1989 Regulations¹ (S.I. 294 of 1989) or the 2003 Regulations² (S.I. 722 of 2003).

2.2.3 | The sampling programme will need to specify the points at which the samples are taken and the frequency of sampling for each parameter for each private water supply. The raw water sampling point will either be the point of abstraction or the point of entry to any treatment works. The sampling points at treatment works will depend on the processes involved – if only disinfection is practised the sampling point will be the water leaving the treatment works – if coagulation and filtration are practised the sampling points may be after coagulation, filtration or disinfection depending on the parameter. The frequency of sampling will depend on the expected variation in the concentration or value of the parameter and its importance for control of the process. A higher number of samples is required for more variable concentrations or values. If the operator visits the site weekly to check its operation, then samples could be taken during that visit. However, for some treatment works more frequent sampling such

1 European Communities (Quality of Surface Water Intended for Abstraction of Drinking Water) Regulations 1989 (S.I. 294 of 1989)

2 European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations 2003 (S.I. 722 of 2003)

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

as daily may be required where raw water quality is likely to be highly variable and treatment processes likely to be under strain. On the other hand less frequent sampling may be appropriate for good and relatively constant quality ground water supplies.

Sampling procedures

2.2.4 | The private water supplier's (or contractor's) sampling manual (or standard procedures) should set out the procedures and precautions to be adopted for each parameter or group of parameters. The table in Annex 1 of Appendix 1 of this section gives specific guidance on the types of bottles/containers, the cleaning procedures for them, any preservatives that need to be added, storage conditions and the maximum storage period before analysis. **The manual only needs to include bottles etc for the limited number of parameters included or likely to be included in its operational monitoring programme.** The following should be included in the sampling manual or standard procedures where they are relevant (some may not be required depending on the operational monitoring being carried out):

- ◆ in respect of “non-microbiological” parameters, the:
 - types of bottles/containers/lids;
 - cleaning procedures for the bottles/containers/lids;
 - preservatives to be added to bottles;
 - storage and transport conditions for each type of sample; and
 - time allowed before analysis commences.
- ◆ in respect of microbiological parameters (if the private water supplier needs to take samples for microbiological samples), the:
 - bottle type, bottle closure and bottle shelf life specification;
 - method and conditions of bottle and bottle closure sterilisation and incorporation of disinfectant neutralising reagent;
 - arrangements to avoid accidental contamination during sampling;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- sequence of taking samples when “non-microbiological” samples are also being taken;
 - guidance for selection of taps for sampling (covering water treatment works, service reservoirs/water towers and consumers’ taps) and in respect of consumers’ taps any features to be avoided (such as tap inserts, mixer taps);
 - precautions for sampling from taps at water treatment works, service reservoirs/ water towers and in consumers’ premises;
 - preparation, cleaning, disinfection and flushing of taps for sampling;
 - storage and transport conditions and arrangements for cooling samples;
 - cleaning of sampling boxes;
 - time limits for sampling and analysis; and
 - arrangements for keeping samples cool in the laboratory if there are delays between receipt and examination.
- ◆ in respect of all samples, the sampler should:
- have a written work list showing all the compliance samples to be taken;
 - have a log sheet that can be filed for record purposes (a specimen field log sheet is given in annex 2 of this appendix);
 - record the reasons for postponing or cancelling operational samples on the log sheet;
 - fix securely a sample label with a unique sample number to the container;
 - record clearly the unique sample number, location (address/site and grid reference), date, time and sampler identification on the log sheet;
 - record clearly all field measurements and observations at the time they are made on the log sheet and make sure they are associated with the correct samples and containers; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- for samples to be taken from consumers' taps, (for example in response to consumer complaints) the sampler shows his/her ID (identification) or otherwise establishes his/her bona fides and advises the consumer that he/she can check the sampler's bona fides by telephoning the private water supplier or its contractor.
- ◆ all samples that require laboratory analysis (many will be analysed at the sampling site using test kits or instruments) should be transported as quickly as practical to the laboratory (or contract laboratory) in a vehicle that, as a minimum, meets the following advice:
 - it is clean and has adequate storage facilities for empty sample containers and for containers filled with samples:
 - it has provision for keeping samples cool and for cooling samples, when necessary;
 - it is not used for any purpose that might cause contamination of samples; and
 - its interior and cool boxes/refrigerators are regularly cleaned and maintained

3. Compliance sampling from consumers' taps in the distribution network (and treatment works)

3.1 | Paragraph 1.1 of this section states that each WSA's compliance monitoring programme for private water supplies should be pre-determined before the start of each sampling year. The programme should set out the number of samples to be taken for each parameter, the points (a specific point such as a tap in a specific premises or a tap at one of the premises in a small area of the supply) at which the samples are to be taken and when the samples are to be taken. The Regulations require that the samples taken in each water supply zone are representative of the quality of water consumed throughout the year and are equally distributed through the supply. Effectively this means equally distributed in time and location. Samples are required to be taken from consumers' taps, but WSAs may take samples at treatment works (or at points within the supply zone) for particular parameters if it can be demonstrated that there would

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

be no adverse change to the measured value of the parameters concerned. WSAs are required to take samples from treatment works for nitrite and, for surface water treatment works only, for turbidity.

3.2 | Comprehensive advice on the WSA's compliance sampling programme for private water supplies is given in Appendix 2 of this Section including advice on sampling for particular parameters. **The WSA should consult the private water supplier when preparing this programme to make sure the selected premises (consumers' taps) are supplied by the private water supply and that the parameters to be sampled in the water leaving treatment works are appropriate.** The WSA should also consult the HSE when preparing this programme to avoid duplication of monitoring. The WSA should provide the results of each sampling occasion to the private water supplier as soon as practical after the results are available.

4. Compliance sampling from tankers

4.1 | The standards in the Regulations apply at the point at which water emerges from the tanker when a private water supplier provides water by tanker (or similar container) instead of through the distribution network. In Ireland water supplied by tankers is only likely to happen for short periods in an emergency or when there is an incident affecting drinking water quality or when work is being carried out on the distribution network. The Regulations do not include any specific requirements for monitoring water supplied by tankers. Instead, the monitoring frequency is to be decided by the WSA concerned.

4.2 | Private water suppliers are advised that when it is necessary to supply water by tanker they should fill each tanker with water that meets all the standards in the Regulations (the indicator parameter values in Table C do not have to be met but if they are not met the water must not pose a risk to health) and place a notice on each tanker advising consumers to boil water before using it for drinking and cooking. This is because the private water supplier cannot ensure the hygienic condition of the tap on the tanker or the containers used by consumers to collect water. If they do this, WSAs do not need to sample the water from the tanker provided the tanker is emptied and refilled within 48 hours with water that meets the standards. If the tanker is not emptied and refilled within 48 hours, the WSA should sample for *E coli*, pH value and conductivity. If the tanker is not emptied and refilled within 96 hours, the WSA should sample for all the parameters in the Regulations.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.3 | Private water suppliers should keep adequate records of the deployment of each tanker that include:

- ◆ the material of construction of the internal surface of the tanker (ideally it should be approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate of England and Wales or equivalent approval system);
- ◆ the water supply that was used to fill the tanker and the quality of that water;
- ◆ the times the tanker was filled, emptied and refilled;
- ◆ the results of any sampling by the WSA that was necessary; and
- ◆ the cleaning of the tanker before and after use.

5. Operational sampling of water supplies

5.1 Introduction

5.1 | Paragraph 1.2 of this section recommends that, although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, each private water supplier should have a pre-determined **operational** sampling programme to check the quality of the raw water, the effectiveness of water treatment and the quality of water leaving treatment works and in service reservoirs/ water towers and the distribution network. The programme should set out the number of samples to be taken for each parameter, the points at which the samples are to be taken and when the samples are to be taken. This sub-section provides general guidance on the operational sampling programme, including where **on-line continuous monitors** should be used.

5.2 Operational sampling programme

5.2.1 | Each private water supplier (or its contractor for example a DBO contractor) should set out in its sampling manual (or in its standard procedures) its pre-determined **operational** sampling programme for each year. This programme should set out the frequencies of sampling and how quickly the result is required for **each relevant parameter** at:

- ◆ each of the raw water sources (intakes to treatment works);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ each treatment works (or each part of the treatment process); and
- ◆ suitable points in each distribution network including each service reservoir/water tower.

5.2.2 | The frequency of sampling for each parameter will depend on how variable its concentration is likely to be in the raw water source, during treatment, in the water leaving the treatment works and in the distribution network and its importance or significance in relation to water quality. Private water suppliers may have to carry out additional operational sampling in response to incidents affecting drinking water quality or in emergencies or may wish to carry out additional microbiological monitoring of the supply to ensure that contamination has not occurred.

5.3 Operational sampling points

5.3.1 | Operational samples may need to be taken from the raw water sources, from individual treatment processes, from the water leaving treatment works, from points in the distribution network such as service reservoirs and water towers and from consumers' taps.

5.3.2 | The following, where relevant (it may not be necessary to sample a borehole where it has been established through past monitoring that quality is constant), should be included in the sampling manual (or standard procedure) regarding sampling points for operational sampling from the raw water source:

- ◆ the precise location of the point at which samples of the raw water source are to be taken;
- ◆ the sampling points should be reasonably accessible and if possible uniquely labelled; and
- ◆ a schematic diagram of each raw water source showing the location of the sampling point.

5.3.3 | The following, where relevant, should be included in the sampling manual (or standard procedures) regarding sampling points for operational sampling from the treatment works:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ the precise location of the points at which samples are to be taken of the water from the treatment processes and the water leaving the treatment works;
- ◆ sampling points should be reasonably accessible and uniquely labelled;
- ◆ a schematic diagram of each treatment works showing the location of each sampling point or points; and
- ◆ guidance on the sampling points. All treatment works sampling points should be fitted with metal sampling taps of a hygienic design that do not have attachments or inserts and that are made from materials that do not affect the concentrations of the parameters being monitored. Water should be supplied to the sampling tap through a sample line of a suitable material that should be as short as possible. The materials should meet British Standard BS6920 regarding tests for the effect on water quality (or equivalent Irish or European Standard).

5.3.4 | The following, where relevant (there may not be a service reservoir or water tower) should be included in the sampling manual regarding sampling points for operational sampling from service reservoirs and water towers and other points in the distribution network:

- ◆ the precise location of the point or points from which a representative sample or samples of the water flowing into the distribution system can be obtained. Where reservoirs/towers are divided into compartments and water does not mix freely between the compartments, the sampling points should be located so that samples are representative of all the water leaving the service reservoir/water tower or alternatively each compartment or its outlet should have a separate sampling point. Similar sampling arrangements should apply where there are two or more service reservoirs on a site;
- ◆ sampling points should be reasonably accessible and uniquely labelled;
- ◆ a schematic diagram of each service reservoir/tower or service reservoir/tower complex showing the location of the sampling point or points; and
- ◆ all service reservoir/tower outlets should be fitted with metal sampling taps of a hygienic design that do not have attachments or inserts and that are made from materials that do not affect the concentrations of the parameters being monitored. Water should be supplied to the sampling tap through a sample line of a suitable

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

material that should be as short as possible. The materials should meet British Standard BS6920 regarding tests for the effect on water quality (or equivalent Irish or European standard). Where it is impracticable to provide a tap on the reservoir site, a tap should be provided on the outlet main at the nearest possible point to the reservoir. Dip sampling should not be used. Break pressure tanks that do not provide a strategic reserve of water are not considered as service reservoirs/water towers.

5.4 Raw water source

5.4.1 | Each private water supplier should have a **raw water operational sampling programme** to determine the quality of the source water to enable it to adjust and operate the treatment processes effectively. It may not be necessary to monitor a borehole source where it has been established by past monitoring that the raw water quality is constant. The private water supplier should make arrangements to be informed of the results of any sampling and analysis the WSA or the EPA has carried out under the 1989 Regulations (S.I. 294 of 1989) or the 2003 Regulations (S.I. 722 of 2003) on the raw water source. Each private water supplier should also make arrangements with the EPA and other appropriate organisation to be informed of any pollution incidents that might affect adversely the quality of the water abstracted for treatment. The private water supplier should take this information and any other local or historical information into account when determining its own raw water sampling programme.

5.4.2 | The sampling manual should set out the parameters to be monitored, the frequency of sampling and analysis and the speed with which the results are required. Some parameters may be monitored continuously on-line. Sometimes the results may be automatically relayed to a control room, but more likely an alarm condition will be relayed by an automatic dial up cascade system to a duty operator. Other parameters will be monitored at appropriate intervals. These will depend on the nature of the water source and the activities in the catchment that might affect water quality and the likely variations in quality. For example for a surface water source these could include conductivity, pH value, colour, turbidity and any parameters determined by a risk assessment to be important for that source (e.g. *Cryptosporidium* or pesticides). For a ground water source these could include conductivity and any parameters determined

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

by a risk assessment to be important for that source (e.g. iron/manganese in aerobic ground waters). The programme should be reviewed and if necessary modified in the light of experience and the results obtained.

5.5 Treatment works

5.5.1 | Each private water supplier should have a **treatment works operational sampling programme** to check the overall effectiveness of the treatment processes and to check the operation of individual processes, in particular the effectiveness of disinfection and the minimisation of disinfection by-products. Sampling points for operational samples should be representative of water quality for the process to be monitored. In schemes where DBO treatment contracts are in place, a treatment works operational sampling programme should form part of the contract.

5.5.2 | Each private water supplier should specify the parameters and the frequency of sampling for each important process. Some parameters ideally should be monitored continuously on-line (turbidity and residual chlorine) and where possible with the results or alarms automatically relayed to a control room. But where this is not possible alarms should be relayed by an automatic dial up cascade system to a duty operator. Other parameters may also be monitored continuously on-line (for example pH value, conductivity, and colour). Other parameters will be monitored at appropriate intervals. The parameters will depend on raw water quality and the treatment processes used and should include monitoring for those parameters that the treatment processes are designed to remove (such as microbiological, pesticides, nitrate etc). Private water suppliers should also specify how quickly the samples should be analysed and the results sent to the operator of the treatment works. The following paragraphs give some examples for the common treatment processes.

5.5.3 | For works using coagulation the operational monitoring could include:

- ◆ jar tests to determine optimum coagulant dose and coagulation pH (or automatic coagulation controller);
- ◆ coagulant dose;
- ◆ coagulation pH;
- ◆ residual coagulant following clarification/settlement (aluminium or iron).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5.5.4 | For works using conventional filtration the operational monitoring could include:

- ◆ on-line continuous turbidity monitoring of the filtrate from each filter;
- ◆ on-line continuous turbidity monitoring of the combined filtrate from all filters; and
- ◆ pH value as it may need adjusting for efficient disinfection.

5.5.5 | For works using granular activated carbon (GAC) either as a separate filtration process or incorporated into slow sand filters, the operational monitoring will depend of the purpose of the GAC (colour removal, general organics removal or specific organics removal such as individual pesticides) and could include:

- ◆ total organic carbon (TOC);
- ◆ colour;
- ◆ turbidity; and
- ◆ specific organic compounds such as individual pesticides.

5.5.6 | For works using chlorination as the disinfectant:

- ◆ chlorine dose;
- ◆ pH value; and
- ◆ on-line continuous monitoring of chlorine residual in the final water.

5.5.7 | For the final water for a works using the above processes the operational monitoring could include:

- ◆ coliform bacteria and *E. coli*;
- ◆ colony counts at 22°C;
- ◆ on-line continuous monitoring for chlorine residual;
- ◆ on-line continuous monitoring for turbidity;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ conductivity;
- ◆ pH value;
- ◆ colour;
- ◆ aluminium or iron residual;
- ◆ trihalomethanes; and
- ◆ any other parameter the works is specifically designed to remove

5.6 Distribution network

5.6.1 | Each private water supplier, where relevant, should have a **distribution network operational sampling programme** to check whether there has been any contamination or deterioration of quality within the network. Often this programme will consist of sampling from service reservoirs and water towers but may also include other points within the network. Clearly if there is not a service reservoir or water tower then only monitoring at consumers' taps needs to be considered. Each private water supplier should specify the parameters and the frequency of sampling. Private water suppliers should also specify how quickly the samples should be analysed and the results sent to the network operator. The following paragraphs give some examples for distribution networks.

5.6.2 | For service reservoirs and water towers the operational monitoring could include:

- ◆ coliform bacteria and *E. coli*;
- ◆ colony counts at 22°C; and
- ◆ chlorine residual.

5.6.3 | For the distribution network the operational monitoring could include:

- ◆ chlorine residual; and
- ◆ pH value/conductivity

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5.7 Lead

5.7.1 | Sub-section 8.3 of appendix 1 describes compliance sampling for lead and some of the difficulties and issues with the use of random daytime samples for **compliance monitoring**. Because of this it may be necessary for private water suppliers to carry out **operational sampling** for lead to assist in determining the extent of lead pipe work within the water supply zone, the extent of non-compliance with the lead standard and the remedial action that might be required. The two types of operational monitoring samples for lead are described below:

- ◆ **Stagnation sample** - this is where the water is allowed to stagnate in the pipes for a set period prior to taking the sample. The water is fully flushed prior to the stagnation period. In general this period should be at least 30 minutes but to get the “worst-case” scenario the sample can be taken first thing in the morning before any taps are used (this is usually accomplished by the sampler leaving sample containers with the occupier of the house the previous day). This will give the “worst-case” scenario. This type of sampling is regarded as **operational monitoring** and should be used for lead surveys to determine where lead pipes are located (i.e. results $<5 \mu\text{g/l}$ indicate no lead pipes present). It may also be used to monitor the effectiveness of any treatment introduced to reduce plumbosolvency. Regard should be had to the location of lead in the plumbing system to ensure that the water sampled has been in contact with lead during the stagnation period.
- ◆ **Fully flushed sample** – This is where water is fully flushed (run to waste) prior to sampling. The length of time a tap has to been run depends on several factors including length of pipe, rate of flow through the pipe and water pressure. For example, if the service connection to a house is a 20 m long 1” pipe, approximately 10 L of water (i.e. a sink full) will need to be run to waste. This method determines the quality of water in the distribution network as the volume of water in the service connection and internal plumbing is run to waste. This method is used where consumers have been advised to fully flush their taps. The purpose is to confirm that the levels of lead in the fully flushed water (i.e. what is being consumed) are satisfactory. Where these levels are high it indicates that there may be a long service connection comprised of lead or there may be lead in the distribution main. The amount of water to be flushed through the system prior to sampling should be calculated having regard to the length of lead service pipe and design of the plumbing system.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5.7.2 | These operational samples are used as part of a **lead survey** to determine the extent of lead pipes in the distribution network, the supply pipe work (service connections) and the internal plumbing within premises. Guidance on the use of lead surveys is given in section 6 of this handbook on procedures for non-compliance with standards.

5.7.3 | There is a perception that lead is not an issue in private water supplies, but that needs to be confirmed. If a private water supplier has evidence from the construction of the water supply and its connection to all the premises supplied that lead is not present in the private water supplier's pipe work or pipe work belonging to the owners/occupiers of the premises, it need not carry out operational monitoring for lead. Similarly, if the private water supplier has sufficient evidence from pipe work surveys or from past samples for lead that there is no lead in the pipe work, it need not carry out operational monitoring.

5.8 *Cryptosporidium*

5.8.1 | There is no regulatory requirement for private water suppliers to monitor for *Cryptosporidium*. Monitoring for *Cryptosporidium* requires specialist sampling equipment and a laboratory equipped for specialist analysis. The EPA Environmental Enforcement Network *Cryptosporidium* Working Group recommends that for an initial period of two years the following minimum monitoring frequencies are implemented:

- ◆ for treatment works serving a population greater than 20,000, once every week a sample collected continuously over 24 hours should be tested for *Cryptosporidium*; and
- ◆ for treatment works serving a population less than 20,000, a sample collected continuously over 24 hours should be tested for *Cryptosporidium* at a frequency to be determined from the risk category and results of treatment works monitoring.

After two years of testing, a review of results for each water treatment works will allow the monitoring frequencies to be adapted to better suit the characteristics of each supply. The EPA regards the preparation and implementation of Drinking Water Safety Plans (DWSPs), including risk assessments for *Cryptosporidium*, as a key measure to

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

ensuring a secure and safe drinking water supply. Comprehensive advice on preparing DWSPs and on carrying out risk assessments for *Cryptosporidium* is given in section 10 of this handbook.

5.8.2 | Where a private water supply is clearly high risk in respect of *Cryptosporidium* because there is *Cryptosporidium* in the catchment and there are insufficient treatment barriers (such as coagulation/filtration or membrane filtration) or disinfection (such as irradiation with ultraviolet (UV) light) in place to remove or inactivate *Cryptosporidium*, there is no point in monitoring simply to confirm that clear risk. Where there is a treatment barrier and/or disinfection or a barrier/disinfection has been installed to remove/inactivate *Cryptosporidium*, there is a case for some operational monitoring for *Cryptosporidium* to establish that the barrier/disinfection is working satisfactorily. Similarly where there is some doubt about the accuracy of the risk assessment because there is uncertainty about the risk of *Cryptosporidium* in the catchment of the source or about the effectiveness of the barrier/disinfection, there is a case for monitoring for *Cryptosporidium* to establish/confirm the risk before installing new or additional barriers/treatment.

5.8.3 | Where a private water supplier decides it is necessary to monitor for *Cryptosporidium*, it should only carry out, or arrange to carry out, the monitoring until it has established/confirmed whether or not there is a risk. As monitoring for *Cryptosporidium* requires specialist equipment, it is recommended that the private water supplier obtains assistance from the WSA or appoints a specialist contractor. Where monitoring is necessary, a minimum of 40 litres per hour of water should be collected continuously from the water leaving treatment works over 24 hours in a special sampling device and tested for *Cryptosporidium* at an appropriate frequency determined in consultation with the HSE. The amount of *Cryptosporidium* in the sample is estimated using an appropriate analytical technique. Comprehensive guidance on suitable sampling devices and on appropriate analytical techniques is available on the web-site of the Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) for England and Wales (<http://www.dwi.gov.uk/regs/crypto/legalindex.htm>).

6. Other types of samples

6.1 | From time to time private water suppliers will be required to take and analyse other samples. One example is samples taken to investigate failures to comply with the standards and indicator parameter values. Another example is samples taken in

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

response to consumer complaints about drinking water quality. These samples are not included in the formal **operational** monitoring programme. However the private water supplier should keep adequate records of these other types of sample and the results of any analysis so that they can be provided to the WSA on request. Sometimes consumers collect samples when they are concerned about water quality. There is no guarantee that consumers have taken these samples properly or collected them into appropriate containers. Private water suppliers may still analyse these samples unless it is obvious that the results would be meaningless in which case the private water supplier should explain to the consumers why the samples are not being analysed. When private water suppliers do analyse samples taken by consumers, they should treat the results with caution. Private water suppliers should also always collect and analyse their own samples when investigating consumers' complaints.

7. Training of samplers

7.1 | In order to carry out sampling correctly it is essential that all samplers are fully trained and competent before they are allowed to work unsupervised. The private water supplier (or its contractor) should source relevant training for its samplers. Such training may be available through the Water Services Training Group (WSTG) (www.wsntg.ie). The training should include supervised training in all relevant aspects of operational sampling, including in the field and periodic re-training.

7.2 | Samplers should not carry out operational sampling procedures unless they have been successfully trained to an acceptable standard or they are being supervised by a competent and experienced sampler as part of their training. All samplers should have:

- ◆ a copy of the sampling manual or standard sampling procedures;
- ◆ been trained in all the relevant procedures in, and practices of, the sampling manual (or standard procedures) that they are, or could be, required to carry out; and
- ◆ a training record that sets out clearly those procedures and practices in which they have been trained, the dates and results (competency) of that training and any re-training and the results of the annual review.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: WSA's sampling manual for compliance monitoring

1. Introduction

1.1 | Each WSA and its laboratory (or contract laboratories) should produce a sampling manual that sets out the comprehensive sampling arrangements needed to ensure compliance with the Regulations in respect of the "compliance monitoring" of private water supplies. One member of the WSA's staff or the laboratory's staff should be designated as responsible for the production and circulation of the sampling manual, for reviewing the sampling manual periodically and for issuing and recording receipt of amendments and additions to the sampling manual. This person should ensure that the sampling manual (and any revisions) is circulated to all appropriate members of staff who may need to use it.

1.2 | As a minimum the sampling manual should contain the following sections:

- ◆ the procedures and precautions to be taken when sampling for each parameter or groups of parameters;
- ◆ the pre-determined **compliance** sampling programme which includes samples from consumers' taps in the supply zones and, where appropriate, samples from water treatment works (for nitrite and turbidity and, if the WSA chooses, the other parameters set out in table 3 of appendix 2). Further guidance on compliance sampling is given in sub-section 2 of appendix 2;
- ◆ a **compliance** monitoring strategy for pesticides - see paragraph 8.2 of appendix 2; and
- ◆ **compliance** sampling from tankers when the private water supplier supplies water by tankers for drinking and food preparation instead of through the distribution network (see sub-section 4 of this section).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Sampling procedures

2.1 | The WSA's sampling manual should set out the procedures and precautions to be adopted for each parameter or group of parameters. The table in Annex 1 of this Appendix gives specific guidance on the types of bottles/containers, the cleaning procedures for them, any preservatives that need to be added, storage conditions and the maximum storage period before analysis. The following is the minimum that should be included in the sampling manual:

- ◆ in respect of “non-microbiological” parameters, the:
 - types of bottles/containers/lids;
 - cleaning procedures for the bottles/containers/lids;
 - preservatives to be added to bottles;
 - type of sample (first draw, flushed etc) and the sequence for taking each sample from the sampling point;
 - storage and transport conditions for each type of sample; and
 - time allowed before analysis commences.
- ◆ in respect of microbiological parameters, the:
 - bottle type, bottle closure and bottle shelf life specification;
 - method and conditions of bottle and bottle closure sterilisation and incorporation of disinfectant neutralising reagent;
 - arrangements to avoid accidental contamination during sampling;
 - sequence of taking samples when “non-microbiological” samples are also being taken;
 - guidance for selection of taps for sampling (covering water treatment works, service reservoirs/water towers and consumers' taps) and in respect of consumers' taps any features to be avoided (such as tap inserts, mixer taps);
 - precautions for sampling from taps at water treatment works, service reservoirs/water towers and in consumers' premises;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- preparation, cleaning, disinfection and flushing of taps for sampling;
- storage and transport conditions and arrangements for cooling samples;
- cleaning of sample boxes;
- time limits for starting sample analysis; and
- arrangements for keeping samples cool in the laboratory if there are delays between receipt and examination;
- ◆ In respect of all samples, sampler should:
 - have a written work list showing all samples to be taken and clearly identifying compliance samples and operational samples;
 - have a log sheet that can be filed for record purposes (a specimen field log sheet is given in Annex 2 of this appendix);
 - record the reasons for postponing or cancelling compliance samples on the log sheet;
 - fix securely a sample label with a unique sample number to the container – this must make clear whether it is a **compliance** sample or an **operational** sample;
 - record clearly the unique sample number, location (address/site and grid reference), date, time and sampler identification on the log sheet;
 - record clearly all field measurements and observations at the time they are made on the log sheet and make sure they are associated with the correct samples and containers; and
 - for samples to be taken from consumers’ taps, the sampler should show his/her ID (identification) or otherwise establishes his/her bona fides and should advise the consumer that he/she can check the sampler’s bona fides by telephoning the WSA or the laboratory; and
- ◆ all samples should be transported as quickly as practical to the laboratory (or contract laboratory) in a vehicle that, as a minimum, meets the following advice:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- it is clean and has adequate storage facilities for empty sample containers and for containers filled with samples;
- it has provision for keeping samples cool and for cooling samples, when necessary;
- it is not used for any purpose that might cause contamination of samples; and
- its interior and cool boxes/refrigerators are regularly cleaned and maintained.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Annex 1: Sample bottles, sample preservation and storage

1. The following table gives an alphabetical list of the regulatory parameters with the recommended sample bottle types, nominal sample volumes, storage times and preservatives. This information is based largely on International Standard ISO 5667-3:2004 "Water Quality – Sampling – Part 3: Guidance on the preservation and handling of water samples".

2. While the information in the table is generally applicable, it should be remembered that analytical techniques are continually evolving and undergoing improvement. It may therefore be the case that for some of the parameters for which large volumes in specially cleaned glass bottles are prescribed, that smaller quantities would be acceptable to the laboratory and the analyst. Samples for several parameters can be collected in the same sample bottle with the same preservative.

3. While the recommendations represent an ideal situation the logistics of sample collection and transport may mean that it is impractical to meet these recommendations. Where this is the case alternative recommendations are presented. For microbiological parameters it is imperative that time delay between sampling and analysis is kept to a minimum.

4. Where extended storage times are utilised it is recommended that laboratories verify the validity of such approaches by conducting storage recovery tests. Analytical measurements should be subject to appropriate Analytical Quality Control (Refer to International Standard ISO/TS 13530:2009 "Water quality – Guidance on analytical quality control for chemical and physicochemical analysis") and sample bottles used should be assessed for possible contamination.

5. In case of any doubt the laboratory or the analysts should be consulted prior to sampling.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Key to the table

ASAP: Analysis as soon as possible (on-site or within a maximum of 24 hours)

D: Maximum recommended storage time after preservation (if applicable)

Glass*: Glass bottle rinsed with 5% HNO₃

Glass+: Glass bottle rinsed with suitable solvents.

IA: Immediate analysis

P/G: Plastic or glass

P/G-AW: Plastic or glass – acid washed (e.g. 5% v/v HNO₃)

R: Refrigerate at 1-5°C

RD: Refrigerate in the dark

Sterile: Pre-sterilised container

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter		Bottle Type	Bottle Volume	Preservation and storage conditions	Storage Period after preservation ¹
29	Aluminium	P/G-AW	250 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
30	Ammonium	P/G	500 ml	ASAP R Acidify with H ₂ SO ₄ to pH <2 Freeze to -20°C	< 2 hours 24 hours 21 days 1 month
4	Antimony	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ^{2,6}
5	Arsenic	P/G-AW	500 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ^{2,6}
6	Benzene	Glass+	2500 ml	R, do not pre-rinse bottle with sample	7 days
7	Benzo(a)pyrene	Glass+	2500 ml	R, do not pre-rinse bottle with sample	7 days
8	Boron	Plastic	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month
9	Bromate	P/G	100 ml	R	1 month
10	Cadmium	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
31	Chloride	Plastic	100 ml	R	1 month
11	Chromium	PG-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	G/P Sterile	200 ml	Store in cooler box, 2-8°C	6 hours ⁷
44	Coliform bacteria	G/P Sterile	200 ml	Store in cooler box, 2-8°C	6 hours ⁷
43	Colony count 22°C	Glass S	200 ml	Store in cooler box, 2-8°C	6 hours ⁷
33	Colour	Plastic	250 ml	RD	5 days ³
34	Conductivity	Plastic	100 ml	R	7 days ⁴
12	Copper	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month
13	Cyanide	Plastic	500 ml	Add NaOH to pH>12; RD	7 days
14	1,2-Dichloroethane	Glass+	2500 ml ⁹	R; if residual chlorine is present add 80 mg Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ per litre of sample	14 days
2	Enterococci	G/P Sterile	200 ml	Store in cooler box, 2-8°C	6 hours ⁷
1	<i>Escherichia coli</i> [<i>E.coli</i>]	G/P Sterile	200 ml	Store in cooler box, 2-8°C	6 hours ⁷
16	Fluoride	Plastic	500 ml	R	1 month

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter		Bottle Type	Bottle Volume	Preservation and storage conditions	Storage Period after preservation ¹
35	Hydrogen ion concentration (pH)	Plastic	100 ml	IA (on-site if possible and preferably within 6 hours)	6 hours ⁵
36	Iron	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
17	Lead	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
37	Manganese	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
18	Mercury	Glass*	500 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2 Add 0.05%w/v K ₂ CrO ₇ ; R	1 month
19	Nickel	P/G-AW	50 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
20	Nitrate	P/G	100 ml	Add H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2; R	48 hours
21	Nitrite	Plastic	100 ml	R Acidify with HCl to pH <2 Freeze to -20°C	24 hours 7 days 1 month
38	Odour	Glass	500 ml	ASAP, R	6 hours
39	Oxidisability	P/G	500 ml	Acidify with 8M H ₂ SO ₄ to pH 1-2 Refrigerate at 1-5°C Freeze to -20°C	2 days 2 days 1 month
22	Pesticides	-----	See pesticides – Total -----		
23	Pesticides – Total	Glass+	2500 ml	R; do not pre-rinse bottle with sample; if residual chlorine is present add 80 mg Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ per litre of sample	5 days ⁸
24	Poly aromatic hydrocarbons	Glass+	2500 ml	R; do not pre-rinse bottle with sample; if residual chlorine is present add 80 mg Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ per litre of sample	7 days ⁸
25	Selenium	P/G-AW	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2	1 month ⁶
41	Sodium	P/G	100 ml	Acidify with HNO ₃ to pH 1-2 if by atomic spectrometry R; if by ion chromatography	1 month ⁶ 1 month

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter	Bottle Type	Bottle Volume	Preservation and storage conditions	Storage Period after preservation ¹	
40	Sulphate	P/G	200 ml	R	14 days
42	Taste	Glass	500 ml	ASAP; R	24 hours
26	Tetrachlorethene and trichlorethene	Glass+	2500 ml ⁹	R; if residual chlorine is present add 80 mg Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ per litre of sample	14 days
45	Total organic carbon [TOC]	P/G	150 ml	R Freeze to -20°C	7 days 1 month
27	Trihalomethanes – Total	Glass+	250 ml ⁹	R; if residual chlorine is present add 20 mg Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ per 250 ml of sample	14 days
46	Turbidity	Plastic	500 ml	Store in dark <24 hours	24 hours

¹ Though the storage period for preserved samples may be up to one month for some parameters, it is recommended that analysis for drinking water parameters be carried out within at least 14 days of the sample being taken.

² HCl should be used if the hydride technique is used for this analysis.

³ Samples should be kept in the dark. In the case of groundwater rich in iron II (ferrous iron) analysis should preferably be carried out on site within 5 minutes of collection to avoid precipitation of Iron oxides.

⁴ Analysis within 24 hours is recommended in the ISO standard. However, if no significant changes are likely samples can be analysed within 7 days.

⁵ In-situ pH measurements should be made using instruments calibrated before use. While laboratory measurements are generally more accurate the actual pH of samples (particularly lime-treated waters) could change during transport to the laboratory and stability may require to be verified. Samples should be kept cool and laboratory analysis commenced within 24 hours.

⁶ Samples for metal analysis should preferably be analysed within 1 month but may be stored up to 6 months if recovery after extended storage has been validated.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

⁷ Samples for microbiological parameters should be kept in the dark refrigerated during transportation to the laboratory. If samples are kept at ambient temperature (in the dark, not exceeding 25°C) the examination shall begin within 6 h after taking the sample. Samples may be kept at (5 ± 3°C) for up to 24 h prior to examination however the time between sampling and examination must be kept to a minimum. Refer to ISO 9308-1:2000 for further details.

⁸ Extraction for Pesticides/PAHs should ideally be undertaken within 24 hours. The preservation time given is for the extracted sample.

⁹ Analysis for organics by purge/trap or GCMS techniques may require as little as 40 ml (in duplicate). Check with the laboratory or analyst before sampling.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 2: Specimen field log sheet

European Communities (Drinking Water) (No. 2) Regulations 2007

Water Services Authority:

[A separate sheet **for each supply** to be completed **at the time of sampling**]

Date of sample:

Name of sampler:

Name of water supply:

Full details of sampling point and its location:

Sample identification:

Time of Sampling:

General observations:

Results of Field Measurements:

Conductivity: $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

pH value: pH units

Temperature: $^{\circ}\text{C}$

Total chlorine: mg/l

Free chlorine: mg/l

Others:

Details of samples taken

Bottle No	Bottle type	Bottle volume	Preservation details	Comments
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
etc				

Observations:

Signature of sampler:

Sample received in the laboratory at (time and date):

By:

From:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 2: Compliance sampling from consumers' taps in the distribution network (and treatment works)

1. Introduction

1.1 | Paragraph 1.1 of this section states that each WSA's mandatory compliance monitoring programme for private water supplies should be pre-determined before the start of each sampling year. The programme should set out the number of samples to be taken for each parameter, the points at which the samples are to be taken and when the samples are to be taken. Each WSA should consult the private water supplier when preparing this programme. The Regulations require that the samples taken in each water supply zone are representative of the quality of water consumed throughout the year and are equally distributed through the supply. Effectively this means equally distributed in time and location. Samples are required to be taken from consumers' taps, but WSAs may take samples of the water leaving treatment works (or at points within the supply zone) for particular parameters if it can be demonstrated that there would be no adverse change to the measured value of the parameters concerned. WSAs are required to take samples from the water leaving treatment works for nitrite and, for surface water treatment works only, for turbidity.

2. Compliance sampling programme

2.1 | Each WSA should set out in its sampling manual its pre-determined **compliance** sampling programme for each year. This programme should be based on the Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP issued to WSAs by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) in December 2004, appropriately modified from time to time to take into account changes in water supplies in the intervening period. The WSA should consult the private water supplier when preparing this programme to ensure that the premises selected for sampling are supplied by the private water supply. The WSA should also consult the HSE when preparing the programme to avoid duplication of monitoring effort. This programme must set out the frequencies of sampling for **each parameter** or **group of parameters** (for many parameters the frequencies will be the same) in:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ each supply zone (consumers' taps) (all parameters – unless the WSA chooses to sample specified parameters in the water leaving the treatment works – see table 3 in this appendix); and
- ◆ each water treatment works (nitrite and turbidity, the latter at surface water treatment works only) and, if the WSA chooses, the other parameters that may be sampled in the water leaving the treatment works – see table 3 in this appendix.

2.2 | The frequencies must be at least the minimum specified for **check monitoring** and **audit monitoring** in table B of part 2 of the Schedule to the Regulations and amplified in the tables 3.5 and 3.6 in Appendices 1 and 2 of section 3 of this Handbook. WSAs may programme for slightly higher frequencies, say about 10% higher than the minimum, so that if samples are lost or damaged or there is a problem with analysis they will still comply with the minimum frequencies. However, WSAs should not significantly over-programme for particular parameters that are easy to sample and analyse and are likely to comply with the standards as this would bias the overall picture of drinking water quality. The samples should be programmed to be taken at regular intervals at each point (consumers' taps in supply zones and treatment works). If the sampling frequency is 12 each year, this means a sample must be taken in each month of the year, but it should not be taken on the same day each month – the day and the timing during the day of the sample should be varied.

2.3 | WSAs should note that reduced frequencies can be used for the check monitoring parameters only in the following circumstances:

“Where the values of the results obtained from samples taken during the preceding two years are constant and are significantly better than the values specified in Part 1 of the Schedule, and no factor is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of the water, the number of samples specified in Table B of Part 2 of the Schedule may be reduced and the reduction shall not (except in the case of a supply where the volume distributed or produced each day within a supply zone does not exceed 100m³) be more than 50%.”

The EPA recommends that this provision should not be applied to private water supplies providing between 10 and 1,000 m³/day so that the minimum check monitoring frequency for these supplies is 4 per year.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.4 | Section 2 of part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations states, in respect of the audit monitoring parameters, that “All such parameters must be subject to audit monitoring unless it can be established by a sanitary authority [now a WSA], for a period of time to be determined by it, that a parameter is not likely to be present in a given supply in concentrations which could lead to the risk of a breach of the relevant parametric value.” WSAs are advised that an audit monitoring parameter may be omitted from its compliance monitoring programme for a particular supply when that parameter has not been detected in significant concentrations in the last three years’ monitoring under these Regulations or the previous Regulations (S.I. 439 of 200). Generally, WSAs should regard a significant concentration as greater than 50% of the parametric value. WSAs may also use other appropriate evidence to justify omitting an audit monitoring parameter from its compliance monitoring programme. For example in soft water supplies where evidence from conductivity monitoring for the last three years has shown conductivities are always less than, say, 250 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, then chloride and sulphate may be omitted because their concentrations will be significantly below 250 mg/l.

3. Compliance sampling points

3.1 | WSAs or laboratories should set out the procedures and precautions to be adopted for the selection of **compliance** sampling points and for the taking of samples from each type of sampling point. The Regulations require samples to be taken from consumers’ taps. They also require samples to be taken from the water leaving treatment works for turbidity and nitrite. WSAs are permitted to take samples for particular parameters from the water leaving treatment works instead of from consumers’ taps if they can demonstrate that there will be no adverse change in the concentration of those parameters (see sub-section 7 of this appendix).

3.2 | The following is the minimum that should be included in the sampling manual regarding sampling points for compliance sampling from consumers’ taps:

- ◆ a protocol for the selection of premises from which samples are to be taken. These sampling points should be pre-determined before the start of the each year. They should be selected in accordance with guidelines specified in sub-section 6 of this appendix. The grid reference of each selected premises or alternate premises (see next bullet below) should be noted to facilitate recording of drinking water quality information on Geographic Information Systems (GIS);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ a protocol for the selection of alternate premises when access cannot be obtained to the selected premises. Similar, neighbouring premises could be chosen. Alternatively the original selection could identify precisely a small group of similar premises from which the sampler is free to choose one that access can be obtained;
- ◆ a map or maps showing the sampling locations for the year; and
- ◆ guidance on the taking of samples. Where possible for microbiological parameters samples should be taken from metal taps that have been disinfected before sampling. Where only plastic taps or mixer taps are available they should be cleaned or disinfected before sampling. All external fittings such as anti-splash devices and hoses should be removed before sampling. Internal inserts should also be removed if possible without damaging the tap. Mixer taps should be avoided if possible and only sampled if there is no other practical choice available.

3.3 | The following is the minimum that should be included in the sampling manual regarding sampling points for compliance sampling from the water leaving treatment works:

- ◆ the precise location of the point or points at which samples are to be taken of the water leaving each treatment works. If there is more than one point where the water leaves a treatment works, then each point should be sampled unless the WSA has evidence to show that water quality is the same at each point. The sampling point may be downstream of the treatment works (because disinfection may not be complete at the outlet of some small works) provided there is no change in water quality to that point and it is before the first consumer and any service reservoirs/water towers;
- ◆ sampling points should be reasonably accessible and uniquely labelled;
- ◆ a schematic diagram of each treatment works showing the location of each sampling point or points;
- ◆ guidance on the sampling points. All treatment works sampling points should be fitted with metal sampling taps of a hygienic design that do not have attachments or inserts and are made of materials that do not affect the concentrations of the parameters being monitored. Water should be supplied to the sampling tap

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

through a sample line of a suitable material that should be as short as possible. These materials should meet British Standard BS6920 regarding tests for the effect on water quality (or equivalent Irish or other European standard).

4. Delineation of supply zones

4.1 | Table B of part 2 of the schedule to the Regulations, amplified in the tables 3.5 and 3.6 in appendices 1 and 2 of section 3 of this handbook, sets out the minimum number of samples that must be taken for check and audit monitoring each year based on the volume of water distributed or produced each day within a supply zone. A supply zone is a geographically defined area within which water intended for human consumption comes from one or more sources and water quality may be considered as approximately uniform. **Therefore each WSA has to divide its area into supply zones for monitoring purposes.**

4.2 | Each WSA must delineate, in consultation with the private water supplier, the supplier's supply zones towards the end of each year for the following year. These supply zones are then used to determine the sampling programme at consumers' taps for that year. Each WSA should have a written procedure that sets out how it will delineate the supply zones. The following principles should be used:

- ◆ a discrete area supplied by a single treatment works is a supply zone;
- ◆ a discrete area supplied by more than one treatment works should be sub-divided into 2 or more supply zones if there are, or could be, significant differences in water quality within the zone;
- ◆ sub-division should normally be based on features of the distribution network, leading to supply zones supplied from a service reservoir (or water tower), pumping or booster station or pressure zone;
- ◆ in areas where variations in water quality are complex or not predictable, such as conurbations, it may be necessary to use a convenient geographical boundary for each supply zone;
- ◆ temporary introduction of stand-by or emergency sources to cater for temporarily increased populations during holiday seasons should not affect delineation of water supply zones.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.3 | Towards the end of each year, each WSA should review, in consultation with the private water supplier, the delineation of the supplier's supply zones for the following year. However the number of changes to delineation should be kept to a minimum to facilitate year on year assessment of drinking water quality.

4.4 | It is recognised that WSAs or private water suppliers may have to take temporary operational actions to maintain water supplies or deal with incidents affecting drinking water quality that may involve the introduction of water from treatment works not designated for that supply zone. Such temporary measures should not influence the annual delineation of supply zones.

5. Parameters sampled from consumers' taps

5.1 | WSAs are required to sample many parameters at the taps normally used for human consumption (consumers' taps) because their concentrations or values could be affected by the private water supplier's distribution network or by the condition or maintenance of the domestic distribution system within the premises or establishments. WSAs may sample some parameters at treatment works (or other point within the distribution network such as a service reservoir or water tower) if the concentrations of those parameters are not affected by the private water supplier's distribution network or by the condition or maintenance of the domestic distribution system within the premises or establishments. WSAs will probably find it more cost effective to take advantage of sampling for some parameters at treatment works in the larger water supplies in conurbations and towns. On the other hand, WSAs may find it more cost effective to sample all parameters at consumers' taps for small supplies.

5.2 | WSAs **must** sample the parameters specified in table 1 at consumers' taps because their concentrations or values could be affected by the private water supplier's distribution network or by the condition or maintenance of the domestic distribution system within the premises or establishments. In addition WSAs should monitor residual disinfectant on each sampling occasion for those supplies that are disinfected with a chemical disinfectant.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 1: parameters that must be monitored at consumers' taps

Parameter number	Parameter	Comments
1	<i>E coli</i>	
2	Enterococci	
4	Arsenic	See note 1
5	Antimony	See note 1
7	Benzo(a)pyrene	
8	Bromate	Only when sodium hypochlorite is added downstream of the treatment works
10	Cadmium	See note 1
11	Chromium	See note 1
12	Copper	
17	Lead	
18	Nickel	
20	Nitrate	Because needed to satisfy formula for nitrate and nitrite
21	Nitrite	Must also be monitored in the water leaving treatment works
24	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	
25	Selenium	See note 1
27	Trihalomethanes	
29	Aluminium	
30	Ammonium	
33	Colour	
35	Hydrogen ion concentration (pH value)	
36	Iron	
37	Manganese	
38	Odour	
41	Sodium	
42	Taste	
43	Colony count at 22°C	
44	Coliform bacteria	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Parameter number	Parameter	Comments
46	Turbidity	Must also be monitored in the water leaving treatment works for surface water supplies
	Residual disinfectant	For most supplies this will be residual chlorine

Note 1: This parameter must be monitored at consumers' taps in a supply zone unless a WSA can demonstrate for that supply zone that the parameter has not been detected at significant concentrations (more than 50% of the standard) in sufficient samples (at least 10) from consumers taps in previous years, in which case the parameter may be monitored in the water leaving the treatment works supplying that zone.

6. Selection of consumers' taps for sampling

6.1 | WSAs are required to select sample locations (consumers' taps) that are representative of the quality of water consumed throughout the year and, as far as possible, the number of samples should be distributed equally in time and location. This implies that samples taken should be distributed evenly throughout the distribution network and throughout the year. Ideally the premises at which samples are taken should be selected at random from a list of all the premises supplied. If it is practical to do so, WSAs should select the premises at random from consumer/member lists, electoral lists, postal areas or other suitable lists.

6.2 | If this is not practical, the WSA should map out each water supply zone and its associated water distribution network and divide it into roughly equal geographic areas (small supply zones will be a geographic area). Within each geographic area, premises should be selected for sampling for the forthcoming year from domestic dwellings, public buildings (for example but not limited to schools, hospitals and restaurants) and food production undertakings. The total number of premises selected for the year in all the geographic areas that make up the supply zone will be the sum of the number of check and audit monitoring samples required with a small excess to allow for sample breakages etc. The number of premises selected in each category should be in proportion to the numbers of domestic dwellings, public buildings and food production undertakings in the zone. For most zones the premises sampled for compliance monitoring during the year will all be different – a particular premises will not be sampled on more than one occasion during the year. However, for the small supply zones this may not be practical because of the small number of premises and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

gaining access. In these cases a particular premises may be sampled on more than one occasion, but WSAs should strive to keep repeat sampling to a minimum. When a WSA has selected all the premises for sampling during the forthcoming year, it must arrange the premises in a sampling programme so that the order of visiting the premises is such that the samples are distributed evenly in time and location.

6.3 | If the selected premises is a normal domestic residential premises (house or flat), the sampler must take the sample from the kitchen tap in the premises as that will be the point at which the water is normally taken for human consumption. If the selected premises are in an area that the WSA considers unsafe for a “public” official such as a sampling officer to enter, the sampler should obtain a sample from similar premises close to but outside the unsafe area. If the selected premises or establishment is not a normal domestic residential premises, the sampler should ask the owner, occupiers or manager of the premises or establishment to identify the taps that are normally used for human consumption and take the sample from one of those taps. If the sampler cannot gain access to the selected premises, the sample should be taken from a similar nearby premises to which access can be obtained.

6.4 | WSAs must select sufficient premises or establishments in each water supply zone each year to meet the sampling frequencies specified in tables 3.5 (check monitoring parameters) and 3.6 (audit monitoring parameters) in appendices 1 and 2 of section 3 of this handbook. Check monitoring samples will be taken from all the selected premises. Audit monitoring samples will be taken from a limited number of these premises. WSAs must make sure that the premises selected for audit monitoring are also evenly distributed in time and location.

6.5 | WSAs should note that samples from normal domestic residential premises must be taken from the kitchen tap. It is not acceptable to take samples from outside taps at these premises as these will not be representative of water used for human consumption. Similarly WSAs should not take samples from taps in public conveniences as these taps should not be used for water for human consumption. Private water suppliers (or WSAs) may take **operational** samples from taps other than kitchen taps.

6.6 | Care should be taken, in selecting premises for sampling, to avoid premises with defective internal plumbing or domestic distribution systems. Premises that don't have suitable sampling taps available should also be avoided. The WSA should liaise with the private water supplier, who will have relevant local knowledge, when compiling or revising its list of sampling points. Should the WSA take a compliance sample from a

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

tap in a premises that subsequently is found not to be supplied by the private water supply, the WSA should keep a record of that sample and the results of the analyses for audit purposes, but it should not include the results in its annual report to the EPA. The WSA must take a replacement sample as soon as practical from a premises supplied by that private water supply to ensure the correct frequency of compliance monitoring.

7. Sampling from the water leaving treatment works

7.1 | The Regulations require WSAs to monitor the parameters specified in table 2 in the water leaving treatment works. In addition WSAs should monitor residual disinfectant on each sampling occasion in order to check the effectiveness of disinfection when a chemical disinfectant is dosed at the treatment works.

Table 2: parameters that must be sampled in the water leaving treatment works

Parameter number	Parameter	Comments
1	Nitrite	Against the standard of 0.1 mg/l
46	Turbidity	Against the indicator parameter value of 1NTU for surface water supplies only

7.2 | WSAs may, if they wish, monitor the parameters specified in table 3 in the water leaving treatment works (or at some other representative point in the water supply zone such as a service reservoir or water tower) instead of at consumers' taps because the concentrations or values for these parameters are not affected significantly by the private water supplier's distribution network or the domestic distribution system within premises.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 3: parameters that may be sampled in the water leaving treatment works

Parameter number	Parameter	Comments
4	Arsenic	See note 1
5	Antimony	See note 1
6	Benzene	
8	Boron	
10	Cadmium	See note 1
11	Chromium	See note 1
13	Cyanide	
14	1,2-dichloroethane	
16	Fluoride	
18	Mercury	
22	Pesticides	
23	Pesticides - Total	
25	Selenium	See note 1
26	Tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene	
31	Chloride	
32	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> (including spores)	Only monitored for surface water supplies
34	Conductivity	
39	Oxidisability	Monitor TOC instead
40	Sulphate	
45	Total organic carbon (TOC)	Monitor instead of oxidisability
47	Tritium	
48	Total indicative dose	Assume that gross α and gross β activities will be used to monitor total indicative dose

Note 1: This parameter can only be monitored in the water leaving the treatment works when a WSA can demonstrate for the zone supplied by that treatment works that the parameter has not been detected at significant concentrations (more than 50% of the standard) in sufficient samples (at least 10) from consumers taps in previous years.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

7.3 | WSAs must meet the sampling frequencies specified in tables 3.5 (check monitoring parameters) and 3.6 (audit monitoring parameters) in appendices 1 and 2 of section 3 this handbook for the average volume of water produced each day by the treatment works (or the equivalent population supplied by the treatment works). Where a treatment works has more than one outlet mains and the water quality could be different in each mains because the mains are fed by different treatment streams, the WSA should sample each mains at the frequency for the average volume of water leaving each mains each day. If a WSA does not wish to sample the parameters in table 3 above in the water leaving treatment works, then it must sample them at consumers' taps.

7.4 | The sampling point for the water leaving the treatment works (works outlet) should be located so as to provide a representative sample of the water entering the distribution network. It should be downstream of all treatment processes including any contact tanks for the final disinfection process. All treatment works outlets from which samples are to be taken should be fitted with metal sampling taps of a hygienic design that do not have attachments or inserts and that are made of materials that comply with British Standard BS6920 regarding tests for the effect on water quality (or equivalent Irish or European standard). If a sample line is needed between the outlet mains and the sampling tap, it should be as short as possible and made of materials that comply with BS6920 (or equivalent Irish or European standard).

8. Compliance sampling for specific parameters

8.1 Acrylamide, epichlorohydrin and vinyl chloride

8.1.1 | The standards for acrylamide of 0.1 µg/l, epichlorohydrin of 0.1 µg/l and vinyl chloride of 0.5 µg/l refer to the residual monomer concentration in the water as calculated according to the specifications of the maximum release from the corresponding polymer in contact with water. This is because there are not any sufficiently sensitive analytical methods to determine these parameters in drinking water at concentrations close to the standards.

8.1.2 | Acrylamide can be present in water supplies from the use of polyacrylamides as coagulant aids in water treatment and in water works sludge treatment. Epichlorohydrin can be present in water supplies from the use of polyamines as coagulants in water

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

treatment and from epoxy resin linings of water mains and water retaining structures. Vinyl chloride can be present in water supplies from the use of unplasticised polyvinyl chloride (uPVC) pipes in water distribution systems.

8.1.3 | 8.1.3 WSAs can assume that the standards for these three parameters are met provided that the products that contain these parameters are approved (for example by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) in England and Wales or any other equivalent European approval system pending the development of the European Acceptance Scheme (EAS)) and that the WSAs are using the products in accordance with any conditions of approval. The Drinking Water Inspectorate's latest list is posted on its website: <http://www.dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedproducts/soslist/shtm>. If WSAs are using products containing these parameters that are not approved, WSAs with assistance from the private water suppliers must obtain information about the content of the parameters in the products and the leaching of the parameters from the product under the conditions of use and calculate whether the standards for these parameters are met.

8.2 Pesticides monitoring strategy

8.2.1 | The Regulations set the following standards for pesticides and related products:

- ◆ 0.03 µg/l for each of the individual pesticides aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide;
- ◆ 0.1 µg/l for each other individual pesticide and related product (such as a growth regulator) and their relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products; and
- ◆ 0.5 µg/l for total pesticides.

Pesticides and related products are defined in the Regulations as any organic insecticide, herbicide, fungicide, nematocide, acaricide, algicide, rodenticide, slimicide and any product related to these including any growth regulator and their relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products. The European Commission is preparing a Guidance Document on the definition and interpretation of relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products in the context of the EC Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the European market. This may form the basis for the interpretation of relevant in the context of the EC Drinking Water Directive. The guidance on monitoring for pesticides in this handbook will be up-dated if necessary when the European Commission publishes its Guidance Document.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

8.2.2 | Meanwhile WSAs should assume that relevant means any metabolites, degradation and reaction products that have similar pesticidal properties to the parent pesticide. WSAs should further assume that the pesticides currently in widespread use in Ireland do not have any relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products in the context of drinking water.

8.2.3 | The Regulations state that total pesticides means the sum of all individual pesticides detected and quantified in the monitoring procedure. WSAs should assume that this means the sum of the detected concentrations of all individual pesticides and related products and any relevant metabolites, degradation and reaction products on a particular sampling occasion from a sampling point. WSAs should be aware that on a particular sampling occasion more than one sample bottle might need to be collected to enable all the individual pesticides of interest to be determined. If an individual pesticide is not detected above the limit of detection of the analytical method it is assumed to be absent.

8.2.4 | The Regulations state that samples may be taken within the supply zone (at consumers' taps) or at the treatment works for particular parameters if it can be demonstrated that there would be no adverse change to the measured value of the parameters concerned. WSAs may assume that for all individual pesticides that there is unlikely to be any significant change in concentration between the treatment works and consumers' taps and therefore they may take all samples from the treatment works if they wish.

8.2.5 | It is not practical or necessary to monitor for every pesticide that is used within the catchment of a water source. The Regulations recognise this by noting that only those pesticides that are likely to be present in a given supply need to be monitored. To effectively implement this requirement, each WSA should develop a **monitoring strategy** for individual pesticides for each treatment works (or supply zone if the WSA decides to sample from consumers' taps) based on the likely risk of particular pesticides being present in the water source or sources from which water is abstracted for treatment at that treatment works. In developing a **monitoring strategy**, which should form part of the sampling manual, WSAs should with the assistance of the private water supplier:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ assess as far as practical which pesticides are used in significant amounts within the catchment area of each water source (information and advice should be available from the Pesticides Unit of the Department of Agriculture (www.pcs.ie) and local farming groups and from local authorities in respect of non-agricultural use);
- ◆ assess as far as practical on the basis of the properties and method of use of these pesticides and local catchment knowledge whether any of these pesticides are likely to reach water sources in the catchment area (information and advice should be available from the Pesticides Unit of the Department of Agriculture and local farming groups and from local authorities in respect of non-agricultural use);
- ◆ assess as far as practical when these pesticides are used to determine when they are likely to be present in the water source and therefore in the drinking water supply (information and advice should be available from the Pesticides Unit of the Department of Agriculture and local farming groups and from local authorities in respect of non-agricultural use);
- ◆ take into account the results of any monitoring for pesticides in water sources within the catchment area carried out by the WSA, EPA and any other organisations under the Regulations S.I. 294 of 1989 or S.I. 722 of 2003);
- ◆ take into account the results of any monitoring at treatment works or in supply zones carried out under these or the previous Regulations (S.I. 439 of 2000); and
- ◆ include any individual pesticide for which a treatment process has been installed at the treatment works to remove that pesticide.

8.2.6 | On the basis of this **monitoring strategy** each WSA should monitor the water leaving each treatment works (or in each supply zone) at at least the minimum audit monitoring frequency specified in table 3.6 of Appendix 2 of section 3 of this handbook for each of the individual pesticides identified as likely to reach a water source from which water is abstracted to that treatment works. Although the timing of audit monitoring samples for other parameters should be evenly distributed throughout the year, the EPA strongly recommends that for pesticides the samples are targeted at the times when pesticides are likely to be used. EPA will consider taking appropriate action against a WSA that only takes samples for pesticides at times when they are unlikely to be found in water supplies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

8.2.7 | Towards the end of each calendar year each WSA should review its **monitoring strategy** for each treatment works (or each supply zone) using the above guidance. A particular pesticide may be omitted from the **monitoring strategy** if it has not been detected at significant concentrations in the water supplied from the works in the previous three years' compliance monitoring under these Regulations or the previous Regulations (S.I. 439 of 2000). A pesticide for which a treatment process has been installed should only be omitted from the **monitoring strategy** for that treatment works if the WSA can show, either from the private water supplier's operational monitoring of the water source or the monitoring carried out under the Regulations S.I. 294 of 1989 or S.I. 722 of 2003 by the WSA, the EPA or other organisations on the water source, that the pesticide has not been detected in the water source for three years, provided that this monitoring was undertaken when the pesticide was most likely to be found in the water supply.

8.2.8 | WSAs and the laboratories they use should be aware that particular analytical methods for pesticides enable a suite of pesticides of similar chemical structure or properties to be determined. WSAs may continue to monitor all the pesticides in a suite even if a particular pesticide could be omitted because it had not been detected at significant concentrations in the previous three years.

8.2.9 | If at any time a WSA (or a private water supplier) has any reasonable grounds for believing that a pesticide not included in its **monitoring strategy** for a particular treatment works could be present at concentrations approaching or exceeding the standard, the WSA should include that pesticide in its monitoring strategy for that works.

8.3 Lead monitoring strategy

8.3.1 | The standard for lead is 25 µg/l until the end of 24 December 2013 and becomes 10 µg/l from the start of 25 December 2013. Private water suppliers must be taking action now to make sure they comply with the 10 µg/l standard and the other requirements for lead by that date.

8.3.2 | Lead is included within the audit monitoring category of the Regulations to determine compliance with these standards. The number of samples taken in each water supply zone is very small (1 for a supply serving 5,000 population and 2 for

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

a larger supply) so the results may not give a true picture of lead concentrations at consumers' taps within the zone because they can be highly variable. The results can depend on:

- ◆ the length of any lead distribution mains;
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, in the private water supplier's part of the service connection pipe to the premises (in general the private water supplier owns the part of the service connection from the mains to the external stop tap located usually just outside the boundary to the premises and the owner of the property owns the part of the service connection from the stop tap to the internal stop tap within the premises);
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, in the property owner's part of the service connection pipe to the premises;
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, within the internal plumbing to the kitchen tap in the property;
- ◆ the presence of copper pipe work joined by lead based solder;
- ◆ the type of sample taken (random daytime, stagnation and fully flushed – the first of these types of sample is defined and explained in paragraph 4.3.3 of this section and the latter two types in paragraph 6.7 of this section);
- ◆ the time of sampling in relation to previous water use within the property (generally a sample taken following recent water use will have a lower lead concentration than a sample taken after a long period of no water use);
- ◆ the volume of sample collected; and
- ◆ the temperature (lead concentrations are higher in summer months than in winter months).

8.3.3 | There are three main types of sample that can be taken for monitoring lead concentrations – random daytime samples used for compliance monitoring; stagnation samples used for operational monitoring; and fully flushed samples used for operational monitoring. The latter two types of sample are described in paragraph 6 on operational monitoring. A brief description of random daytime samples is given below.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ **Random Daytime Sample** - this is where the sample is taken directly from the tap normally used for drinking without flushing the tap. The sample is taken at a random time during the day and once the sampler enters the sample location he/she takes the first litre of water from the tap. The purpose of this is to replicate how people consume water during the day (i.e. at random times without flushing the tap). This type of sampling is used for **compliance monitoring** as the Regulations require that the sample be representative of the weekly average ingested by consumers and that takes account of the occurrence of peak levels that may cause adverse effects on human health.

8.3.4 | It can be assumed that a high lead concentration (above 10 µg/l) in a compliance sample taken from a consumers' tap is indicative of lead pipe work in the private water supplier's or owner's part of the supply pipe or in the internal plumbing. But it cannot be assumed that a low lead concentration (less than 10 µg/l) is indicative of the absence of lead pipe within the pipe work system. Thus the limited number of compliance samples taken under audit monitoring will not give an accurate picture of compliance with the lead standard in the zone nor will they assist in identifying the extent of lead pipe within the supply pipe and internal plumbing. The EPA recommends that private water suppliers supplement the compliance audit monitoring carried out by WSAs with operational sampling as part of **lead surveys** as described in sub-section 6 of this section and in section 6 of this handbook on procedures for non-compliances with the standards.

8.3.5 | WSAs and private water suppliers that need to get a much better picture of **compliance with the lead standard** in private water supplies should increase the number of **compliance monitoring random daytime samples** taken from consumers' taps from the small number required by the Regulations.

8.4 Radioactivity

8.4.1 | The Regulations include the following two indicator parameters of radioactivity:

- ◆ 100 Bq/l for tritium; and
- ◆ 0.1 mSv/year for total indicative dose.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

However, the Regulations do not set monitoring requirements for these parameters because the Directive indicates that the frequencies, methods and locations are to be determined by a Committee set up under Article 12 of the Directive. This Committee has drafted some requirements but they have not been adopted by the European Commission.

8.4.2 | 8.4.2 The Regulations also state that *“Drinking water need not be monitored for tritium or radioactivity to establish total indicative dose where, on the basis of other monitoring carried out, the levels of tritium or the calculated total indicative dose are well below the parametric value.”*

8.4.3 | In the absence of guidance from the European Commission, the Radiological Protection Institute of Ireland (RPII) recommends that monitoring be carried out as follows:

- ◆ **Total Indicative Dose (TID).** All water supplies of more than 1000 m³/d should be monitored at least once every four years. As total indicative dose cannot be measured directly, samples should be screened using gross alpha and gross beta activity measurements. Where the **gross alpha** and **gross beta** activity concentrations are found to be less than **0.1 Bq/l and 1 Bq/l respectively** then the sample is deemed to be in compliance with the parametric value for TID. Where either the gross alpha or gross beta concentration exceeds these screening levels, then the individual radionuclides should be measured and the TID determined in accordance with the methodology set out in the WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water (1993). If the total indicative dose is exceeded, the WSA should consult with the EPA, the RPII and the Health Service Executive (HSE) about what action, if any, needs to be taken.; and
- ◆ **Tritium.** Where a source of tritium is present in the catchment with the potential to contaminate a raw water source used for water supplies, any such supplies of more than 1000 m³/d should be monitored at the audit monitoring frequency. Tritium concentrations should be measured directly using ultra low background liquid scintillation counting or other equivalent method.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 5: GUIDANCE ON ANALYSIS



Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Section 5: Guidance on Analysis

Summary of Section 5

- ◆ Sets out the requirements of the Regulations on analysis and emphasises the importance of accreditation.
- ◆ Provides Water Services Authorities (WSAs) and their laboratories with guidance in Appendix 1 on the analysis of private water supplies to determine whether the supplies comply with the standards and indicator parameters in the Regulations.
- ◆ Provides brief details of the annual reporting of analytical results to the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA).
- ◆ Provides advice to private water suppliers on the analysis of operational samples
- ◆ Appendix 1 on Guidance on analysis to WSAs covers the following:
 - Advice on the competence training and supervision of analysts and monitoring and audit of analyst's performance.
 - Provides guidance on the storage and preservation of samples in the laboratory.
 - Describes the criteria for the suitability of laboratory equipment.
 - Sets out the regulatory requirements for the performance of analytical methods.
 - Provides advice on how to determine the performance of analytical methods for specified parameters, on those parameters for which analytical methods are specified and on those parameters for which performance is not specified.
 - Sets out the requirements of the Regulations on analytical quality control.
 - Provides advice on the internal and external analytical quality control procedures to satisfy the regulatory requirements.
 - Advises WSAs and their laboratories on the calibration of analytical systems.
 - Provides advice on how to correct analytical results for recovery losses when analysing for organic parameters.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

- ▶ Provides advice on what information to retain in the laboratory's records of analysis.
- ▶ Describes the importance of the integrity of analytical results and advises on how to ensure integrity.

Contents of Section 5

1. Introduction
 2. Guidance on analysis to WSAs
 3. Annual reporting to the EPA of results of monitoring private water supplies
 4. Analysis of operational and other samples by private water suppliers
- Appendix 1: Guidance on analysis to WSAs
1. Introduction
 2. Competence and training of analysts
 3. Sample storage and preservation
 4. Suitability of analytical equipment
 5. Performance of analytical methods
 - 5.1 Introduction
 - 5.2 Parameters for which performance is not specified
 - 5.3 Parameters for which performance is specified
 - Initial performance testing
 - Re-determination of performance characteristics
 - Microbiological parameters
 6. Analytical quality control
 - 6.1 Introduction
 - 6.2 Non-microbiological parameters
 - Routine internal AQC
 - External AQC
 - 6.3 Microbiological parameters
 - Routine internal AQC
 - External AQC
 7. Calibration of analytical systems

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

8. Correction of analytical recovery losses for organic parameters

9. Records of laboratory results and integrity of analysis

9.1 Records of laboratory results

9.2 Integrity of analysis

Annex 1: parameters for which performance characteristics are specified

Annex 2: parameters for which methods of analysis are specified

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

1. Introduction

1.1 | Part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations states that *“Each laboratory at which samples are analysed must have a system of analytical quality control that is subject from time to time to checking by a person who is not under the control of the laboratory and who is approved by the Agency [the Environment Protection Agency (EPA)] for that purpose”*. Part 3 also specifies the methods that must be used for the microbiological parameters and the performance that must be achieved for the non-microbiological parameters in terms of trueness, precision and limit of detection. Water Services Authorities (WSAs) are required by regulation 7 to monitor compliance of private water supplies with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. This means that WSAs and their laboratories or their contract laboratories are required to analyse the compliance samples taken under the Regulations.

1.2 | Laboratories may satisfy these requirements and the guidance in this section for particular parameters if they maintain **accreditation for those parameters, in drinking water, to the ISO/IEC Standard 17025 “General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing Laboratories”**. Assessment for compliance with the above standard is carried out in Ireland by the **Irish National Accreditation Board (INAB)**. Following the award of accreditation, laboratories should ensure that testing for all parameters continues to meet the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 and that all test results are reported as accredited results. Laboratories that are not accredited for all the required individual parameters in drinking water will need to demonstrate to the EPA, or a person or organisation authorised by the EPA, that they have an appropriate quality management system in place and that they satisfy the requirements of the Regulations and the guidance in this section. The key requirements of a quality management system include document control of all procedures and analytical methods used in the laboratory, standards for sub-contracting analysis to another laboratory, procedures for dealing with complaints about the service, satisfactory laboratory accommodation, a self-assessment process including internal audit and management review, integrity and impartiality, valid test procedures, competence of personnel and traceability of measurements.

1.3 | **Laboratories carrying out analysis of drinking waters attain accreditation to ISO 17025 for all parameters** in drinking water and as such the EPA considers that laboratories that maintain such accreditation will satisfy the requirements of Part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations. **Laboratories that carry out analysis for determining compliance with the water quality standards must be accredited**

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

for all drinking water parameters by the end of 2015. The EPA will not accept unaccredited monitoring results after the end of 2015. When uploading drinking water data from laboratory information systems to EDEN, WSAs should only populate the accreditation field as “True” if the laboratory is accredited to the ISO 17025 standard for that individual drinking water result.

2. Guidance on analysis for WSAs

2.1 | Comprehensive guidance for WSAs on the analysis of samples from private water supplies in order to determine whether the supplies comply with the standards and indicator parameter values in the regulations is given in Appendix 1. This Appendix includes guidance on:

- ◆ competence and training of analysts;
- ◆ sample storage and preservation in the laboratory;
- ◆ suitability of analytical equipment;
- ◆ performance of analytical methods covering those for which performance is not specified, those for which performance is specified and microbiological methods;
- ◆ analytical quality control (AQC) covering non-microbiological and microbiological methods and internal and external AQC;
- ◆ calibration of analytical systems;
- ◆ correction of analytical recovery losses for organic parameters; and
- ◆ Records of laboratory results and integrity of analysis

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

3. Annual reporting to the EPA of results of monitoring private water supplies

3.1 | The requirement for WSAs to report annually to the EPA the results of all compliance monitoring of private water supplies is covered fully in section 9 of this handbook. The section sets out the monitoring results to be included, the format for the submission of the information (excel spreadsheet) including the source of supply, the type of treatment, supply zone code, *Cryptosporidium* risk score, remedial action list, sample information, analysis information and the timing for submission of this information.

4. Analysis of operational and other samples by private water suppliers

4.1 | Although it is not a requirement of the Regulations, it is strongly recommended that **private water suppliers should** have a separate pre-determined **operational monitoring programme** to check the operation of their treatment works and distribution networks. The operational monitoring samples need not be analysed in accredited laboratories – they may be analysed in small laboratories/benches at treatment works provided the methods are properly calibrated and subject to analytical quality control.

4.2 | As part of an operational monitoring programme, private water suppliers may take operational samples from the raw water, the treatment processes, the water leaving treatment works and the water in the distribution network to check that treatment works and distribution networks are operating effectively to deliver water that meets the standards and to provide early warning that source water quality is deteriorating, a treatment process is failing or there is a problem in the distribution network. They may also need to take samples as part of their investigation of failures to comply with the standards and indicator parameter values detected by WSAs, as part of their investigation into a potential problem with the water supply (such as failure of a treatment process) and as part of their response to consumer complaints. These samples need to be analysed for a range of parameters, but the private water supplier is unlikely to have available the equipment or laboratory facilities to perform much of this analysis itself. This sub-section provides general guidance on this analysis.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

4.3 | For any samples and parameters that the private water supplier does not have the facilities to carry out the analysis, the private water supplier should arrange for the relevant WSA or the WSA's contract laboratory or another competent laboratory (preferably accredited) to carry out the analysis. This may apply to analysis for example for microbiological parameters, for organic chemical parameters and many inorganic parameters such as metals and bromate.

4.4 | For some samples and parameters, the private water supplier may have the simple equipment and facilities available to carry out the analysis either at the sampling site or in a small 'laboratory' or 'bench' at a treatment works. These parameters could include a number of important operational parameters for example:

- ◆ coliforms and *E. coli* using the Idexx (Colilert 18) Quanti-Tray™ method
- ◆ turbidity using a nephelometric turbidity meter;
- ◆ pH value using a pH meter;
- ◆ total and free chlorine using a colorimetric test kit;
- ◆ conductivity using a conductivity meter; and
- ◆ residual aluminium using a colorimetric test kit.

4.5 | It is not practical or necessary to apply the stringent analysis and analytical quality control measures described in appendix 1 of this section to this analysis. But it is important to apply some basic principles to ensure that there is confidence in the results and that they are reasonably accurate. As a minimum, private water suppliers and their personnel using these analysis procedures need to:

- ◆ have written procedures for each of the methods including the regular calibration of each method and follow these procedures rigorously;
- ◆ fully train the personnel carrying out the analysis (the supplier of the equipment or the WSA's laboratory may offer such training);
- ◆ keep all equipment used in the methods scrupulously clean;
- ◆ store any reagents used in the methods in appropriate conditions such as cool and dry;

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

- ◆ check the calibration of each method on each day the method is used using certified calibration standards provided by the supplier of the equipment or standards supplied by an accredited laboratory, such as the WSA's laboratory – if the calibration is not satisfactory the private water supplier should consult a competent analyst (for example at the WSA's laboratory);
- ◆ ensure that all reagents and calibration standards are within their "use by date" – if they are out of date or do not have a use by date, they must not be used;
- ◆ record all observations (readings), all calculations and the final result in a work book or method sheet;
- ◆ report any failed results or unusual or unexpected results to a supervisor so that any necessary action can be taken;
- ◆ arrange to have the equipment serviced, maintained and checked by the supplier at appropriate intervals, for example every 6 months or every year; and
- ◆ analyse from time to time analytical quality control samples, for example provided by the WSA's laboratory, to check that the method is still working satisfactorily and the person using the method is performing satisfactorily.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Appendix 1: Guidance on analysis for WSAs

1. Introduction

1.1 | Part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations states that *“Each laboratory at which samples are analysed must have a system of analytical quality control that is subject from time to time to checking by a person who is not under the control of the laboratory and who is approved by the Agency [the Environment Protection Agency (EPA)] for that purpose”*. Part 3 also specifies the methods that must be used for the microbiological parameters and the performance that must be achieved for the non-microbiological parameters in terms of trueness, precision and limit of detection. Water Services Authorities (WSAs) are required by regulation 7 to monitor compliance of private water supplies with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. This means that WSAs and their laboratories or their contract laboratories are required to analyse the compliance samples taken under the Regulations.

1.2 | Laboratories may satisfy these requirements and the guidance in this section for particular parameters if they have gained **accreditation for those parameters to the ISO/IEC Standard 17025 “General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing Laboratories”**. Assessment for compliance with the above standard is carried out by the **Irish National Accreditation Board (INAB)**. This International Standard contains all the requirements that laboratories have to meet to demonstrate that they are operating a quality management system and are able to produce valid analytical results. Laboratories that have not gained this accreditation from INAB will need to demonstrate to the EPA, or a person or organisation authorised by the EPA, that they have an appropriate quality management system in place and that they satisfy the requirements of the Regulations and the guidance in this section. The key requirements of a quality management system include document control of all procedures and analytical methods used in the laboratory, standards for sub-contracting analysis to another laboratory, procedures for dealing with complaints about the service, satisfactory laboratory accommodation, a self-assessment process including internal audit and management review, integrity and impartiality, valid test procedures, competence of personnel and traceability of measurements.

1.3 | **The EPA strongly recommends that laboratories carrying out analysis of drinking waters attain accreditation to ISO 17025 for all parameters** and as such the EPA considers that laboratories that have attained such accreditation will satisfy

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

the requirements of Part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations. EPA considers that all analysis for determining compliance with drinking water quality standards should be carried out only in accredited laboratories by end of 2015. Laboratories that have not attained accreditation to ISO 17025 should use the guidance in this section as the basis for the development of such a system in order to satisfy the requirements of Part 3. Such laboratories shall be subject to checking by the EPA or a person approved by the EPA to permit the EPA to ascertain whether the laboratory has a suitable system of analytical quality control in place and meets the specified performance requirements.

2. Competency and training of analysts

2.1 | WSAs and their laboratories or their contract laboratories should ensure that samples are analysed by, or under the supervision of, a person who is competent to perform that task. As many laboratories will have some staff with only basic technical qualifications and limited experience in water analysis, the organisational and management structure of the laboratory is important. The following should be included in the laboratory structure:

- ◆ the laboratory manager is supported by an adequate number of qualified staff, trained in the principles and practice of relevant areas of analysis;
- ◆ there is a nominated deputy for the manager who is suitably qualified and experienced;
- ◆ an up-to-date record is kept of the structure and organisation of the laboratory;
- ◆ an up-to-date record is kept of the qualifications, experience and training of each member of staff;
- ◆ the proportion of senior to junior staff is such as to ensure a satisfactory level of supervision;
- ◆ unqualified temporary staff are adequately supervised and the proportion of unqualified staff to qualified staff does not impair the quality of analysis performed; and
- ◆ there is a suitably qualified quality control manager responsible for all quality control activities in the laboratory and who has direct access to senior management outside the laboratory.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

2.2 | In order to carry out monitoring of drinking water quality correctly it is essential that all analysts are fully trained and competent before they are allowed to work unsupervised. WSAs and their laboratories or their contract laboratories should produce a comprehensive analyst training manual and programme to cover all aspects of analysis that as a minimum should include:

- ◆ the criteria for selection of persons suitable to train as analysts, if necessary sub-divided by type of analysis;
- ◆ the relevant principles and practice of analysis, including calibration and internal and external analytical quality control;
- ◆ supervised training and experience of the relevant analytical systems;
- ◆ the criteria and method of assessment of competence to work supervised and unsupervised;
- ◆ the criteria and method of assessment of competence for senior analysts to train, audit and supervise others;
- ◆ the monitoring/audit of trained analysts to check that they continue to perform satisfactorily and the criteria for satisfactory performance;
- ◆ re-training when performance is not satisfactory; and
- ◆ an annual review of each analyst's training to assess whether further training is necessary.

2.3 | All analysts should have:

- ◆ a copy of the analytical methods that they are trained to use and access to a copy of the laboratory analysis manual;
- ◆ been trained in all the relevant analytical methods that they are, or could be, required to carry out;
- ◆ been trained in the principles and practices of calibration of equipment and methods and in analytical quality control;

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

- ◆ a training record that sets out clearly those procedures and practices in which they have been trained, the dates and results (competency) of that training, the dates and results of audits of training and any re-training and the results of the annual review.

2.4 | Analysts should not carry out analytical procedures unless they have been successfully trained to an acceptable standard or they are being supervised by a competent and experienced analyst as part of their training.

3. Sample storage and preservation

3.1 | Samples must be transported to the laboratory with the minimum of delay in an appropriate sampling vehicle under appropriate conditions (see sub-section 2 of appendix 2 of section 4 of this handbook). The laboratory manual should contain written instructions for the storage and preservation of samples or sample portions that include:

- ◆ adequate refrigerated storage capacity and precautions to ensure that samples are not contaminated;
- ◆ monitoring and recording of refrigerator temperature;
- ◆ commencing and carrying out sample preservation within the maximum acceptable time, when it has not been carried before or at the time of sampling and it is necessary;
- ◆ procedures for dividing samples into portions and preserving such sample portions when necessary within the maximum acceptable time, when sample portions are required prior to analysis;
- ◆ clear labelling of preserved and unpreserved sample portions and preserved and unpreserved samples;
- ◆ commencing analysis within the maximum acceptable time when sample preservation has been carried out before or at the time of sampling;
- ◆ not analysing samples and sample portions that have not been preserved in sufficient time; and

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

- ◆ a requirement to carry out “blank checks” on reagents and/or apparatus used for sample preservation and for action to be taken in the event of an unsatisfactory blank.

3.2 | Further guidance on appropriate sample bottle types, sample preservation techniques and sample storage conditions is given sub-section 2 of appendix 2 of section 4 of this handbook.

4. Suitability of analytical equipment

4.1 | The analytical equipment (including the principal apparatus and all standard laboratory apparatus such as balances, glassware, thermometers, incubators etc) should be of the type specified in the analytical method and it should comply with each of the following criteria before it can be regarded as suitable for the purpose:

- ◆ located and used in appropriate conditions;
- ◆ maintained and serviced according to the manufacturer’s or supplier’s instructions or recommendations or equivalent procedures that are auditable;
- ◆ operated according to the manufacturer’s or supplier’s instructions or recommendations or equivalent procedures that are auditable;
- ◆ calibrated according to the manufacturer’s or supplier’s instructions or recommendations or equivalent procedures that are auditable;
- ◆ have a current calibration that is both valid and traceable to national or international standards; and
- ◆ all system suitability and analytical quality control criteria.

4.2 | Further guidance is given in ISO/IEC Standard 17025 “General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing Laboratories”.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5. Performance of analytical methods

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 | In order to ensure the accuracy of the results of monitoring drinking water quality, it is an essential requirement of the Regulations that laboratories use either the specified methods or alternative methods approved by the EPA (for microbiological parameters) or methods which meet the performance characteristics (trueness, precision and limit of detection) set out in part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations (for chemical and other parameters) **and** that they operate a system of analytical quality control that is checked by a person who is not under the control of the laboratory and who is approved by the EPA. For some indicator parameters there is no numerical indicator parameter value but there is a descriptive value either “no abnormal change” or “acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change”. For these parameters an analytical method or the performance to be achieved by an analytical method is not specified.

5.1.2 | **Each laboratory should have tested the performance of the analytical methods used for each parameter or each determined constituent of a parameter (for chemical and other non-microbiological parameters), and to have demonstrated that the method is capable of meeting the performance requirements set out in part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations before that method is used for routine analysis of compliance samples.** Performance testing should cover the entire analytical method, including any sample preparation and concentration steps. Performance testing should be carried out in a manner emulating that used routinely, without taking special precautions that would not generally apply to achieve optimum performance. An analytical method is the specific combination of laboratory, analysts, instrumentation and analytical procedure used to analyse the sample, including any sample preparation or pre-treatment steps. Provided all analysts have been trained to the same standard and their competence has been assessed using the same criteria they can be regarded as equivalent for the purposes of initial performance testing of the analytical method.

5.1.3 | Laboratories may satisfy the performance requirements of the Regulations and the guidance in this section for particular parameters if they have gained accreditation for those parameters to the ISO/IEC Standard 17025 “General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing Laboratories” from the Irish National Accreditation Board (INAB). This is amplified in paragraph 1 of this appendix.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.2 Parameters for which performance is not specified

5.2.1 | For the following parameters an analytical method or the performance to be achieved by an analytical method is not specified. The EPA advises the following:

- ◆ **Colour:** qualitative assessments of the colour of water on different sampling occasions are unlikely to enable “no abnormal change” to be detected. WSAs and their laboratories should use an appropriate quantitative method for determining colour in mg/l Pt/Co that has a trueness, precision and limit of detection each equal to or better than 2 mg/l Pt/Co;
- ◆ **Odour and taste:** quantitative assessments of the odour and taste of water are time consuming and require a specialist panel of persons to smell and taste samples. Qualitative assessments by an experienced analyst are likely to be able to detect abnormal changes and therefore be able to determine whether the regulatory requirement of “no abnormal change” has been met. Analysts carrying out qualitative assessments of odour and taste must avoid in a period prior to the assessment activities that could affect the assessment, such as smoking, drinking and eating and wearing excessive cosmetics. **Taste assessments should not be carried out on any supply that is not disinfected or where disinfection is practised but may not be effective;**
- ◆ **Colony count at 22°C:** WSAs and their laboratories should use the method in ISO 6222 for the enumeration of culturable micro-organisms or an alternative method approved by the EPA;
- ◆ **Total organic carbon (TOC):** WSAs and their laboratories should use an appropriate quantitative method for determining TOC in mgC/l that has a trueness, precision and limit of detection each equal to or better than 0.5 mgC/l; and
- ◆ **Turbidity:** qualitative assessments of the turbidity of water on different sampling occasions are unlikely to enable “no abnormal change” to be detected. WSAs and their laboratories should use an appropriate quantitative method for determining turbidity in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) that has a trueness, precision and limit of detection each equal to or better than 0.25 NTU.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.3 Parameters for which performance is specified

5.3.1 | 5.3.1 For the most of the non-microbiological parameters, the methods of analysis are not specified in the Regulations. Instead the Regulations specify the performance to be achieved by the methods of analysis. **WSAs and their laboratories may use any analytical methods they wish provided they meet the performance specifications.** The table in annex 1 reproduces the Regulations and sets out the performance characteristics (trueness, precision and limit of detection as a percentage of the standard or parametric value) that the methods of analysis used must, as a minimum, be capable of measuring.

Initial performance testing

5.3.2 | The analytical method should be subjected to testing of its trueness, precision and limit of detection, including spiking recovery. **Laboratories should have a written procedure for the initial performance testing and validation of methods and the results should be kept for audit purposes.** The specifications for these performance characteristics are given in annex 1 of this section. In addition any method that is not referenced to a fully validated authoritative method should be subjected to testing of its resilience against possible interferences. The minimum acceptable specifications for performance testing are given in the paragraphs below. The design of tests and calculation of performance characteristics should be in accordance with the guidance given in for example the UK publication 'A Manual of Analytical Quality Control for the Water Industry' (NS30) or any equivalent publication.

5.3.3 | A laboratory using an analytical method that is not referenced to a fully validated authoritative method should demonstrate that the method has been fully documented and tested to the standard currently expected of an authoritative reference method. It should demonstrate that the following have been established:

- ◆ the required tolerances of all measurements undertaken within the method (volumes, temperatures, masses etc);
- ◆ the forms of the determinand measured, including speciation;
- ◆ the effect of interferences has been widely investigated and quantified; and
- ◆ significant sources of error have been identified and adequate means of controlling them documented.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.3.4 | For most parameters the minimum specification for the performance characteristics to be determined is as follows. Estimate the within-laboratory total standard deviation of individual analytical results for blanks, standard solutions, samples and spiked samples on at least 5 separate days (further advice on number of batches and period of testing is given in the paragraphs below). The number of replicate determinations of each solution in each batch should be the same and not less than two. All estimates of standard deviation used to estimate limit of detection or precision, or used in significance tests should have at least 10 degrees of freedom. The trueness for standard solutions, mean spiking recovery and standard deviation of spiking recovery should also be determined.

Limit of detection is to be calculated as:

- (a) three times the relative within batch standard deviation of a natural sample containing a low concentration of the parameter; **or**
- (b) five times the relative within batch standard deviation of a blank sample.

Precision (the random error) is to be calculated as twice the standard deviation (within batch and between batches) of the spread of the results about the mean.

Trueness (the systematic error) is to be calculated as the difference between the mean value of the large number of repeated measurements and the true value.

5.3.5 | The range of the standard solutions tested should include the concentration or value of the parameter in tables A and B (the standards) and table C (the indicator parameter values) in the schedule to the Regulations wherever possible, but in all cases the whole calibrated range of the method should be covered subject to allowance for ensuring that all measurements fall within the calibrated range. This implies that a minimum of two different standard solutions should be included in the performance tests. All standard solutions should be prepared immediately prior to analysis for each batch, either from the pure substance or a stock solution that is known to be stable for the period of the tests.

5.3.6 | The sample(s) and spiked sample(s) selected for use should represent the type or types of drinking water normally analysed. The same bulk sample(s) should be used throughout the tests. Samples should be spiked immediately before analysis for each batch. The spiking standard should either be known to be stable for the period of the tests or be prepared in the same way as for standard solutions.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.3.7 | Where there is a choice of key instruments, including electrodes and chromatographic columns, each combination used should be regarded as a separate analytical method. For instruments that are not identical full testing should be carried out for each analytical method. For identical instruments full validation should be carried out for each method except where the results of limited testing of the instruments under the conditions used in the analytical method have demonstrated that there is no statistically significant (at the 95% confidence level) difference in performance between the instruments, in which case only one method requires full validation. The tests should be performed on a minimum of five separate days and include the analysis of typical real samples and spiked samples. Limited testing should be appropriate for electrodes or chromatography columns from the same manufacturer or supplier. If the internal AQC record subsequently shows a significant difference in performance between methods each method should then be fully tested. Alternatively, independent data may be available, for example from the manufacturers or suppliers, to demonstrate the equivalence of items such as electrodes and chromatographic columns.

5.3.8 | WSAs and their laboratories should note that 5 batches of duplicate analyses cannot give 10 degrees of freedom. While many combinations of number and size of batch may give 10 degrees of freedom, a minimum of 11 batches is required to guarantee that number of degrees of freedom, irrespective of the number of replicates included in the batch. Laboratories are therefore strongly recommended to adopt 11 batches of duplicates as their minimum specification. The formula for calculating the number of degrees of freedom is given on page 57 of NS30 (or equivalent publication). A laboratory may however check whether at least 10 of degrees of freedom have been achieved by performing the calculation any time after at least 6 batches of duplicate analysis have been carried out provided they have been done on at least 5 separate days.

5.3.9 | For methods where the discrimination of the method is insufficient to record values other than zero for most blank determinations, the within-batch standard deviation of either the low standard solution or the within batch standard deviation of the sample may be used to calculate the limit of detection. Alternatively, a very low standard solution, at a concentration approximately two to three times the expected limit of detection when using the best currently available method, may be used as a surrogate blank. Some methods, particularly those involving simple titrations or the use of colour comparators, may be incapable of measuring any within-batch differences. In such cases the limit of detection should be quoted as the lowest measurable concentration or value.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.3.10 | The bulk sample may not always be stable over the entire period of testing, resulting in an artificially high estimate of between-batch standard deviation. This instability may be recognised by a distinct trend in results for the sample over the period of testing and a between-batch standard deviation that, statistically, is significantly greater (at the 95% confidence level) than would be expected from the estimates obtained for the standard solutions. In such cases a surrogate between-batch standard deviation should be calculated using procedure (a) on page 53 of NS30 (or equivalent publication). Where the instability is so great that the estimate of within-batch standard deviation is significantly affected it may be possible to improve stability by ageing of the sample. Where ageing is either impractical or ineffective in reducing sample instability sufficiently to avoid a statistically significant effect on the estimate of within-batch standard deviation, procedure (b) on pages 53 and 54 of NS30 should be used (or equivalent publication).

5.3.11 | The period of testing should be continuous and not unduly long. Not more than 2 batches may be analysed on any day. When 2 batches are analysed on the same day all instruments used should be shut down to overnight conditions, daily reagents freshly prepared and all test solutions freshly prepared between the first and second batches.

5.3.12 | For physical parameters for which values are not truly additive spiking recovery tests may yield little useful information and need not be done. It is not possible to either analyse a blank or do spiking recovery tests for hydrogen ion concentration (pH value). For these parameters the calibrated range (or ranges) must include the full range of values encountered and the value in table B (the standards) and table C (the indicator parameter values) in the schedule to the Regulations.

5.3.13 | Methods may be used for compliance monitoring against the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations once it has been established that the performance characteristics determined by the procedures set out above meet the specifications for trueness, precision and limit of detection in part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations and set out in annex 1 of this section.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Re-determination of performance characteristics

5.3.14 | Once a method is in routine use it will be necessary from time to time to re-determine its performance for a variety of reasons to make sure it still meets the performance characteristics in part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations. The performance characteristics of an analytical method should be re-determined whenever a significant change has occurred such as a change in:

- ◆ the analytical procedure used (a);
- ◆ the key equipment used (b);
- ◆ the laboratory environment (c); or
- ◆ a change of staff carrying out the procedure (this does not include routine changes that normally occur within the laboratory that are supported by appropriate training and properly trained supervisors) (d).

The significance of any change should be assessed by a competent analyst, and any decision that a change is not significant supported by the results of limited but adequate testing.

5.3.15 | When a change of premises occurs it is not always possible to revalidate all analytical methods before they are used. In such cases it is essential that methods which on transfer also undergo a change of one of the types (a), (b) and (d) in paragraph 5.3.14 above are revalidated before they are used, as should those which are known to be susceptible to changes in laboratory environment e.g. ammonium and trihalomethanes. Other analytical methods should normally be revalidated within three months of relocation.

5.3.16 | The performance characteristics of analytical methods should also be re-determined whenever the results of routine analytical quality control (AQC) (internal or external) indicate that a statistically significant deterioration in performance has occurred which cannot be corrected, or that there is a significant discontinuity in the routine AQC record, whether due to a failure to perform routine AQC or disuse of the analytical method. Laboratories may also wish to re-determine the performance characteristics whenever routine AQC indicates that a statistically significant improvement in performance has occurred. Statistical significance should normally be assessed at the 95% confidence level.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

5.3.17 | When an analytical method has been in continuous use for several years, typically between three and five years, without re-determination of performance characteristics, the method should be re-evaluated and the need for re-determination of the performance characteristics considered.

5.4 Microbiological parameters

5.4.1 | The Regulations do not specify the performance to be achieved by the methods to be used for determining the microbiological parameters because performance cannot be specified in the same way as for non-microbiological parameters. Instead the Regulations require that WSAs and their laboratories or contract laboratories must use the methods for microbiological parameters specified in section 1 of part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations unless an alternative method has been approved by the EPA, in which case the authorised alternative may be used subject to any conditions given in the approval. Annex 2 reproduces the specified methods for the microbiological parameters in the Regulations.

5.4.2 | Any WSA or laboratory wishing to use an alternative method that has not been approved must first make an application in writing to the EPA and must include a full description of the method to be used along with results of tests demonstrating both the reliability of the method and its equivalence to the specified method. Further information on the testing requirements and criteria to demonstrate equivalence are given in ISO/TR 17944:2004 – Water Quality – Criteria for establishing the equivalence between microbiological methods. An alternative method will only be approved if it is adequately documented and the results of tests demonstrate to the satisfaction of the EPA that results obtained using the method are at least as reliable as those produced by the use of the prescribed method. The EPA may make any approval subject to such conditions as it considers appropriate, e.g. limitation of the types of sample matrix it may be used to analyse or specific extra quality control requirements.

5.4.3 | The EPA is satisfied that the results obtained by the Idexx (Colilert 18) Quanti-Tray™ method for coliform bacteria and *E. coli* are at least as reliable as the results obtained by the method specified in the Regulations (ISO 9308-1). Therefore laboratories may use the Idexx (Colilert 18) Quanti-Tray™ method instead of the ISO 9308-1 method specified in the Regulations.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

6. Analytical quality control (AQC)

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 | Part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations states that “Each laboratory at which samples are analysed must have a system of analytical quality control that is subject from time to time to checking by a person who is not under the control of the laboratory and who is approved by the Agency [the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA)] for that purpose”. It follows that each laboratory must operate a system of routine internal AQC when analysing batches of samples for each parameter. Each laboratory should participate in external AQC schemes (proficiency testing schemes) if such schemes are available. The EPA operates a suitable scheme for some parameters.

6.2 Non-microbiological parameters

Routine internal AQC

6.2.1 | As a minimum, the laboratory should run with each batch of samples an analytical quality control solution that contains a known concentration at, or close to, the standard or indicator value for each parameter or determined constituent of a parameter for each analytical method, except as provided for below. The term “close to the standard or indicator value” should be interpreted as meaning the standard or indicator value $\pm 25\%$. The frequency of use of AQC solutions will vary according to the particular analytical technique used but normally between five and twenty percent of all samples analysed should be AQC solutions, subject to a minimum of one per batch of analyses for batches of less than 20 samples. All AQC solutions should be subject to the full analytical procedure that is used for analysing samples and analysed with each batch of analyses.

6.2.2 | For permanent laboratory tests a “batch of analyses” should be regarded as a group of measurements or observations of standards, samples and/or AQC solutions that have been performed together in respect of all procedures, either simultaneously or sequentially, by the same analysts using the same reagents, equipment and calibration. For field tests (such as pH and conductivity tests) a “batch of analyses” should be regarded as a group of measurements or observations of standards, samples and/or control solutions which have been performed on the same day by the same analysts using the same reagents, equipment and calibration.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

6.2.3 | In the following cases the guidance on selection of AQC solutions given above is not appropriate:

- ◆ the standard or indicator parameter value represents a concentration or value outside the normal analytical range of a particular method;
- ◆ there is no standard or indicator parameter value;
- ◆ the indicator parameter value is descriptive; or
- ◆ the indicator parameter value is a range.

In these cases, as a minimum, an AQC solution with a known concentration or value within both the calibrated range of the method and the range of interest should be used.

6.2.4 | When a wide range of concentrations or values is calibrated that includes the standard or indicator value for a parameter but the overwhelming majority of drinking water samples have concentrations or values that are within a narrow band of the calibration range for which control at the standard is inappropriate, as a minimum two AQC solutions should be used, one with a known concentration or value at or close to the standard or indicator value and the other with a known concentration or value within the range of interest.

6.2.5 | As a minimum, all the results obtained from all AQC solutions should be used to plot, for each solution or calculated quality control characteristic, a Shewhart chart that is used to decide whether a method is in statistical control. When other types of chart are used, including those using statistics calculated from individual values, the laboratory should demonstrate that its arrangements effect adequate statistical control over the systematic error, and both the within-batch and between-batch components of random error, though not necessarily as separate items. Further guidance on the construction and use of control charts is given in NS 30 (or equivalent publication) and the Drinking Water Inspectorate's 'Guidance on the Interpretation of Aspects of Analytical Control' (or equivalent publication).

6.2.6 | The WSA and its laboratory or its contract laboratory should have properly documented policy and procedures for routine AQC that stipulate what action or actions should be followed when an out of statistical control condition is shown to exist, include a definition of an out of control condition and detail the records to be

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

made when such a condition exists. The results of analyses obtained using a method not in statistical control should not be released except in exceptional circumstances, when each result so released should carry an appropriate commentary in all records and reports. The circumstances in which such results can be released should be fully documented and state that the cause of the out of control condition should first be identified and shown not to affect the results of analysis of samples intended for release.

6.2.7 | The procedures should also include regular and frequent examination and review of all charts and include guidance for checking and investigating significant trends or changes in either random or systematic error, and for correct operation of the chart. The minimum examination and review periods for each chart should depend on the frequency with which datum points are produced but should not be less frequent than monthly for examination and annually for review. The examination and review should be carried out by a suitably qualified and competent person who is not directly involved in the analysis, such as the laboratory quality manager. There should be appropriate rules for assessing revised control limits.

6.2.8 | An analytical method that is not in statistical control must be investigated and the cause determined and rectified. The performance characteristics of the method may need re-determining in accordance with paragraphs 5.3.14 - 17.

External AQC

6.2.9 | The laboratory should participate in an appropriate external AQC scheme for each parameter or determined constituent of a parameter for which an appropriate scheme is available. The laboratory should also have a properly documented procedure for investigating and recording all failures notified by the organiser of a scheme. Guidance on the suitability of a scheme is given in "The International Harmonised Protocol for the Proficiency Testing of (Chemical) Analytical Laboratories" M Thompson, R Wood, Journal of AOAC International, Volume 76, No 4, 1993.

6.2.10 | In line with the recommendations of this document, laboratories are recommended to participate in schemes distributing drinking water samples of appropriate matrix and which conform to the relevant parts of the protocol. Samples should contain, or be spiked with, concentrations of interest (approximate range one tenth of the standard to twice the standard) and with appropriate speciation where this is of interest. When, in respect of any parameter, a laboratory participates only

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

in schemes that do not meet all the recommended criteria it will be expected to demonstrate that it is participating in the most appropriate scheme currently available. The EPA operates a suitable scheme for some parameters.

6.3 Microbiological parameters

Routine internal AQC

6.3.1 | Although WSAs must use the methods for microbiological methods specified in part 3 of the Schedule to the Regulations or alternative methods approved by the EPA, it is still necessary to carry out AQC to demonstrate that the methods are detecting the micro-organism of interest and that any organisms detected have been present in the original sample and have not been introduced inadvertently during sampling or in the laboratory.

6.3.2 | As a minimum the following internal AQC should be practised:

- ◆ equipment used for sterilisation should be regularly checked to ensure sterilisation is achieved. It is not sufficient to rely on autoclave tape as an indicator of sterility;
- ◆ all culture media and reagents should be sterile and every batch of completed culture medium should be checked for sterility before use;
- ◆ media should also be checked to ensure that each batch will support the growth of the organism to be detected and it will not support, or will minimise the support, of unwanted organisms;
- ◆ all media and reagents should be stored under conditions that ensure that deterioration does not occur and be marked with their shelf life. Media and reagents that have exceeded their shelf life should not be used;
- ◆ incubators should be fan assisted and incubation temperatures should be checked each day of use both when the incubator is loaded and unloaded;
- ◆ all cultures and sub-cultures should be labelled in such a way that they are clearly identifiable with the original sample;

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

- ◆ appropriate records should be kept to demonstrate that all necessary procedures have been followed during the examination of a particular sample or batch of samples; and
- ◆ AQC samples containing a known organism should be examined regularly to provide a check on method performance. For example a positive control containing *E coli*, such as natural water known to contain the organism, should be analysed with each batch of samples for *E coli*. Alternatively water to which reference organisms have been added should be examined with each batch of samples for that organism.

External AQC

6.3.3 | WSAs and their laboratories or contract laboratories should also participate in external quality control schemes involving the distribution of samples containing specific organisms when such schemes are available. Any evidence from participation in such schemes that shows that there are deficiencies in procedures should trigger immediate investigation of the cause and appropriate remedial action.

7. Calibration of analytical systems

7.1 | It is essential that the calibration procedure for each analytical system or method is fully documented and is sufficient to establish fully or check fully the calibration each time the system or method is used. The procedure will vary with the system or method used and the parameter being analysed, but in all cases the calibration should be established or checked over the entire range of the method and all results of analysis falling outside the applicable calibration range of the method should be rejected.

7.2 | Instrumental systems of analysis (such as chromatography, absorption and emission spectroscopy and automated colorimetric analysis) often require full calibration each time they are used. At least three calibration points are required to demonstrate a straight line. Generally the more complicated the calibration the greater the number of calibration points required. With long instrument runs it is essential that the validity of the calibration throughout the run is demonstrated and therefore as a minimum a repeat measurement of one of the calibration standards should be made at the end of the run.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

7.3 | It is also essential that all other apparatus (apart from the analytical systems covered in the above paragraphs) used in the analytical procedure are also calibrated at appropriate intervals. Such apparatus includes, but is not limited to, balances and weights, volumetric equipment including micro-syringes and micropipettes and thermometers.

8. Correction for analytical recovery losses for organic parameters

8.1 | Some methods used for the analysis of very low concentrations of organic chemical parameters do not fully recover the particular organic chemical sought by the method or the method may be prone to contamination from the environment. Recovery is the extent to which a known added quantity of a parameter can be measured by the analytical system. It is calculated from the difference between results obtained from a spiked and unspiked aliquot of the sample and is usually expressed as a percentage of the added parameter recovered as follows:

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 \times (S(V+W) - UV)/CW$$

Where C = concentration of parameter in spiking solution

V = volume of sample aliquot

W = volume of the spiking solution added

S = measured concentration in the spiked sample aliquot

U = measured concentration in the unspiked sample aliquot

8.2 | Recoveries between 90% and 110% are acceptable and no correction to analytical results is required. Recoveries of less than 90% and more than 110% should be investigated and any cause of loss, contamination or interference within the laboratory's control eliminated. When the recovery at a concentration close to the standard or indicator parameter value is significantly greater than 110% (at the 95% confidence level), an alternative analytical method should be sought.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

8.3 | Recoveries for some organic analyses are generally less than 90%. In such cases recoveries and standard deviations should not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level) from those obtained using the best currently available methods. If they are significantly different an alternative method should be sought. If they are not significantly different the guidance in the paragraphs below should be followed.

8.4 | One approach to the calibration of methods for organic parameters is to submit the calibration standard solutions to the whole procedure applied to samples, including any extraction and concentration steps. When this approach is adopted, a check standard at the same concentration as one of the calibration standards and preferably close to the standard or indicator parameter value that has been subjected to only the final measurement procedure should be analysed in order to monitor the actual recovery for that batch of analyses. The actual recovery should be recorded as a performance check and appropriate action taken when abnormal recovery is recorded.

8.5 | When the within batch standard deviation of the method is such that the approach in the above paragraph is not appropriate (for example calibration is not possible because of variability due to random errors), correction for recovery should be considered when recoveries are less than 90%. In such cases the recommended approach is to calculate a long-term mean correction factor using data from analyses of spiked samples. Results for AQC solutions must not be used. The actual recovery for the batch should be recorded as a performance check and appropriate action taken when abnormal recovery is recorded.

8.6 | The use of recovery correction factors should be regarded as a last resort and should only be applied after exhaustive attempts to eliminate the source of bias have been documented and proved unsuccessful. This information will often form part of an authoritative reference method. Good analytical methods that require neither compensation nor correction should be used in preference to those with built in compensation for poor recovery or those requiring correction.

8.7 | When an approach does not reduce the uncertainty associated with an individual result (as represented by the total error, calculated as bias plus twice the total standard deviation, after any relevant correction) that approach should not be adopted. In the absence of any acceptable procedure results should not be corrected. Results obtained with a method having a poor recovery that have not been corrected for recovery should carry an appropriate commentary on the analytical report.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

9. Records of laboratory analysis and integrity of results

9.1 Records of laboratory analyses

9.1.1 | WSAs and their laboratories or contract laboratories should keep adequate records of key aspects of analytical procedures and the results. It is suggested that these records be kept for at least three years. As a minimum these records should include:

- ◆ all key instrument installation, commissioning, maintenance and repair records, including any instrument log or diary;
- ◆ all basic calibration records (including proof of traceability), method suitability checks and any other record necessary to demonstrate the suitability of any equipment used at the time of the analysis;
- ◆ the analytical procedure used;
- ◆ all initial method performance testing data, including raw data, and similarly for any re-determination of performance;
- ◆ routine internal and external AQC data, including charts, investigations of out of control conditions and corrective action; and
- ◆ raw data for the whole analytical run and all calculations to obtain the final result of the analysis.

9.2 Integrity of results

9.2.1 | It is vitally important for public confidence in the results of compliance monitoring that WSAs and their laboratories or contract laboratories have arrangements and procedures in place to prevent unauthorised alteration of results at all stages of the production of the results in the laboratory and during the transfer of those results to the WSA's database.

9.2.2 | The initial result in the laboratory may be a print out from the analytical equipment or the record of an analytical measurement in the analyst's workbook. The analyst may be required to manipulate the initial result and to make calculations to obtain the final compliance monitoring result. If the analyst makes a mistake during

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

this process the result should be corrected in a way that shows exactly what the analyst has done – for example by putting a line through the mistake, entering the correct result alongside and initialling and dating the entry, but not by using correcting fluid to substitute the correct result for the incorrect result.

9.2.3 | A designated (experienced) person in the laboratory should be responsible for validating the result and authorising its transfer to the WSA’s database. This person should check the analyst’s result, that the analytical method is in statistical control from the AQC results and that the result relates to the appropriate compliance sample. If this person is satisfied the result can be validated and released to the database. Once a result is on the database it must not be deleted or altered. If it is subsequently discovered that a result on the database is incorrect the result may be qualified by a suitable explanation that gives the correct result. If the result is so wrong that it affects the statistical summary of compliance then the incorrect result may be replaced by the correct result, but the incorrect result must continue to be displayed with an appropriate explanation.

9.2.4 | Some laboratory methods may involve computers and laboratory results may be recorded on a computerised laboratory database. Computer access should be controlled by passwords that are set with sufficient level of access (analysts may not need the same level of access as the person validating the results) and passwords should be changed regularly. Any corrections to computerised data should follow the principles described in the previous paragraph.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Annex 1: parameters for which performance characteristics are specified

For the following parameters, the specified performance characteristics are that the method of analysis used must, as a minimum, be capable of measuring concentrations equal to the parametric value with a trueness, precision and limit of detection specified. Whatever the sensitivity of the method of analysis used, the result must be expressed using at least the same number of decimals as for the parametric value considered in tables B and C in part I of the schedule.

Parameter number	Parameter	Trueness % of parametric value (note 1)	Precision % of parametric value (note 2)	Limit of detection % of parametric value (note 3)	Notes
3	Acrylamide				*
29	Aluminium	10	10	10	
30	Ammonium	10	10	10	
4	Antimony	25	25	25	
5	Arsenic	10	10	10	
6	Benzo(a)pyrene	25	25	25	
7	Benzene	25	25	25	
8	Boron	10	10	10	
9	Bromate	25	25	25	
10	Cadmium	10	10	10	
31	Chloride	10	10	10	
11	Chromium	10	10	10	
34	Conductivity	10	10	10	
12	Copper	10	10	10	
13	Cyanide	10	10	10	Note 4
14	1,2 Dichloroethane	25	25	10	
15	Epichlorohydrin				*
16	Fluoride	10	10	10	
36	Iron	10	10	10	
17	Lead	10	10	10	
37	Manganese	10	10	10	

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Parameter number	Parameter	Trueness % of parametric value (note 1)	Precision % of parametric value (note 2)	Limit of detection % of parametric value (note 3)	Notes
18	Mercury	20	10	20	
19	Nickel	10	10	10	
20	Nitrate	10	10	10	
21	Nitrite	10	10	10	
39	Oxidisability	25	25	10	Note 5
22	Pesticides	25	25	25	Note 6
24	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	25	25	25	Note 7
25	Selenium	10	10	10	
41	Sodium	10	10	10	
40	Sulphate	10	10	10	
26	Tetrachloroethene	25	25	10	Note 8
26	Trichloroethene	25	25	10	Note 8
27	Trihalomethanes - Total	25	25	10	Note 7
46	Turbidity	25	25	25	^
28	Vinyl chloride				*

* To be controlled by product specification

^ As specified in the note in section 3 of part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations

For hydrogen ion concentration the specified performance characteristics are that the method of analysis used must be capable of measuring concentrations equal to the parametric value with a trueness of 0.2 pH unit and a precision of 0.2 pH unit.

Note 1: Trueness is the systematic error and is the difference between the mean value of a large number of repeated measurements and the true value (this term is further defined in ISO 5725).

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Note 2: Precision is the random error and is usually expressed as the standard deviation (within and between batches) of the spread of results about the mean. Acceptable precision is twice the relative standard deviation (this term is further defined in ISO 5725).

Note 3: Limit of detection is either:

- three times the relative within batch standard deviation of a natural sample containing a low concentration of the parameter, or
- five times the relative within batch standard deviation of a blank sample.

Note 4: The method should determine total cyanide in all forms.

Note 5: Oxidation should be carried out for 10 minutes at 100°C under acid conditions using permanganate.

Note 6: The performance characteristics apply to each individual pesticide and will depend on the pesticide concerned. The limit of detection may not be achievable for all pesticides at present, but sanitary authorities should strive to achieve this standard.

Note 7: The performance characteristics apply to the individual substances specified at 25% of the parametric value in part I of the schedule.

Note 8: The performance characteristics apply to the individual substances specified at 50% of the parametric value in part I of the schedule.

Issue No:	2
Date:	4 June 2013

Annex 2: parameters for which methods of analysis are specified

The following principles for methods of microbiological parameters are given for reference whenever a CEN/ISO method is given for guidance, pending the possible future adoption, in accordance with the Committee procedure laid down in Article 12 of Council Directive 98/83/EC of further CEN/ISO international methods for these parameters. Sanitary authorities [WSAs] may use alternative methods, providing the provisions of sub-articles 7 (4) (a) and (b) are adhered to.

Coliform bacteria and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) (ISO 9308-1)

Enterococci (ISO 7899-2)

Clostridium perfringens (including spores):

Membrane filtration followed by anaerobic incubation of the membrane on m-CP agar (Note 1) at $44 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 21 ± 3 hours. Count opaque yellow colonies that turn pink or red after exposure to ammonium hydroxide vapours for 20 to 30 seconds. The composition of the m-CP agar is:-

Basal medium	
Tryptose	30 g
Yeast extract	20 g
Sucrose	5 g
L-cysteine hydrochloride	1 g
MgSO ₄ 7H ₂ O	0.1 g
Bromocresol purple	40 mg
Agar	15 g
Water	1000 ml
Dissolve the ingredients of the basal medium, adjust pH to 7.6 and autoclave at 121° for 15 minutes. Allow the medium to cool and add:	
D-cycloserine	400 mg
Polymyxine-B sulphate	25 mg
Indoxyl -β-D-glucoside to be dissolved in 8ml sterile water before addition	60 mg
Filter-sterilised 0.5% phenolphthalein diphosphate solution	20 ml
Filter-sterilised 4.5% FeCl ₃ 6H ₂ O	2 ml

**SECTION 6: PROCEDURES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE
WITH STANDARDS**



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 6: Procedures for non-compliance with standards

Summary of Section 6

- ◆ Provides a summary of Regulations 9, 10 and 11, particularly the requirement to protect human health, investigate non-compliances and prepare remedial action programmes and applications for departures.
- ◆ Sets out the offences under Regulations 9 and 10.
- ◆ Describes how Water Services Authorities (WSAs) protect human health in consultation and agreement with the Health Service Executive (the HSE).
- ◆ Describes the requirement for private water suppliers to investigate failures to comply with the standards and indicator parameter values to determine the cause and advises on the nature of investigations, including whether caused by the domestic distribution system.
- ◆ Sets out the notification to WSA of failures to comply detected by the private water supplier.
- ◆ Sets out the requirement on private water suppliers to prepare remedial action programmes for approval by the WSA and provides comprehensive advice on the content and timing of the programmes and on the content for common types of failures.
- ◆ Describes the contents of a monitoring programme for non-compliant supplies.
- ◆ Describes the short term interim measures that must be taken by private water suppliers pending completion of a permanent action programme.
- ◆ Sets out the timescales within which action programmes must be completed by private water suppliers.
- ◆ Sets out how private water suppliers must inform consumers of the details of remedial action programmes.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ Sets out the availability of departures from the standards and describes how WSAs may apply for a departure for a private water supply and the conditions the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) may apply to a departure.

Contents of Section 6

1. Introduction and overview of Regulations 9, 10 and 11
 - 1.1 Introduction
 - 1.2 Overview of protection of human health
 - 1.3 Overview of investigations of failures
 - 1.4 Overview of remedial action
 - 1.5 Overview of departures
 - 1.6 Offences under Regulations 9 and 10
2. Protection of public human and consultation with the HSE
3. Investigation of non-compliances
 - 3.1 General
 - 3.2 Failures associated with the domestic distribution system
 - Microbiological failures
 - Lead, copper and nickel failures
4. Notification of non-compliances to the WSA
 - 4.1 Introduction
 - 4.2 Non-compliance with the standards for microbiological and chemical parameters
 - 4.3 Non-compliance with the indicator parameter values
5. Preparation of remedial action programmes
 - 5.1 Introduction
 - 5.2 Action to indentify the cause of non-compliances
 - Failures suspected to be caused by contamination of the source water
 - Failures suspected to be caused by problems with treatment and distribution
 - 5.3 Action to address the cause of non-compliance
 - Action to improve source protection
 - Action to deal with failures caused by natural conditions

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Action to improve treatment and treatment plant operation

Action to deal with microbiological failures

Action to deal with turbidity failures

Action to deal with disinfection by-product failures

Action to deal with failures caused by distribution network

Action to deal with failures of the lead standard

5.4 Monitoring programme for non-compliant supplies

5.5 Short term interim measures

5.6 Action programme timescales

5.7 Informing consumers of the action programme

6. Departures from the standards

Appendix 1: Model form for application for a departure from the standards in table B of the schedule to the Regulations in accordance with regulation 11

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction and overview of Regulations 9, 10 and 11

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 | Regulations 9 and 10 of the Regulations deal with the **protection of human health**, the **investigations** required and the **remedial action** to be taken when the private water supply constitutes a potential danger to human health or fails to meet the standards and indicator parameters values specified in the Regulations. Regulation 11 makes provision for a departure from the parametric values specified in table B in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations.

1.1.2 | In the context of regulations 9 and 10, **Water Services Authorities (WSAs)** have been assigned specific powers to **supervise private water suppliers** and enforce the standards and other requirements in relation to the quality of private water supplies and the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) has specific powers to supervise some of the activities of WSAs in relation to private water supplies. The Regulations also authorise the EPA to prepare legally binding guidance on specific areas of regulations 9 and 10 and they make it an offence for private water suppliers to fail to comply with specific aspects of these Regulations. The guidance in this section is issued pursuant to regulations 9(5) and 10(8) and private water suppliers and WSAs should take this guidance fully into account when fulfilling their obligations under regulations 9 and 10.

1.1.3 | The EPA recommends that each private water supplier should have written procedures as part of a Quality Assurance System (QAS) (such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, NFGWS) for dealing with non-compliances with the standards in tables A and B of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations and non-compliances of the indicator parameter values in table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. These procedures should cover the protection of human health, investigations of non-compliances and remedial action. Each private water supplier should have arrangements with the relevant WSA for immediate notification of any result that the WSA or its contract laboratory finds does not comply with a standard or exceeds an indicator parameter value.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1.2 Overview of protection of human health

1.2.1 | Regulation 9 deals with circumstances where there may be a potential danger to human health due to the failure of a private water supply to meet a standard or indicator parametric value as specified in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations or due to the presence of some other substance or micro-organism. Regulation 9 requires the WSA to:

- ◆ firstly, consult and agree with the Health Service Executive (the HSE) to determine whether there is a potential danger to human health, then to;
- ◆ ensure that the private water supplier restricts or prohibits the use of water or takes other action to protect consumers, if such a potential danger to human health exists;
- ◆ ensure that the private water supplier informs the consumers of the above actions; and
- ◆ issue such Directions as it considers necessary to the private water supplier to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate any risk to human health.

1.2.2 | In considering the action to be taken the WSA must have regard, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, to the risk to human health that would be caused by the interruption of supply or restriction of use. It is an offence for a private water supplier to fail to comply with a Direction issued by a WSA.

1.3 Overview of investigations of failures

1.3.1 | Each WSA is required by regulation 10(1) to ensure that any failure to meet the parametric values specified in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations in a private water supply is immediately investigated to determine the cause of such failure. The private water supplier, as part of its QAS (such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, NFGWS) should develop and implement a system to investigate non-compliances and include it in its written procedures. Where a private water supplier discovers a failure to meet the parametric values, for example through its own operational monitoring programme or because a treatment process has failed, it must notify the WSA of that failure (regulation 10(2)).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1.4 Overview of remedial action

1.4.1 | Regulation 10 of the Regulations sets out the actions that the WSA must take in the event of a failure of a private water supply to meet a parametric value as specified in tables A, B or C of the schedule of the Regulations. Regulation 10 places a number of specific legal obligations on the WSA as the supervisory authority of its private water suppliers when a non-compliance with the parametric value has been detected as a result of the WSA's routine compliance monitoring or the private water supplier's operational monitoring or monitoring following a consumer complaint. These include the requirement for the WSA to ensure that the private water supplier:

- ◆ immediately investigates the cause of the failure;
- ◆ carries out remedial action as soon as possible;
- ◆ prepares an action programme for the improvement of the quality of water so as to secure compliance with the Regulations within 60 days of being directed to do so by the WSA;
- ◆ implements the action programme within one year of the approval of the action programme if the non-compliance presents a risk to human health or within two years if the non-compliance does not present a risk to human health; and
- ◆ ensures that consumers are informed of the corrective action where the non-compliance is non-trivial.

The WSA also has to ensure that it gives priority to enforcement action having regard to the extent of the non-compliance and the potential danger to human health. The WSA may review and amend as necessary the action programme prepared by the private water supplier before it approves the programme.

1.4.2 | The role of the EPA is also outlined in Regulation 10. The EPA may issue guidelines in relation to the nature and timing of remedial, enforcement or other relevant action. This section of the guidance is issued pursuant to Regulation 10(8) and this section should be considered as the EPA guidelines in relation to the nature and timing of remedial, enforcement or other relevant action.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1.5 Overview of departures

1.5.1 | Regulation 11 makes provision for a departure from the parametric values specified in table B in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. A departure in respect of a private water supply may, on application by a WSA and subject to agreement with the HSE, be granted by the EPA in relation to that water supply up to a maximum value for the parameter, provided that it does not constitute a potential danger to human health and that the supply of water cannot be maintained by any other reasonable means. A departure is in effect permission to the private water supplier to continue supplying water that does not comply with the standards whilst remedial action is taken provided there is not a potential danger to human health.

1.5.2 | This section sets out what WSAs must include in any application for a departure and specifies what the EPA must include as conditions associated with any departure it grants to the WSA and its private water supplier.

1.6 Offences under Regulations 9 and 10

1.6.1 | In accordance with Regulations 9 and 10 it is now an offence for a private water supplier to:

- ◆ fail to comply with a direction from the relevant WSA to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate a non-compliance or take appropriate measures to deal with the presence of a substance or micro-organism for which no quality standard has been prescribed, where there is a risk to human health - regulation 9(6);
- ◆ fail to notify the relevant WSA when it discovers a failure to meet the parametric values of Part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations in accordance with the EPA guidelines as outlined in this section of this handbook – regulation 10(3);
- ◆ fail to comply with a direction to submit an action programme within 60 days of being directed to do so by the relevant WSA and implement such action programme, as amended by the WSA, for the improvement of the quality of water so as to secure compliance as soon as possible and no later than one year from the date of approval in the case of a non-compliance which presents a risk to human health and two years in the case of other non-compliances - regulation 10(12)(a);
- ◆ fail to inform consumers of the remedial action taken in accordance with the action programme - regulation 10(12)(b);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ fail to maintain records of an incident as outlined in regulation 10(12)(c); and
- ◆ fail to make a record available to the relevant WSA on request - regulation 10(12)(d).

2. Protection of human health and consultation with the HSE

2.1 | Whenever a drinking water sample from a private water supply (compliance, operational, investigation of consumer complaint or incident) has failed to meet the parametric value for any of the parameters in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, the WSA must determine whether the non-compliance presents a risk/potential danger to human health. This also applies to situations where there has not been an identified non-compliance with a parametric value but there could be a potential danger to human health such as:

- ◆ the presence of a substance or micro-organism for which no standard is specified in the Regulations;
- ◆ detection of an inefficiency of the disinfection process (such as insufficient chlorine in the final water to ensure that disinfection is complete); and
- ◆ an unexpected increase in the concentration or value of a parameter but not exceeding the parametric value indicating contamination or a problem with a treatment process (such as turbidity indicating inefficient filtration).

Consequently, each WSA should have in place documented procedures for consultation with the HSE when non-compliance is detected or another potential health risk exists. It should also have documented procedures for consulting the private water supplier to obtain information on any relevant operational monitoring around the time of the failure and any problems in the catchment or with the operation of any treatment processes and the distribution network. These procedures should include, as a minimum:

- ◆ contact details of the relevant personnel in the HSE;
- ◆ contact details of the relevant personnel in the private water supplier;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ details of what additional information should be sent to the HSE in the event of a non-compliance (such as the results of investigations of the cause of the failure carried out by the private water supplier); and
- ◆ details of agreed actions to be taken in the event of specific failures (e.g. *E. coli*, detection of *Cryptosporidium* or the issue of a boil notice).

2.2 | WSAs should be aware that the HSE has published a document “Drinking Water and Health – a Review and Guide for Population Health, Health Service Executive 2008” (www.hse.ie/eng/services/Publications/services/Environmentalhealth/HSE_Drinking_Water_and_Health_Review_and_Guide_2008.pdf). This recognises the key role that the HSE has in assessing and advising WSAs and the EPA on potential risks to human health. The primary purpose of the document is to assure increased consistency of approach from and between HSE staff of different professional backgrounds who are involved with drinking water safety throughout Ireland. WSAs should also be aware that HSE has prepared a document “Guidelines proposed by the Health Service Executive as a template document between the Health Service Executive and Water Services Authorities for Dealing with Exceedances and Incidents in Water Supplies” which is intended to facilitate a standardised framework nationally for dealing with microbiological, chemical and indicator parameter failures and incidents.

2.3 | When the HSE advises a WSA that there is a potential danger to human health from a private water supply, the WSA must ensure that action is taken to protect the consumers. The WSA must agree the action with the HSE which will be either to prohibit the supply of water from the private water supply or to restrict the use of the water. But in reaching that agreement both WSA and the HSE must have regard to the risks to human health that would be caused by an interruption to the supply or restriction of the use of the supply. The WSA and the HSE must also agree the criteria to be used to decide when to stop the interruption to the supply or the restriction of its use. Once agreement has been reached, the WSA must ensure that the private water supplier issues the advice promptly to consumers. In the majority of situations the action will consist of restriction of the use of the supply by advising consumers to:

- ◆ boil water before using for drinking and food preparation, for example when there is a failure of a microbiological parameter or a problem with disinfection; or

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ not to use the water for drinking and food preparation (the supply can still be used for other purposes), for example when there has been a serious failure of a chemical parameter. In this case the private water supplier must make arrangements to provide an alternative supply of water for drinking and food preparation such as in tankers or other appropriate containers. The WSA may be able to assist the private water supplier when such arrangements are necessary.

2.4 | If the WSA is not satisfied with the action being taken by the private water supplier, it must, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, issue a direction to the private water supplier to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the risk to human health.

2.5 | Each private water supplier should have documented written procedures for the issue of the advice to consumers as part of its QAS (such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, NFGWS). Each private water supplier should also have:

- ◆ model leaflets for:
 - interruption to a supply with details of the availability of an alternative supply in tankers or containers;
 - advice to boil water notices with guidance on how to boil the water and what to use the boiled water for;
 - advice not to use water for drinking and food preparation with details of the availability of an alternative supply in tankers or containers;
 - withdrawal of the above advice;

and these leaflets:

- ◆ should be capable of adaptation quickly to any situation where there is a potential danger to human health;
- ◆ should be very clear and use simple language; and
- ◆ may need to be provided in languages other than English in some cases (e.g. Irish and other languages).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Examples of the most common leaflets (notices) used by Scottish Water are contained in Appendix G of the Guidance Document for the Drinking Water Incident response Plan (DWIRP) published by the Water Services Training Group (WSTG) (see paragraph 3.1.1 of section 8 of this handbook).

3. Investigations of non-compliances

3.1 General

3.1.1 | Each WSA is required by regulation 10(1) to ensure that any failure to meet the parametric values specified in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations in a private water supply is immediately investigated by the private water supplier to determine the cause of such failure. Each private water supplier, as part of its QAS, should develop and implement a system to investigate non-compliances and include it in its written procedures. This should include procedures and protocols to investigate the following:

- ◆ a review of previous compliance and operational monitoring results for that parameter at the same or similar sampling points in the affected water supply;
- ◆ a review of any compliance and operational monitoring results for that parameter at associated sampling points (for example at the treatment works for a failure within a supply zone);
- ◆ a review of the operation of the treatment works, service reservoir/water tower or distribution network associated with the failure;
- ◆ where the failure is with a microbiological parametric value then a review of the effectiveness and robustness of the disinfection and other treatment processes should be carried out to include a review of results and residual chlorine levels for the supply three days either side of the date the non-compliant sample was taken, review of the chlorine dosing systems and procedures, review of the maintenance of residual chlorine at the plant and in the distribution system and review of the integrity of the distribution network including service reservoirs. Where UV treatment forms part of the disinfection process the operation of this process should be thoroughly reviewed;
- ◆ a procedure to determine whether there has been any event in the catchment that might be responsible for the failure;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ a procedure for taking further samples from the same point and if necessary from associated points;
- ◆ when the failure is suspected to be due to the condition of the consumer's tap, further samples should be taken from such points as will help to assess whether the failure was caused by the condition of the pipe work and fittings in the consumer's premises. Inspection of the consumer's pipe work and fittings may be necessary. In addition samples should be taken of the treated water leaving the drinking water treatment plant;
- ◆ when the failure is due to the presence of *E. coli* or coliform bacteria in a sample taken from a consumer's tap, a sample shall be taken before and after disinfection of the tap and a swab sample from the surfaces of the tap that come into contact with water; and
- ◆ when the failure is lead or copper or nickel in respect of a sample taken from a consumer's tap, the private water supplier in consultation with the WSA should consider wider sampling from other premises in the supply zone to establish whether the failure is restricted to one premises or whether there is a risk of failures at many premises in the zone.

3.2 Failures associated with the domestic distribution system

3.2.1 | One of the matters that the investigation has to establish is whether the cause of the failure of the standard or an indicator parameter value is due to the condition of the pipe work and fittings in the premises (i.e. the domestic distribution system) or other factors. The WSA will only consider failures to be attributable to pipe work and fittings where the private water supplier demonstrates comprehensively that it has carried out a detailed investigation with supporting evidence to show that consumer's plumbing is most likely to be the cause of the failure.

Microbiological failures

3.2.2 | Microbiological parameters, such as *E. coli* or coliform bacteria, may be influenced by the condition of the pipe work and fittings and particularly the design and hygienic status of the consumer's tap. The outcome of the further analysis described

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

above provides important information on the likelihood that the non-compliance is attributable to the condition of the pipe work and fittings. There is a strong indication that the non-compliance is attributable to the pipe work and fittings when:

- ◆ the non-compliance recurs in the further sample from the original consumer's tap but all other samples in the supply zone meet the relevant standards or indicator parameter values; or
- ◆ the non-compliance recurs in a sample taken from the original consumer's tap before disinfection, but does not occur in a sample following disinfection.

Lead, copper and nickel failures

3.2.3 | Non-compliances with the standards for copper, lead and nickel at the consumer's tap may be associated with the consumer's pipe work and fittings or the private water supplier's pipe work as the water interacts with copper or lead pipes (or solders) and brass fittings and plated taps that contain nickel. The private water supplier's investigation should establish whether these metals are present in its pipe work (unlikely to be the case for nickel) and whether they are present in the consumer's pipe work and fittings. It should also establish whether non-compliances also occur in similar premises in the supply zone.

3.2.4 | If these metals are present **only in the private water supplier's pipe work**, then the private water supplier is required to take action by following the advice in the EPA Guidance Circulars No. 1 (Lead compliance monitoring and surveys) and No.2 (Action programmes to restore the quality of drinking water impacted by lead pipes and lead plumbing). If they are present **only in the consumer's pipe work and fittings**, then the private water supplier should provide advice to consumers on the action they can take to reduce their exposure to these metals. If these metals are present in **both the private water supplier's and the consumer's pipe work**, then the private water supplier should follow the advice in EPA Guidance Circulars No. 1 and No. 2.

3.2.5 | This advice particularly applies to **lead** which has been widely used in the past and most private water supplies are plumbosolvent unless adequately treated. There is a perception that lead is not an issue in private water supplies, but that needs to be confirmed by investigation. Further advice on investigation and action in respect of lead failures is given in paragraphs 5.3.14 – 5.3.24 of this section.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4. Notification of non-compliances to the WSA

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 | Regulation 10(2) places an obligation on each private water supplier to notify the relevant WSA as soon as it becomes aware of a failure to meet the parametric values in part 1 of the schedule of the Regulations. The WSA will be aware of most failures to comply because the WSA is required to carry out the compliance monitoring of private water supplies in its functional area. A private water supplier may become aware of other failures or potential failures as a consequence of its operations or the operational monitoring it carries out on the raw water, the treatment processes, the water leaving treatment works and the water in the distribution network. Any failures detected during its operations (for example a failure of a treatment process, such as disinfection) or the operational monitoring must be notified to the WSA.

4.1.2 | It is an offence for a private water supplier to fail to notify the relevant WSA in accordance with regulation 10(2).

4.1.3 | The only exception to the requirement for prompt notification of a failure to meet the parametric values in tables A and B of the schedule of the Regulations relates to the fluoride parameter where the private water supply is artificially fluoridated. However, it is understood that currently no private water supplies are fluoridated, but some Public Group Water Schemes (PUGWS) may be fluoridated by the WSAs and then distributed by the private water suppliers. WSAs will be aware of any failure to meet the fluoride parameter because they will be monitoring their own fluoridated supplies and they will also be monitoring those of their fluoridated supplies distributed by a PuGWS.

4.1.4 | Also in accordance with regulation 10(10) each private water supplier shall maintain a record of any incidence of failure to meet the parametric values specified in Part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations that it has detected. This record shall be kept for inspection by the relevant WSA.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Preparation of remedial action programmes

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 | When the WSA has detected a failure of a private water supply to meet a parametric value specified in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations in its compliance monitoring or it has been notified by the private water supplier of a failure in accordance with regulation 10(2) (see sub-section 4 above) and the WSA has directed a private water supplier to prepare an action programme and to submit it for approval, the private water supplier must prepare this programme within the timeframe specified in the direction (and not exceeding 60 days). The following paragraphs provide guidance from the EPA to WSAs and private water suppliers on the nature and timing of remedial, enforcement or other relevant action in accordance with regulation 10(8).

5.1.2 | The private water supplier's action programme must ensure compliance with the Regulations. It must be submitted to the WSA within the period specified in the WSA's direction and it should be sent in accordance with the instructions in the direction and must be a copy signed by an authorised person of the private water supplier. The action programme should contain as a minimum:

- ◆ actions taken/to be taken to identify the cause of the non-compliance;
- ◆ actions taken/to be taken to address the cause of the non-compliance including details of any enforcement (under the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977-1990 including enforcement of discharge licences under Section 4) and source protection measures proposed/implemented;
- ◆ actions taken/to be taken to improve the treatment at the plant;
- ◆ a proposed increased monitoring programme for the parameter that failed to meet the standards for the duration of the action programme;
- ◆ interim measures taken/to be taken to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the likelihood of a failure in the short term;
- ◆ proposed timescales and reporting frequencies for all of the actions to be taken above;
- ◆ details of the documented management and control system in place; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ details of how consumers are to be informed of the actions taken/to be taken.

5.1.3 | Private water suppliers should note that an action programme (amended if necessary by the WSA under regulation 10(6)) is a legally binding document and failure to submit and implement an action programme is an offence under regulation 10(12)(a). Therefore, private water suppliers should consider carefully the information that is to be included as part of the action programme and should include the actions it intends to carry out and should not contain unrealistic actions or timescales. It may be helpful for the private water supplier to discuss its proposed action programme with the WSA before submitting its programme.

5.2 Actions to identify the cause of non-compliances

Failures suspected to be caused by contamination of the source water

5.2.1 | If the cause of the failure is suspected to be contamination of the source of the water then the action programme could include any or all of the following actions:

- ◆ **Assessment of the geology and hydrogeology of the source** – this is to determine whether natural substances are likely to be present in significant concentrations. This may be relevant to naturally occurring metals and substances such as arsenic, fluoride, mercury, chloride, iron, manganese or sulphates. The investigations into the geology and hydrogeology should include, as a minimum, an examination of:
 - borehole logs for the well;
 - geological maps;
 - any geochemical data for geological formations;
 - hydrogeological flow regime; and
 - source water quality trends.
- ◆ **Identification of potentially polluting activities in the catchment or zone of contribution of the source** – where it is suspected that there are potentially polluting activities in the catchment or zone of contribution, a catchment inspection

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

should be carried out. The investigations into the source of the pollution should include the identification and assessment of the following activities in the zone of contribution or catchment:

- discharges from waste water treatment plants;
- storm water over flows;
 - ❖ the location and effectiveness of septic tanks or other on-site treatment systems;
 - ❖ discharges licensed under Section 4 of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977;
 - ❖ discharges from facilities licensed by the EPA under the Environment Protection Act 1992 and the Waste Management Act 1996 (as amended);
 - ❖ agricultural activities (in particular farm yard management and land spreading activities);
 - ❖ forestry activities;
 - ❖ other industrial activities such as waste management, mining, quarrying or other similar activities; and
 - ❖ source water quality trends.
- ◆ **Liaison with the teams implementing the River Basin Management Plan** in carrying out the investigation and in developing measures to improve site specific source protection.

Failures suspected to be caused by problems with treatment or distribution

5.2.2 | If the cause of the **failure is suspected to be a problem with the treatment of the source water or the water distribution network** then the action programme could include any or all of the following actions:

- ◆ **Review of the operation of the water treatment plant** – an assessment of the operation of the water treatment plant should be carried out which should include any or all of the following reviews of:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- recent daily operational monitoring results at the water treatment plant;
 - recent compliance check/audit monitoring results in the distribution network (and at the treatment works where permitted for specified parameters);
 - treatment processes at the plant including chemical dosing regimes, coagulation and clarification procedures, filter operation (backwashing arrangements and media adequacy), disinfection, operational monitoring frequency and process alarms;
 - recent operational changes made to the treatment plant including adjustment of chemical dosing, flows, pumps, pipe work and filter media;
 - recent operational problems including alarms (which should be recorded in the caretakers diary and/or on the SCADA system); and
 - the ability of the existing treatment plant to treat the water adequately in order to meet the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations.
- ◆ **Review of the management and operation of the distribution network** – the management and operation of the distribution network should be examined including the identification of any or all of the following:
- changes to the operation of the distribution network such as introducing water from a different works or part of the network, flow reversals and pressure changes;
 - consumer complaints about drinking water quality (appearance, taste, odour)
 - flushing/scouring regime for the distribution system;
 - possible contamination following recent pipe replacement;
 - leakage; and
 - dead ends, service reservoirs or vulnerable parts of the network.

The action programme should clearly state how the private water supplier intends to identify the cause of the failure (if not already known) and should include details of how the investigation is to be carried out. Specific timeframes for the different aspects of the investigation must be included in the action programme.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5.3 Actions to address the cause of non-compliance

5.3.1 | Having identified the cause or suspected cause of the non-compliance the private water supplier must determine the specific actions that are to be taken to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the cause of the non-compliance. The following paragraphs provide specific guidance on the actions to deal with common causes of failures.

Action to improve source protection

5.3.2 | Where the cause of the non-compliance has been identified as originating from pollution of the source of the supply the private water supplier must take action to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the source of the pollution, it is not sufficient simply to improve treatment at the plant to compensate for poor raw water quality. The root cause of the problem must be addressed. It is recognised that the private water supplier has no direct control over the quality of the source water and will need the assistance of the WSA and other agencies in formulating and carrying out any necessary actions. Actions taken to address the cause of the non-compliance could include:

- ◆ implementation of sustainable planning policies to protect source water;
- ◆ improvements in waste water treatment plants;
- ◆ elimination or relocation of storm water overflows;
- ◆ fencing off of the source of the supply;
- ◆ restriction of land spreading within the zone of contribution or within the exclusion zones as per the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 101 of 2009);
- ◆ enforcement action under the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977-1990 including enforcement of Section 4 licences;
- ◆ liaison with the EPA regarding discharges from EPA licensed facilities; and
- ◆ working with the teams implementing the River Basin Management Plans to improve source protection measures.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Action to deal with failures caused by natural conditions

5.3.3 | In some cases, such as where the source of the non-compliance is natural or persistent, it may not be possible to take actions to address the cause of the non-compliance within the short to medium term or it may not be possible at all (if the cause of the non-compliance is natural e.g. arsenic). In such cases, replacement of the source may be necessary or alternatively the treatment processes may need to be upgraded or optimised to ensure compliance. However, in all cases every effort must be made to eliminate or reduce the cause of the non-compliance from the source. In many cases this will be done in tandem with the provision of improved treatment.

Action to improve treatment and treatment plant operation

5.3.4 | Where every action has been taken to improve the quality of the source of the water so as to prevent, limit, eliminate or abate the likelihood of non-compliance and these actions are not sufficient, additional actions to improve the treatment process should be detailed in the action programme. Also in cases where the failure of the treatment plant has caused the non-compliance the private water supplier must outline in the action programme the actions to be taken to improve the treatment process. These actions could include:

- ◆ adjustment of chemical dosing regime;
- ◆ adjustment of treatment practices and procedures (e.g. coagulation/clarification conditions, filter operation and backwashing frequency, disinfection);
- ◆ replacement of filter media;
- ◆ addition of new treatment processes or modification of existing processes;
- ◆ installation of continuous monitoring and alarms for chlorine and turbidity;
- ◆ up-grade of the treatment plant;
- ◆ improved maintenance of treatment plant;
- ◆ additional training to be given to plant operatives; and
- ◆ increased monitoring at water treatment plant.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Action to deal with microbiological failures

5.3.5 | Where there is a failure to meet a standard for a microbiological parameter value or microbiological indicator parameter value, the private water supplier should examine the operation of the disinfection system as a priority (if there is no disinfection process, an appropriate process should be installed as a matter of urgency). Where chlorination is used as the means of disinfection, as a minimum, the EPA expects that all treatment plants should be operated in accordance with the following guidance:

- ◆ only drinking water that is appropriately disinfected should be distributed;
- ◆ treated water should contain residual chlorine at a concentration of 0.5 mg/l for at least 30 minutes contact time prior to supply to consumers to ensure that the disinfection is complete. It is recognised that for some very small supplies 30 minutes contact may not be practical and in such circumstances the private water supplier should ensure that there is an adequate chlorine residual at the last property on the distribution network. The private water supplier should review the chlorine dose, the contact time and the chlorine residual in the network on a site-specific basis;
- ◆ ideally the final treated water (prior to entry into the distribution network) should have a continuous residual chlorine monitor and this monitor should be linked to a recording device and alarmed to ensure that a deviation in the levels of residual chlorine from pre-defined upper and lower limits are immediately detected. The alarm should be linked by telemetry or a cascade dial up system to a relevant person in the private water supplier so that corrective action can be taken immediately to deal with the failure of, or problem with, the disinfection system;
- ◆ where the source of the water is surface water (or groundwater influenced by surface water) the treatment of the water should not consist solely of chlorination; and
- ◆ the residual chlorine at the extremities of the distribution network must be at a concentration of at least 0.1 mg/l.

5.3.6 | Detailed advice from the EPA on dealing with *E. coli* (and enterococci) failures is given in Advice Note No. 3 “*E. coli* in Drinking Water” which includes:

- ◆ determination of whether the presence of *E. coli* constitutes a potential danger to human health and the implementation of immediate health protection measures;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ determination of the cause of the failure to meet the *E. coli* parametric value; and
- ◆ identification of the measures necessary to improve the security of the supply and implementation of an action programme.

The advice also includes EPA recommendations on disinfection measures including:

- ◆ **chlorination:** including continuous chlorine monitors, chlorine contact time, duty and standby dosing arrangements and flow proportional or chlorine monitor controlled dosage and re-chlorination within the distribution network; and
- ◆ **ultraviolet (UV):** validation certificate for the lamp, monitoring of UV intensity or transmissivity and verification, duty and standby UV lamps or auto shut-down on lamp failure and secondary disinfection (e.g. chlorination) in the distribution network.

Action to deal with turbidity failures

5.3.7 | Turbidity is listed as an indicator parameter in the Regulations which states that the levels must be “Acceptable to consumers and no abnormal change”. There is a footnote in the Regulations that states in the case of surface water treatment a parametric value not exceeding 1.0 NTU in the water ex treatment works must be strived for. The turbidity levels (and colour) should be as low as possible prior to chlorination to ensure that disinfection is effective and the formation of disinfection by-products (such as trihalomethanes) is kept to a minimum. Colour should be kept below 20 mgPt.Co/l (Hazen). **Elevated turbidity in the treated water indicates that the treatment process is not operating adequately.**

5.3.8 | Although the indicator parametric value of 1.0 NTU is regarded as an aesthetic value, in practice it is a process control standard. Monitoring for *Cryptosporidium* cannot be used for process control, as it cannot be measured in real time so an alternative surrogate parameter is required. Turbidity is the best available and in order to maximise the removal of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts treatment plants need to be designed and operated to achieve minimum turbidity values in the treated water. Low filtered water turbidity also enhances the performance of *Cryptosporidium* removal or deactivation treatment technology when fitted such as membrane filtration or ultra violet (UV) disinfection. The 1.0 NTU level is an acceptable aesthetic standard so long as the source is not at risk from *Cryptosporidium*. If there is a risk of *Cryptosporidium*

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

in the source water then private water suppliers should strive to minimise treated water turbidity as far below 1.0 NTU as is reasonably practical. **The EPA recommends that treatment processes should be optimised so that the turbidity in the water leaving treatment works is less than 0.2 NTU.**

5.3.9 | Detailed advice from the EPA on dealing with turbidity failures is given in Advice Note No. 5 “Turbidity in Drinking Water” which includes:

- ◆ turbidity levels to be achieved at the water treatment plant;
- ◆ action to be taken by the operator including:
 - ensure adequate treatment is in place to remove turbidity;
 - improvements in monitoring at the water treatment plant to:
 - ❖ assess the adequacy of water treatment plant;
 - ❖ set appropriate alarm levels on the stages of the treatment plant; and
 - ❖ put in place procedures to deal with elevated levels of turbidity;
 - optimisation and improved of the existing treatment processes;
 - ❖ assessing the adequacy of filters;
 - ❖ management of the backwash cycle; and
 - ❖ management of the filter backwash water.

Action to deal with disinfection by-product failures

5.3.10 | The Regulations set standards for the following disinfection by-products (DBPs):

- ◆ 100 µg/l for total trihalomethanes (THMs) (the sum of the concentrations of chloroform, bromoform, dibromochloromethane and bromodichloromethane). THMs are formed by the reaction of organic matter in the raw water (such as humic and fulvic acids) and chlorine used for oxidation and disinfection;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ 10 µg/l for bromate. Bromate is formed when ozone, used as an oxidant and disinfectant, reacts with bromide in the raw water. Bromate is also present in sodium hypochlorite used for chlorination; and
- ◆ 0.5 mg/l nitrite. Nitrite is formed when chloramination (monochloramine) is used as the disinfectant.

There are many other DBPs that are not regulated at present but may be regulated in the future. These include haloacetic acids formed by reaction of chlorine with organic matter, chlorite and chlorate formed when chlorine dioxide is used as an oxidant and disinfectant.

5.3.11 | Some private water supplies currently fail to meet the THMs standard and private water suppliers need to investigate these failures and take action to ensure they comply with the standard. The causes of THM failures and the actions necessary vary with the nature of the raw water, the treatment processes and the way chlorination is used. Private water suppliers must ensure that any action to reduce THM concentrations (and other DBPs) does not compromise the efficiency of disinfection.

5.3.12 | Detailed advice from the EPA on dealing with DBP failures is given in Advice Note No. 4 “Disinfection By-products in Drinking Water” which includes:

- ◆ formation of DBPs – conditions of formation, lists of disinfectants and DBPs and the World Health Organisation’s guideline values and the US Environment Protection Agency’s maximum contaminant levels;
- ◆ investigations into the causes of DBP failures – lists main causes; and
- ◆ measures to reduce DBP concentrations – lists the possible actions and situations where they could be used.

Action to deal with failures caused by the distribution network

5.3.13 | When the cause of the failure may be due to contamination within the distribution network the guidance on water distribution and related matters provided in section 12 of this handbook should be followed. Where the distribution network has been identified as the cause of the non-compliance the corrective action taken by the private water supplier may include:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ modification to the operation of the distribution network (such as to avoid high flows and flow reversals);
- ◆ flushing/scouring the mains;
- ◆ installation of chlorine booster stations in the network;
- ◆ installation of automatic continuous chlorine monitors at the outlet from a service reservoir or water tower and at appropriate points in the distribution network;
- ◆ replacement/refurbishment of corroded/leaking pipe work;
- ◆ maintenance of service reservoirs and water towers; and
- ◆ replacement of old pipe work (e.g. lead service mains).

Action to deal with failures of the lead standard

5.3.14 | Sub-section 8.3 of Appendix 2 of section 4 of this handbook explains why the relatively few compliance audit monitoring samples for lead may not give a true picture of lead concentrations at consumers' taps within the zone because they can be highly variable. The results can depend on:

- ◆ the length of any lead distribution mains;
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, in the private water supplier's part of the service connection pipe to the premises (in general the private water supplier owns the part of the service connection from the mains to the external stop tap located usually just outside the boundary to the premises and the owner of the property owns the part of the service connection from the stop tap to the internal stop tap within the premises);
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, in the property owner's part of the service connection pipe to the premises;
- ◆ the length of lead pipe, if any, within the internal plumbing to the kitchen tap in the property;
- ◆ the presence of copper pipe work joined by lead based solder;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ the type of sample taken (fully flushed, random daytime and stagnation);
- ◆ the time of sampling in relation to previous water use within the property (generally a sample taken following recent water use will have a lower lead concentration than a sample taken after a long period of no water use); and
- ◆ the volume of sample collected.

In that sub-section, the EPA recommended that WSAs and private water suppliers supplement the compliance audit monitoring with **lead surveys** as part as of investigations into non-compliance with the lead standard. There is a perception that lead is not an issue in private water supplies, but that needs to be confirmed. If a private water supplier has evidence from the construction of the water supply and its connection to all the premises supplied that lead is not present in the private water supplier's pipe work or pipe work belonging to the owners/occupiers of the premises, it need not take the action set out in the following paragraphs. However, whenever there is a failure to meet the lead standard the private water supplier will need to take action. The following paragraphs give advice of lead surveys and subsequent remedial action. Private water suppliers should also consult EPA Guidance Circulars No. 1 (Lead compliance monitoring and surveys) and No.2 (Action programmes to restore the quality of drinking water impacted by lead pipes and lead plumbing).

5.3.15 | The purpose of a **lead survey** is to determine the extent of lead pipes in the distribution network, the supply pipe work (service connections) and the internal plumbing within premises. The survey should comprise the following actions:

- ◆ identification of any lead distribution mains in the ownership of the private water supplier;
- ◆ identification of any lead pipe work in the service connection in the ownership of the private water supplier;
- ◆ identification of any lead pipe work in the service connection in the ownership of the property owner;
- ◆ identification of the extent of any lead plumbing in public buildings;
- ◆ identification of the extent of any lead plumbing in domestic dwellings; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ targeted monitoring for lead in water supply zones where the information is uncertain or further information is required – this monitoring is the final component of a lead survey.

WSAs and private water suppliers should plan their lead surveys in consultation with the local Environmental Health Officers and Specialists in Public Health Medicine of the HSE. Further advice on the actions is given in the paragraphs below.

5.3.16 | Generally it can be assumed that any mains, service connections and properties constructed after 1970 will not contain lead pipes, unless a private water supplier has specific information to indicate otherwise. Private water suppliers should review available distribution maps and records for each supply zone to determine whether lead was used in the mains or service connection and whether replacement of any lead pipe work has taken place since the original installation. Private water suppliers should consult caretakers, fitters and other operatives who may have information about lead pipe work that is not documented or readily available. Results of compliance monitoring under these Regulations or the previous Regulations (SI 439 of 2000) and results of any previous investigative monitoring may assist in confirming the presence of lead pipe. Where gaps exist private water suppliers should commence a programme of investigation.

5.3.17 | Each private water supplier should review its records to determine whether there are any **lead distribution mains** in any of its supply zones. The EPA understands that the presence of lead distribution mains is rare, but it is aware of a few cases. If a private water supplier has a lead distribution main, it should make arrangements to replace it as quickly as possible as it will not comply with the 10 µg/l lead standard at consumers' taps in any premises supplied through the lead main.

5.3.18 | Each private water supplier should review its records etc and map each of its supply zones to show the locations of **lead service connections in the ownership of the private water supplier**. Connections laid before 1970 (properties built before 1970) should be assumed to contain lead pipes unless the private water supplier has specific information that confirms the absence of lead pipes (such as areas where the connections are known to be of another material or housing estates were all the lead service connections have been renewed). Where gaps exist a programme of inspection of external stop tap types (where they exist) may help private water suppliers determine

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

the likely presence of lead pipe and if necessary excavation at typical stop taps in the area to confirm the presence or absence of lead pipe. A similar procedure should be followed for **lead service connections in the ownership of the property owner.**

5.3.19 | The **private water supplier** should identify all **public buildings** supplied by a private water supply (for example but not limited to schools, hospitals, restaurants etc) that were constructed prior to 1970 where people may consume water. It should determine whether these **public buildings have lead plumbing.** The owner/manager of the building may have records or knowledge of the plumbing materials or may be aware that the internal plumbing has been replaced. Where there is doubt the owner or the private water supplier could inspect the plumbing or take an appropriate sample to confirm the presence or absence of lead plumbing. If there is a failure in a compliance sample or any other investigative sample (the private water supplier needs to notify the WSA of any such investigative failure), or there is a risk of such a failure (as there would be if there was a long length of lead pipe work) the **WSA** must ensure that appropriate action is taken to protect consumers health, in consultation and agreement with the HSE, and to achieve compliance with the lead standard. The WSA should achieve this by notifying the **owner/manager** of the building and require that person to submit to the WSA a programme of remedial action to rectify the failure and give that person advice on the action he/she can take to protect his/her health and the health of any consumers in the premises. WSAs should have written procedures for carrying out such notifications and for checking that the remedial action has been carried out. Where necessary the WSA should consider using the powers of Direction in regulation 6(3) of the Regulations in the event that action is not being taken by the owner/manager of the premises.

5.3.20 | It will not be feasible for private water suppliers to determine the full extent of **lead plumbing in domestic dwellings.** However, a private water supplier should attempt to identify the areas where such houses with lead plumbing may exist and the proportion of the supply zone these houses comprise. The private water supplier should assume, unless other information is available (e.g. where the local authority has refurbished local authority houses or local knowledge indicates that lead pipe work was used at a later date), that all houses constructed prior to 1970 are at risk of containing lead plumbing. The private water supplier should produce a map of the distribution network clearly showing areas of the distribution network where it is known that there is no lead internal plumbing within domestic dwellings (e.g. developments constructed since 1970 or areas which have been refurbished by the local authority or private developer). Public notices and engagement with local community groups may assist

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

in delineating the extent of lead piping in the supply zone. The private water supplier should consult the relevant WSA for advice on lead in drinking water as the WSA may have a Frequently Asked Questions leaflet or have appropriate advice on its website. The HSE has a FAQ leaflet on lead in drinking water on its website (www.hse.ie/eng/services/Publications/HealthProtection/Frequently_Asked_Questions_on_Lead_in_Drinking_Water.pdf).

5.3.21 | When the steps in paragraphs 5.3.15 to 5.3.20 have been carried out, the private water should produce a map of each supply zone showing areas of the supply zone that are supplied through lead pipes or are at risk of being supplied through lead pipes. The map should be dynamic and up-dated as further information becomes available. The private water supplier in consultation with the WSA should develop an **investigative monitoring programme** targeting monitoring in areas of the water supply zone that are at risk of having lead pipe work in the private water supplier's or properties' owners system. The main purpose of this monitoring is to confirm the presence or absence of lead pipe work where information is currently unavailable. A subsidiary purpose is to provide further information about the extent of failures to meet the 10 µg/l lead standard as this is an important factor to determine the necessary human health advice.

5.3.22 | A key factor in the development of this **monitoring programme** is the method of sampling used. It is important to note that the sampling method for lead as part of the investigative monitoring programme is not the same as that for compliance monitoring under the Regulations. Sampling for compliance monitoring requires WSAs to use *"an adequate sampling method at the tap so as to be representative of the weekly average ingested by consumers and that takes account of the occurrence of peak levels that may cause adverse effects on human health"*. For compliance monitoring, the EPA recommends that the random daytime samples are used. For the purposes of investigative monitoring private water suppliers should take stagnation samples. For such samples the pipes of the sample location should be flushed and run to waste and the sample should only be taken after a 30 minute stagnation time. Stagnation samples should inform the private water supplier whether there is lead pipe work present in the service connection or the internal plumbing.

5.3.23 | In all cases where lead has been detected at levels above 10 µg/l by the private water supplier or the WSA (regardless of the sampling method used), or where the private water supplier has detected lead pipes in its own pipe work or pipe work belonging to the premises' owner (particularly serving public buildings) including but

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

not limited to schools, hospitals and restaurants), the WSA should promptly consult with the HSE to determine whether there is, or could be, a potential danger to human health arising from the detection of lead. The WSA should inform the HSE of the type of sampling method used. Following such consultation and advice from the HSE, the WSA should require the private water supplier to inform consumers and give them the appropriate advice.

5.3.24 | If lead is present **only in the private water supplier's pipe work**, then the private water supplier is required to take action to comply either by providing additional water treatment or by replacing its pipe work. If lead is present **only in the consumer's pipe work and fittings**, then the private water supplier, in consultation with the WSA should provide advice to consumers on the action they can take to reduce their exposure to lead, [but the private water supplier should also consider additional treatment if other premises in the supply zone also show non-compliance]. If lead is present in **both the private water supplier's and the consumer's pipe work**, then the private water supplier should consider additional treatment (particularly if other premises in the supply zone also show non-compliance), replacement of its pipe work if treatment is not effective or practical in securing compliance and provision of advice to consumers on the action they can take to reduce their exposure to lead.

5.4 Monitoring programme for non-compliant supplies

5.4.1 | The monitoring frequencies for the audit parameters are relatively low and in most private water supplies WSAs may only need to take only one sample per year to comply with the Regulations. Thus, where non-compliance has been detected for an audit parameter it may be necessary for the private water supplier or the WSA to increase monitoring for that parameter in the affected water supply. The purpose of increasing the monitoring frequency is to assist the private water supplier to determine the cause of the problem (e.g. THM or nitrate non-compliance may only occur in certain raw water or seasonal conditions). Furthermore, in order to be able to track and confirm the effectiveness of the corrective actions taken, the private water supplier will need to carry out additional operational monitoring.

5.4.2 | As part of the action programme to be submitted to the WSA, the private water supplier should propose an increased monitoring programme which will be assessed by the WSA and amended if necessary. In general, in the case of microbiological non-compliances, [daily] [frequent] monitoring until the problem has been resolved would be appropriate while in most circumstances weekly [less frequent] monitoring

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

of the chemical parameters would be considered appropriate. Consideration will also need to be given to the sampling locations which in many cases will need to include sampling of the raw water, the treated water leaving the treatment works and water from representative locations in the distribution network.

5.5 Short term interim measures

5.5.1 | In the case of a non-compliance with the parametric values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, private water suppliers are required by regulation 10(7) to include interim measures in the action programme. In most cases it will not be acceptable to permit the non-compliance to continue for the duration of the implementation of the action programme, which could be up to one year where there is a risk to human health. Thus the private water supplier must include details of interim measures to ensure that in the short term the risk of non-compliance (and hence the risk to human health) is minimised. These measures may include:

- ◆ installation of temporary treatment;
- ◆ temporary use of an alternative water supply; and,
- ◆ placing of restrictions on the supply (both in terms of water conservation and restrictions/prohibitions on consumption) in consultation with the WSA (who would consult and agree any restrictions with the HSE).

5.5.2 | The private water supplier should state which of these measures are to be used as part of the action programme. If none are to be used, it will be necessary for the private water supplier to justify the reasons for non-inclusion of interim measures.

5.6 Action programme timescales

5.6.1 | The private water supplier should prepare a GANTT chart or equivalent timetable outlining when each of the actions proposed in the action programme are to commence and to be completed. The proposed completion dates should be clearly stated. The overall action programme must be completed as soon as possible. Where the non-compliance presents a risk to human health, the action programme must be completed no later than one year from the date of its approval by the WSA. Where there is not a risk to human health, it must be completed no later than two years from the date of its approval by the WSA. The WSA may require a shorter timeframe for the

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

completion of actions proposed in the action programme and the decision to require a shorter timeframe will depend on the nature of the non-compliance, the speed with which it can realistically be resolved and the risk to human health caused by the non-compliance.

5.7 Informing consumers of the action programme

5.7.1 | Regulation 10(9) places an obligation on private water suppliers to ensure that consumers are informed of any remedial action to be taken to improve the water supply so as to ensure compliance with the standards and indicator parameter values in the Regulations. When informing consumers of the remedial action the private water supplier should provide the following information:

- ◆ a brief summary of the non-compliance and possible causes;
- ◆ details of actions consumers can take to reduce the likelihood of further non-compliances (e.g. flushing standing water to reduce lead concentrations);
- ◆ details of actions members of the public can take to reduce the likelihood of further non-compliances (e.g. prevention and reporting of pollution of the source);
- ◆ a brief summary of actions to be taken by the private water supplier;
- ◆ an indication as to when the supply is likely to be returned to compliance; and
- ◆ details of where consumers and members of the public can get access to the full action programme (including any amendments by the WSA).

5.7.2 | Private water suppliers must make all reasonable efforts to make sure all consumers are informed. Consumers should be informed, as a minimum, via an advertisement in the local press and/or public notice on the website of the private water supplier or notices in prominent locations or for small water supplies by letter/leaflet drop to each of the premises supplied. Regular updates on the situation should also be provided.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

6. Departures from the standards

6.1 | A departure from the parametric values specified in table B in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations may, on application by a WSA and subject to agreement with the HSE, be granted by the EPA in relation to a private water supply¹, provided:

- ◆ no such departure constitutes a potential danger to human health; and
- ◆ that the supply of water intended for human consumption in the area concerned cannot otherwise be maintained by any other reasonable means.

Departures are not available for the microbiological parameters in table A or for the indicator parameters in table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations.

6.2 | A departure is in effect permission to continue supplying water that does not comply with the standards whilst remedial action is taken provided there is not a potential danger to human health. The standards for many of the chemical parameters are based on life long consumption and have a wide margin of safety, so that relatively short term non-compliance up to a value that is not excessively higher than the standard is unlikely to be a potential danger to human health.

6.3 | Any departure granted by the EPA must be subject to a maximum value and for a period of not more than three years initially. The EPA must obtain agreement from the HSE that a water supply containing the maximum value for that parameter for that period does not constitute a potential danger to human health. The EPA also needs to be satisfied that the water supply to the supply zone affected cannot be maintained by any other reasonable means.

6.4 | An application by a WSA for a departure for a private water supply must include the information specified by the EPA. This information is set out in the form at Appendix 1.

6.5 | Any departure granted by the EPA must:

- ◆ be subject to any conditions specified by the EPA;

¹ This does not apply if the failure is due to the condition of the domestic distribution system (pipe work or fittings) in the premises concerned.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ be for as short a period as possible and not exceed three years
- ◆ provided the non-compliance is not trivial, specify:
 - the grounds for the departure;
 - the parameter concerned, previous relevant monitoring results, and the maximum permissible value under the departure.
 - the geographical area, the quantity of water supplied each day, the population concerned and whether or not any relevant food-production undertaking would be affected.
 - an appropriate monitoring scheme, with an increased monitoring frequency where necessary.
 - a summary of the plan for the necessary remedial action, including a timetable for the work and an estimate of the cost and provisions for reviewing.
 - the required duration of the departure.
- ◆ be reviewed by the EPA prior to the end of the period of the departure to determine whether sufficient progress has been made.

6.6 | The EPA need only specify the maximum value for the parameter and the time allowed for remedying the problem when the following circumstances apply:

- ◆ the EPA considers the non-compliance with the parametric value to be trivial;
- ◆ the EPA considers that the action taken in accordance with regulation 10(4)(a) is sufficient to remedy the problem within 30 days; and
- ◆ the failure to comply with the parametric value in the supply has not occurred on more than 30 days on aggregate during the previous three months.

6.7 | A WSA granted a departure in respect of a private water supply, except for a departure covered by paragraph 6.6 above, must:

- ◆ inform the affected population of the departure and the associated conditions (see paragraph 5.7.2 above); and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ give advice, where necessary, to particular population groups if the departure could present a special risk (an example of where such advice may be necessary is when a departure is granted for nitrate. Anyone bottle-feeding infants should be advised to use low nitrate bottled water for preparing infant feeds and not to use the tap water until the remedial action programme is complete).

6.8 | If the private water supplier cannot complete the remedial action within the specified time, the WSA may make an application to the EPA for a further departure for a period not exceeding three years. Such an application should be in the same form as specified above and must include a full explanation and justification for not meeting the timetable and for requiring a further departure.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Model form for application for a departure from the standards in table B of the schedule to the Regulations in respect of a private water supply in accordance with regulation 11

General	
Water Services Authority	
Address	
Telephone no.	
Fax no.	
E-mail address	
Contact person	
Private water supplier	
Address	
Telephone no.	
Fax no.	
E-mail address	
Contact person	
Details of the departure	
Name of water supply	
Grid reference of abstraction point	
Name of treatment works	
Name and code of supply zone	
Volume supplied	
Population served	
Treatment processes (identify any relevant to this application)	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Details of the Departure	
<p>Parameter(s) applied for</p> <p>(from table B of part 1 of schedule to the Regulations)</p>	
<p>Grounds for departure</p> <p>(Include past monitoring results, geological maps or other information in support of the application including justification that the supply of water cannot be maintained by any other reasonable means.)</p>	
<p>Duration of departure</p> <p>(must not exceed 3 years)</p>	
<p>Details of other possible alternative sources of water for supply</p>	
Assessment of the Impact of the Departure	
<p>Indicate if any of the opposite use, or will use, water from the supply during departure period and give details</p>	<p>Hospitals/medical centres:</p> <p>Nursing/residential homes:</p> <p>Schools/colleges etc:</p> <p>Food production facilities:</p> <p>Other sensitive users (specify):</p>

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Assessment of the Impact of the Departure	
Details of how the WSA intends to identify particular population groups for which the departure could present a particular risk and how the WSA intends to ensure that appropriate advice is given to such groups.	
Provide the advice from the HSE on whether the departure constitutes a potential danger to human health	
Monitoring	
Details of current compliance monitoring programme (number of samples and results for last 3 years for the parameter(s) above)	
Details of any increased monitoring programme (frequency of sampling for parameter(s) above)	
Remedial action plan proposed to achieve compliance	
Details of remedial action	
Timetable for this action	
Estimated cost of this action	
How the WSA will review progress with the plan to ensure completion by departure date	
State how the WSA will ensure that the population affected is informed of the departure and its conditions	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Declaration	
<p>I hereby make an application for a departure from the parametric value(s) specified in table B of part 1 of the schedule of the European Communities (Drinking Water) (No.2) Regulations 2007 for the parameter(s) above.</p>	
<p>I certify that the information given in the application is truthful, accurate and complete.</p>	
Name	
Position	
Signature	
Date	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 7: DRINKING WATER QUALITY COMPLAINTS



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 7: Drinking water quality complaints

Summary of Section 7

- ◆ Describes the importance of consumers' complaints about drinking water quality and the need to investigate and solve them promptly.
- ◆ Sets out the procedures that private water suppliers should have in place to investigate the cause of complaints, to determine any remedial action that might be necessary, for liaising with the consumers on the progress with the investigation and for giving consumers advice on the action they can take to minimise any risk to their health.
- ◆ Describes the circumstances when Water Services Authorities (WSAs) must consult and agree with the Health Service Executive (the HSE) on whether there is a potential danger to human health and what WSAs must do if there is such a danger.
- ◆ Sets out when the private water supplier must report complaints to the WSA.

Contents of Section 7

1. Introduction
2. Procedures for dealing with drinking water quality complaints
3. Liaison with the Health Services Executive (the HSE)
4. Reporting complaints to the WSA

Appendix 1: Model form for notification of failure to meet the parametric values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations following investigation of a consumer complaint

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | Private water suppliers will be aware that drinking water quality is very important to consumers. If something has gone wrong with the private water supply it could present a risk to consumers' health or affect the appearance, taste or odour of the supply. Therefore complaints about drinking water quality received from consumers should be investigated promptly by the private water supplier and the results of the investigation given quickly to the complainants. If the cause of the complaint is a problem with the quality of the water supplied by the private supplier, then the private water supplier must take prompt action to remedy the problem. If the cause of the complaint is the condition of the domestic distribution system (the pipe work and fittings) within the premises, the private water supplier must give the complainant advice on how resolve the problem. Complaints may be received from consumers by telephone, in writing by letter, fax or e-mail or in person. Private water suppliers should have in place comprehensive written procedures for dealing with complaints about the quality of private drinking water supplies.

1.2 | It is recommended that all complaints about private water supplies are directed to the private water supplier in the first instance and that the private water supplier should liaise with the complainant to address the cause of the complaint whenever possible. When the WSA receives a complaint about drinking water quality that has not been reported previously to the private water supplier, the WSA will advise the complainant to contact the private water supplier directly and the WSA will also refer the complaint to the private water supplier for investigation. However, where the complaint has already been reported to the private water supplier and the private water supplier does not appear to have dealt with the complaint in a satisfactory matter, the WSA may request the private water supplier to carry out an investigation (or a further investigation) and to report the results to the WSA. The WSA may take enforcement action if it appears necessary.

2. Procedures for dealing with drinking water quality complaints

2.1 | The private water supplier's written procedures for dealing with complaints about the quality of private drinking water supplies should include as a minimum:

- ◆ a system of recording the receipt of telephone, written and personal complaints and for collation those complaints;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ a procedure for assigning immediately the management of the investigation of the complaint to an appropriate person (who will co-ordinate the activities of all personnel involved in the complaint). This person should be capable of determining the nature of the problem, determining appropriate investigations to ascertain the cause and assessing whether there could be wider implications;
- ◆ a procedure requiring the assigned person to contact the complainant and explain what the private water supplier is doing and when the complainant can expect a response. This person should keep the complainant informed, particularly if there is any delay;
- ◆ guidance on the investigations that should be carried out which could include as appropriate:
 - reviewing the recent operation of the water treatment works, service reservoir/water tower and the distribution network to determine whether any action may have contributed to the water quality problem;
 - reviewing the results of recent compliance and operational samples from relevant sampling locations;
 - taking and analysing samples for appropriate parameters from appropriate locations including the complainant's premises. The private water supplier may need assistance from the relevant Water Services Authority (WSA) with the analysis; and
 - analysing any samples taken by the complainant for appropriate parameters, provided the samples are clearly not compromised (the complainant may have taken a sample in a container that obviously contaminated the sample);
- ◆ a procedure for receiving and assessing the results of the investigation and if necessary discussing them with the WSA;
- ◆ a system for the initiation of any necessary action by the private water supplier:
 - taking any appropriate remedial action when the complaint has been caused by the private water supplier's operations; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ▶ giving advice to the complainant on the actions he/she should take when the investigation has established that the cause is associated with the condition of his/her pipe work and fittings;
- ◆ a system for reporting the outcome to the complainant as quickly as possible in simple terms (avoid the use of scientific or engineering language that the complainant will not understand);
- ◆ a system for reviewing from time to time the procedures and modifying them if necessary; and
- ◆ a system for reviewing periodically all complaints by number, type and location to determine whether there are particular difficulties with some aspect of the private water supplier's operations.

2.2 | The private water supplier should also have arrangements for checking that the complainant is satisfied with its investigation, explanation of the cause and the action taken. The complainant may not be satisfied and when this occurs the complainant should have the opportunity of the matter being referred to the WSA. The WSA should review the private water supplier's handling of the initial complaint and, if necessary, initiate further investigations. The outcome of the review should be reported to the complainant as quickly as possible.

2.3 | The samples taken as part of the investigation into complaints are not **compliance** samples nor can they be regarded as **operational** samples because they may not be representative of the quality of water normally supplied. However, the private water supplier and the WSA should keep adequate records of these investigative samples and the results of any analysis.

2.4 | Sometimes, particularly when the cause of the complaint is the private water supplier's operations, there may be several complaints of the same nature from consumers in different premises in the supply zone. If there are a sufficient number of serious complaints, the situation may be regarded as an incident, or even an emergency, affecting drinking water quality. In these circumstances the private water supplier should follow the procedures set out in section 8 of this handbook.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3. Liaison with the Health Service Executive (the HSE)

3.1 | If the investigation of the complaint finds that there has been a non-compliance with a standard in tables A or B or an indicator parameter value in table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, the private water supplier must notify the WSA of the results. The WSA must consult and agree with the HSE on whether the non-compliance is a potential danger to human health. If the private water supplier (or the WSA) has not detected a non-compliance but is concerned that there may be a health risk (for example because some other substance is present) the WSA should also consult the HSE. If the HSE considers that there is a potential danger to human health, then the WSA (with the HSE's agreement) must require the private water supplier to:

- ◆ prohibit or restrict the use of the supply and take urgent remedial action when the problem is caused by the private water supplier's operations; or
- ◆ provide advice to the complainant about the action he/she can take to minimise the risk to all the consumers in the premises when the problem is caused by the condition of the domestic distribution system (pipe work and fittings) within the premises.

3.2 | Detailed advice on consulting the HSE about the protection of human health and giving advice to consumers and on taking remedial action is given in section 6 of this handbook.

4. Reporting complaints to the WSA

4.1 | If the investigation of the complaint finds that there has been a non-compliance with a standard in tables A or B or an indicator parameter value in table C of part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, the private water supplier must notify the WSA using the form at Appendix 1 (if the WSA has assisted with analysis of samples taken as part of the investigation of the complaint this form should still be filled in when the private supplier is informed of the result by the WSA). The private water supplier will be required to take remedial action under the provisions of regulation 10 and may be directed to do so by the WSA. Further guidance on the procedures for remedial action programmes is given in section 6 of this handbook.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Model form for notification of failure to meet the parametric values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations following investigation of a consumer complaint

To Water Service Authority:

Name of WSA contact:

Private water supplier	
Name of water treatment works	
Name of water supply zone (s)	
Water supply zone code(s)	
Estimated population affected	
Parameter (s) affected	
Monitoring results	
Date and location of failure	
Likely cause and duration of the failure	
Has the parameter(s) failed in this supply in the past 12 months (if so give details)?	
Immediate action taken to protect and inform consumers	
Immediate remedial action taken	
Notified by and position	
Date of notification	
Contact telephone number	

SECTION 8: INCIDENTS AND EMERGENCIES



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 8: Incidents and emergencies

Summary of Section 8

- ◆ Defines incidents and emergencies and gives some examples of common types.
- ◆ Sets out the requirement for each Water Services Authority (WSA) to prepare a Drinking Water Incident Response Plan (DWIRP) that covers its functional area including Public and Private Group Water Schemes (PuGWS and PrGWS).
- ◆ Provides guidance on how to prepare the Plan and the key contents of the Plan and the contribution from PuGWS and PrGWS to the Plan.
- ◆ Describes how PuGWS and PrGWS should notify the Water Services Authority (WSA) of incidents and emergencies and provides guidance on what information should be included in the notification and how the WSA should obtain advice from the Health Service Executive (the HSE).
- ◆ Sets out what WSAs should include in their reports on incidents and emergencies and the contribution required from PuGWS and PrGWS.
- ◆ Describes when an Outbreak Control Team (OCT) may be set up when there is illness, or suspected to be illness, in the community associated with an incident involving water supplies.
- ◆ Describes how small private water suppliers (not PuGWS or PrGWS) should deal with incidents and emergencies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Contents of Section 8

1. Introduction
2. Definition of incidents and emergencies
 - 2.1 Definitions
 - 2.2 Examples of incidents and emergencies
3. Drinking Water Incident Response Plan (DWIRP)
 - 3.1 Introduction
 - 3.2 Preparation of DWIRP
 - 3.3 Rehearsal of DWIRP
4. Notification of incidents and emergencies to the WSA and the HSE
5. Report on the incident/emergency by the WSA
6. Incidents involving outbreaks of illness
7. Small private water supplies

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | From time to time events occur that affect or threaten to affect the quality of private drinking water supplies in a way that puts at risk human health or causes considerable concern to consumers. These events may be caused by some failure of the private water supplier's operations or may be caused by something outside of the private water supplier's direct control. Some events will be sufficiently serious to be classed as incidents or emergencies requiring full investigation; others will be minor and will not require investigation to the same extent.

1.2 | All events that are judged by the private water supplier to be incidents or emergencies should be notified to the relevant Water Services Authority (WSA) who in turn will notify the Health Services Executive (the HSE) [and if necessary the EPA]. Many failures to meet the standards and indicator parameter values in tables A, B and C of part 1 of the schedule to the regulations will not be incidents or emergencies because they do not put at risk human health or cause concern to consumers and they can be dealt with fully under the notification and other requirements of regulation 10. Some failures will put at risk human health (microbiological) and will cause concern to consumers (iron and manganese causing discoloured water) and may require a fuller investigation than under regulation 10. Other incidents may be identified without there being a sample failing to meet a standard or indicator parameter, for example a pollution incident in the water source, or heavy rain and flooding impacting on raw water quality, or a failure of disinfection at a treatment works.

2. Definition of incidents and emergencies

2.1 Definitions

2.1.1 | An incident or emergency is defined as any event detected by routine compliance monitoring or routine operational monitoring, or any other event that was not necessarily detected by the routine compliance or operational monitoring and has occurred because of something that has happened in the catchment, at the treatment works or in the distribution system, that:

- ◆ appears to have caused illness in the community as a result of the quality of the water supplied (reports of illness in the community that could possibly be caused by the water supply); or

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ because of its effect, or likely effect, on the sufficiency or quality of the water supplied, gives rise to, or is likely to give rise to, a significant risk to health of the persons to whom the water is supplied; or
- ◆ has caused, or is likely to cause, significant concern to persons to whom the water is supplied; or
- ◆ has attracted, or is likely to attract, significant local or national publicity.

2.2 Examples of incidents and emergencies

2.2.1 | Some common examples of incidents and emergencies are:

- ◆ a serious pollution of a surface water upstream of an abstraction point that has caused or threatens to cause difficulty with the treatment process and therefore a significant effect on drinking water quality;
- ◆ a serious pollution of an aquifer from which water is abstracted for supply (the treatment before supply may only consist of disinfection);
- ◆ a failure of an important water treatment process, such as loss of coagulation or filtration or breakdown of disinfection;
- ◆ a significant number of complaints of discoloured water or water with an abnormal or offensive taste/odour within a few hours from a particular area of the water supply; and
- ◆ a serious loss of water supply for a significant period.

2.2.2 | The guidance that follows in this section applies to the management of the types of incidents described above. It does not apply to the management of major emergencies, such as terrorist threats, national strikes, major flooding of water treatment plants and major power failures that involve a large number of Government Departments and other stakeholders. Guidance on management of major emergencies is contained in “A New Framework for Major Emergency Management” published by an Inter-departmental Committee (Departments of Health and Children, Justice, Equality, Law Reform and Defence) which covers:

- ◆ hazard analysis/risk assessment;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ mitigation/risk management;
- ◆ planning and preparedness;
- ◆ co-ordinated response; and
- ◆ recovery.

3. Drinking Water Incident Response Plan (DWIRP)

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 | In accordance with the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) Circular L4/09, each WSA, must have a written **Drinking Water Incident Response Plan** (DWIRP) that sets out the WSA's strategy and protocols for responding to contamination incidents or other emergencies irrespective of whether they are the water suppliers or whether the water is provided by **public group water schemes** (PuGWS) or **private group water schemes** (PrGWS), including for PrGWS supplies with Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contracts in place. This means that each WSA's DWIRP must cover all PuGWS and PrGWS (including those with DBO contracts) in its functional area. Clearly each PuGWS and PrGWS will need to assist the WSA in the preparation of the DWIRP and to assist and co-operate with the WSA in the management of an incident affecting its private water supply. Each PuGWS and PrGWS should have a copy of the WSA's DWIRP relating to its supply.

3.1.2 | WSAs and private water suppliers should be aware of the HSE document "Guidelines proposed by the Health Service Executive as a template document between the Health Service Executive and Water Services Authorities for Dealing with Exceedances and Incidents in Water Supplies" which is intended to facilitate a standardised framework nationally for dealing with microbiological, chemical and indicator failures and incidents and can be used as a guide for HSE Appendix A5 Protocols in the DWIRP.

3.1.3 | In general terms the DWIRP sets out how incidents or emergencies are to be managed, investigated and brought to a satisfactory conclusion. The Water Services Training Group (WSTG) has developed a two day training course to assist WSAs,

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

PuGWS and PrGWS to prepare a DWIRP. DoEHLG recommends that all appropriate personnel from WSAs, PuGWS and PrGWS attend this course. As well as the course notes and supporting documentation, participants in this course receive

- ◆ a guidance document on preparing and implementing a DWIRP; and
- ◆ a template for a DWIRP.

3.2 Guidance on preparing a DWIRP

3.2.1 | The guidance in this section sets out the key requirements of a DWIRP. Readers requiring more detail are referred to the guidance document and the template above.

3.2.2 | Each WSA must appoint an appropriate person to be the **manager of the DWIRP** and another person to be the **deputy manager**. These persons should be thoroughly familiar with the organisation, structure and water supply function of the PuGWS/PrGWS in the WSA's area. Each PuGWS and PrGWS should nominate a person to assist the WSA with the preparation of its DWIRP. The manager (deputy manager) from the WSA should have the following main roles in respect of private water supplies:

- ◆ preparation of the DWIRP in consultation with the nominated persons from the PuGWS/PrGWS and other organisations such as the HSE;
- ◆ distributing the DWIRP as a controlled document to all relevant personnel in the PuGWS/PrGWS so that they know what their role is when an incident affecting their private water supply occurs;
- ◆ maintaining the DWIRP up-to-date;
- ◆ co-ordination of the resources needed to implement the DWIRP, including those in the PUGWS/PrGWS;
- ◆ training all relevant personnel in the PuGWS/PrGWS to implement the DWIRP;
- ◆ rehearsing and testing the DWIRP; and
- ◆ reviewing incidents to determine any lessons and, if necessary, modifying the DWIRP.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.2.3 | The DWIRP should include the following key requirements:

- ◆ clear criteria for identifying incidents and emergencies that affect, or threaten to affect, the sufficiency or quality of drinking water supplies or give concern to consumers;
- ◆ contact arrangements within the PuGWS/PrGWS and within the WSA when incidents and emergencies occur outside normal working hours;
- ◆ a planned response to incidents and emergencies with an appropriate nominated person in the PuGWS/PrGWS; to assist and co-operate with the WSA in the management of an incident
- ◆ the criteria for convening an **Incident Response Team (IRT)** to assist in managing defined aspects of the incident. The WSA's incident manager would convene the IRT and it could include a nominated person from the PuGWS/PrGWS. Where necessary the IRT, chaired by the WSA, could include external organisations such as the HSE. Sometimes it may be necessary for the HSE and the WSA to discuss and agree a course of action which could include the convening of an IRT. Information about IRTs and their role is given in Chapter 4 of "Drinking Water and Health – a Review and Guide for Population Health, Health Service Executive 2008" (www.hse.ie/eng/services/Publications/services/Environmentalhealth/HSE_Drinking_Water_and_Health_Review_and_Guide_2008.pdf);
- ◆ clear lines of communication between sampling, laboratory, scientific, engineering, operational, customer services, management staff and the nominated person from the PUGWS/PrGWS during the incident;
- ◆ a contact name and telephone of the appropriate person within the WSA who should be notified of an incident discovered by a PuGWS or PRGWS and arrangements for liaison with that person throughout the incident;
- ◆ preparing a report on the incident in consultation with the IRT and the HSE (if the HSE is not part of the IRT) and the nominated person from the PuGWS/PrGWS;
- ◆ the planned response should contain details of how the WSA assisted by the nominated person from the PuGWS/PrGWS should deal with typical common types of incident including:
 - how the investigations are to be initiated;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- what the investigations might consist of;
- how any information and advice is to be given to consumers and who does it including help lines and automated information messages;
 - ❖ criteria for deciding when it may be necessary to supply water by other means such as in bottles or tankers and the arrangements for such supplies;
 - ❖ who is responsible for dealing with the media (providing information and enlisting their help to broadcast information); and
 - ❖ the criteria for deciding the incident is over;
- ◆ the investigations may include, when relevant;
 - reviewing the recent events in the catchment including any monitoring of the raw water and checking the operation of the treatment works and distribution system;
 - reviewing recent compliance monitoring data from the WSA and any operational monitoring data obtained by the PuGWS from relevant points in the distribution network or by the PrGWS from relevant points in the treatment and distribution networks;
 - visiting and inspecting relevant parts of the catchment, treatment works and distribution system;
 - taking and analysing samples for relevant parameters from appropriate points in the catchment (raw water source), treatment and distribution systems. The PuGWS/PrGWS may need the assistance of the WSA for this; and
 - carefully assessing the results of the investigations and deciding whether remedial action is needed;
- ◆ for giving advice to consumers on any action they may need to take to protect their health whilst the PuGWS/PrGWS is investigating the incident or taking remedial action (**this advice must be given in consultation with the WSA who in turn will consult on, and agree, the advice with the HSE or the IRT if the HSE is part of the IRT**):

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- criteria for deciding when advice by leaflet is sufficient and when advice needs to be given by other means such as loud-hailer, media announcements etc;
- model leaflet for:
 - ❖ advising consumers to boil water for drinking and cooking when there is a microbiological problem; and
 - ❖ advising consumers not to use water for drinking and cooking and to use an alternative supply (in bottles or from a tanker) for these purposes when there is a chemical problem;
 - ❖ how the leaflets are to be distributed;
 - ❖ these leaflets should be capable of adaptation quickly to any water quality or water supply emergency;
 - ❖ these leaflets should be very clear and use simple language;
 - ❖ these leaflets may need to be provided in languages other than English in some cases (e.g. Irish and other languages);
 - ❖ **when such advice is to be given, the WSA should agree with the HSE or the IRT (if the HSE is part of the IRT), if possible before the advice is given, the criteria that would enable the advice to be withdrawn by the PuGWS/PrGWS;** and
 - ❖ model leaflets for withdrawing the advice when the incident/emergency is over;
- ◆ what information needs to be provided to the media, who prepares it and who speaks to the media (TV, radio and newspapers) – **it is vitally important that consistent information is given to the media;** and
- ◆ reviewing the adequacy of the procedures from time to time as a result of experience in dealing with incidents and emergencies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.3 Rehearsal of DWIRP

3.3.1 | Each WSA should have a policy for rehearsal of the procedures in its DWIRP so that all personnel involved understand and become familiar with exactly what they have to do when an incident or emergency occurs. The policy should specify who is to organise rehearsals and the type of rehearsal. Ideally the rehearsal should be as realistic as possible and should include the PuGWS or PrGWS, the HSE and other stakeholders. The outcome of rehearsals should be assessed and recorded and any lessons learned from the rehearsals should result in appropriate revisions to the procedures in the DWIRP.

4. Notification of incidents to the WSA and the HSE

4.1 | The PuGWS/PrGWS should notify the designated WSA contacts by telephone, fax or e-mail of any incident or emergency that falls within the definitions in paragraph 2 of this section as soon as possible after it becomes aware of the event affecting its private water supplies. The notification to the WSA is required:

- ◆ to enable the WSA to discuss and agree with the HSE whether there is a potential danger to human health and if there is to advise, in agreement with the HSE, the PuGWS/PrGWS on what action needs to be taken to protect consumers' health. The HSE may require further information through on-going communication with the WSA before it can advise the WSA whether there is a potential danger to human health and the actions required to mitigate the danger; and
- ◆ to enable the WSA to consider whether any urgent regulatory action is necessary such as a direction to require remedial action to be taken.

4.2 | This notification should include the following information (if some of the information is not available it should be included as soon as practical):

- ◆ the date of the incident, the date and time of the notification and the person making the notification;
- ◆ the geographical location, such as the water supply zones affected, and, if relevant, the catchment, the water treatment works and service reservoirs involved;
- ◆ a description of the nature of incident;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ whether a standard for a parameter has been, or is likely to be, breached or whether an indicator parameter value has been, or is likely to be, exceeded;
- ◆ whether any other element, organism or substance (not a parameter) is of concern and the effect or likely effect on the quality of water supplied;
- ◆ the number of people affected;
- ◆ the likely cause(s) of the event;
- ◆ the action that has been, or is being taken, to rectify the situation; and
- ◆ whether there has been any local or national publicity and details of it.

4.3 | Following the notification from the PuGWS/PrGWS, the WSA should consider the draft HSE guidelines for dealing with incidents and consult and agree with the HSE on:

- ◆ whether there is a potential danger to human health;
- ◆ the nature and extent of any sampling and analysis that might be needed to establish whether there is a potential danger to human health and to establish the cause and extent of the incident – the WSA will need to discuss with the PuGWS/PrGWS which organisation carries out any sampling and analysis because the PuGWS/PrGWS may not have the capability;
- ◆ any action that might need to be taken by the PuGWS/PrGWS to inform and protect consumers and the content of any notice issued to consumers;
- ◆ if a notice of advice is to be issued to consumers, the criteria for deciding when to withdraw that advice; and
- ◆ any information that should be given to the media relating to any potential danger to human health and advice to consumers about protecting their health.

4.4 | The PuGWS/PrGWS should liaise with the WSA, who in turn should liaise with the HSE throughout the incident. This liaison could include verbal communications, written communications (fax or e-mail), local meetings or full scale IRTs.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Report on the incident/emergency by the WSA

5.1 | Within one month of the start of the incident, the WSA (or the IRT if one is convened) should prepare a report on the incident– some of the information in the report will need to be provided by the PuGWS or PrGWS, for example the results of any investigations and monitoring carried out and any remedial action taken. The WSA should identify in the report any lessons to be learnt from the incident including any for the PuGWS or PrGWS. This report should be available publicly so that all private water suppliers can learn the lessons arising from the incident and other stakeholders are kept informed.

5.2 | The WSA with assistance from the PuGWS/PrGWS should include the following information in its report:

- ◆ background description of the incident, including details of:
 - the water zones and population affected;
 - water sources and treatment works;
 - service reservoirs and distribution system;
 - any problems or abnormal occurrences in the catchment, the operation of works, service reservoirs and/or distribution system experienced prior to the incident;
 - where relevant, a map of the area and diagrams of the treatment works, distribution networks etc;
- ◆ log of events with times and dates, including details of:
 - methods of identifying the supply zones affected, or likely to be affected, and of informing and protecting consumers;
 - the investigations to establish the cause, the extent of the incident, including the samples taken and their locations etc;
 - actions taken to restore water quality or provide alternative supplies;
 - notification to the WSA;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- any advice received from the HSE and any other scientific or technical experts, and any action taken as a result of the advice;
- ◆ numbers of samples taken and the sampling points associated with the incident and the results of the analysis of those samples;
- ◆ discussion where appropriate on:
 - the appropriateness and effectiveness of the investigations and the action taken;
 - the analytical systems and methods used;
 - whether the procedures in the WSA's DWIRP were followed including any that the PuGWS/PrGWS was required to carry out;
 - the adequacy of liaison arrangements with the PuGWS/PrGWS and with the HSE and other relevant organisations;
 - the adequacy of the communications with the consumers;
 - the adequacy of the communications with the media;
 - any potential danger to human health and the actions to mitigate any danger; and
 - details of any further action taken or proposed to prevent the incident recurring;
- ◆ copies of any press releases, press reports and any information and advice provided to consumers; and
- ◆ conclusions of the WSA on the handling of the incident by WSA staff and PuGWS/PrGWS staff and any recommendations for improvements to the procedures in its DWIRP.

5.3 | It follows from the last bullet of paragraph 5.2 above that once an incident is concluded, the WSA should review its handling of all aspects of the incident, including the aspects handled by the PuGWS or PrGWS, to identify any lessons to be learned from the incident. These lessons may require the WSA to modify parts of its DWIRP for dealing with future incidents.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

6. Incidents involving outbreaks of illness

6.1 | When drinking water is associated or suspected to be associated with an outbreak of illness in the community an outbreak investigation may be triggered. This may involve the convening of an **Outbreak Control Team (OCT) by the HSE** to manage the outbreak. If requested the WSA, and if necessary the PuGWS/PrGWS, should nominate a suitable person to serve on the OCT to provide the members of the OCT with all relevant information relating to the incident involved in the outbreak. The OCT and the IRT (see paragraph 3.2.3 above) should have some common membership. Information about OCTs and their role is given in Chapter 7 of Drinking Water and Health – A Review and Guide for Population Health, HSE, 2008 ([www.hse.ie/eng/services/Publications/services/Environmentalhealth/HSE Drinking Water and Health Review and Guide 2008.pdf](http://www.hse.ie/eng/services/Publications/services/Environmentalhealth/HSE_Drinking_Water_and_Health_Review_and_Guide_2008.pdf)).

6.2 | When an OCT is operating, a number of organisations are involved in the management of the incident and the outbreak. It is extremely important that consistent and clear messages and information is given to the public and the media. Generally both the IRT and the OCT (the WSA and the HSE and possibly the PuGWS/PrGWS) will be involved. Each should have a dedicated spokesperson for public/media announcements. The IRT and OCT should decide whether any media notices and appearances should be made jointly. Whilst the PuGWS/PrGWS has the responsibility to advise all consumers, in some situations additional advice may be provided directly to consumers by the HSE.

6.3 | After an incident involving an outbreak of illness is concluded, the OCT should critically review all aspects of its handling of the incident to identify any lessons to be learned and, if necessary, to make any changes to its practices and procedures for future incidents involving outbreaks of illness.

7. Small private water supplies

7.1 | This is a large group of different types of small private water supplies comprising industrial water supplies (such as those used in the brewing industry) to boreholes serving commercial premises (e.g. pubs, hotels etc.) and public buildings (e.g. schools, nursing homes). They are not PuGWS or PrGWS and are not specifically required to have a DWIRP. Those private water supplies where the organisation supplying and using the supply has a management system (for example industrial, hotels, schools etc) should prepare a DWIRP as outlined in the sub-sections above.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

7.2 | Those private water supplies that serve a group of premises (supplying 10 m³/d (50 persons) or more), but do not involve a commercial or public activity, cannot be expected to prepare a detailed DWIRP. If there is an incident affecting one of these supplies, a person responsible for the supply should immediately inform the relevant WSA and the WSA should manage the incident using its DWIRP.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 9: ANNUAL REPORTING OF TO THE EPA



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 9: Annual reporting of monitoring results and other information to the EPA

Summary of Section 9

- ◆ Describes the requirements on the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) to produce a report on drinking water quality in Ireland.
- ◆ Describes the responsibilities of Water Services Authorities (WSAs) as the supervisory authorities for private water supplies and the requirement on WSAs to monitor compliance of private water supplies with the standards and indicator parameter values.
- ◆ Sets out the information private water suppliers need to provide to WSAs to enable WSAs to report to the EPA.
- ◆ Sets out the requirement on WSAs to report the check and audit monitoring compliance results to the EPA.
- ◆ Describes the new Environmental Data Exchange Network (EDEN) which WSAs use to up-load monitoring data to the EPA and the EPA's Excel template used for some general supporting data.
- ◆ Sets out the general data to be inputted into the Excel template about the WSA and each private water supply (source, treatment, supply etc).
- ◆ Sets out the monitoring data (sampling and analysis information) to be up-loaded to EDEN and describes how pesticides results are handled.
- ◆ Describes how the data is up-loaded to EDEN.
- ◆ Sets out how EPA assesses the monitoring results.
- ◆ Sets out the timing for submission of returns by WSAs to the EPA.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Contents of Section 9

1. Introduction
2. Monitoring results and information on supplies to be reported to the EPA
3. Format for submission of monitoring results and information on supplies
 - 3.1 Introduction
 - 3.2 General details of the WSA
 - 3.3 Drinking water (DW) schemes
 - The type of treatment
 - The source of the water supply
 - The supply zone code
 - Cryptosporidium* risk screening score
 - Other information
 - 3.4 Monitoring results
 - Introduction
 - Sample information
 - Analysis information
 - Reporting of pesticides
 - 3.5 Up-loading of data to EDEN
 - 3.6 Assessment of monitoring results by the EPA
 - 3.7 Submission of returns
- Appendix 1: Suggested reporting format for pesticides
 - Table 1: Blank
 - Table 2: Example of completed report

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | Section 58 of The Environmental Protection Agency Act, 1992 to 2007 (the Act) requires the Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) to prepare and submit to the Minister (at the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG)) a report on the quality of drinking water in Ireland. This report is to be based on the results of monitoring carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Regulations. In accordance with section 58(1) of the Act, the EPA may require a Water Services Authority (WSA) to submit to it the results of monitoring (sampling and analysis) carried out under the Regulations in such a manner and at such times as it may specify.

1.2 | The Regulations establish the WSAs as the supervisory authorities in relation to private water supplies. A WSA is obliged under regulation 7 to monitor compliance of drinking water supplied by each private water supplier in its functional area with the standards and indicator parameter values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. WSAs are also required to enforce the regulatory requirements in respect of private water supplies. The EPA is required to supervise the performance of each WSA of its monitoring functions under the Regulations for both public and private water supplies. The latter includes an assessment of whether the minimum monitoring frequencies for each private water supply have been complied with.

1.3 | The WSA is also required in accordance with regulation 8(3) to keep a register of all private water supplies for which it is the supervisory authority. Each WSA will need to collect this information from the private water suppliers so as to enable the WSA to include it as part of the annual reporting of monitoring results to the EPA.

1.4 | This section of the handbook provides comprehensive guidance to private water suppliers on the information to be provided to WSAs and to WSAs on the information to be reported to the EPA in the annual report and on the format of that information in respect of private water supplies. A similar 'Handbook on the Implementation for Water Services Authorities for Public Water Supplies' contains guidance on the information to be reported to the EPA in respect of public water supplies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Monitoring results and information on supplies to be reported to the EPA

2.1 | Each WSA must report all its **check and audit compliance monitoring results for all the private water supplies** in its functional area as part of the annual reporting to the EPA. The following monitoring results must **not** be included:

- ◆ any monitoring carried out by a private water supplier or by the WSA to assist the private water supplier in response to complaints about drinking water quality from consumers;
- ◆ operational monitoring carried out by the private water supplier to assist in the management of the private water supply;
- ◆ any investigative monitoring carried out by a private water supplier or by the WSA to assist the private water supplier in response to a failure to meet a standard or indicator parameter value;
- ◆ investigative monitoring carried out by the private water supplier in response to a potential problem with the water supply (e.g. breakdown of equipment); and,
- ◆ additional monitoring carried out in response to a direction issued by the EPA.

2.2 | Should the WSA take a compliance sample from a tap in a premises that subsequently is found not to be supplied by the private water supply, the WSA should keep a record of that sample and the results of the analyses for audit purposes, but it should not include the results in its annual report to the EPA. The WSA must take a replacement sample as soon as practical from a premises supplied by that private water supply to ensure the correct frequency of compliance monitoring.

3. Format for submission of monitoring results and information on supplies

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 | The EPA has developed the Environmental Data Exchange Network (EDEN) to assist local authorities (WSAs) in the sharing of water related environmental data with other relevant stakeholders. Monitoring data entered into the WSA's laboratory

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

information system is to be directly up-loaded into EDEN. This data can then be collected by the EPA through EDEN. This will enable the WSAs to fulfil their obligations regarding reporting under the Regulations by up-loading their monitoring data to EDEN. Thus WSAs no longer have to export monitoring data from their laboratory information system into the EPA excel template that was used to collate data in previous years. Through EDEN the EPA will collect and collate the same monitoring information as was previously contained in the excel templates. WSAs should include the contact details of their approver when submitting their annual monitoring results to the EPA.

3.1.2 | The EPA will collect all of each WSA's check and audit compliance monitoring results for private water supplies through EDEN. It is essential each WSA ensures that the information inputted to its laboratory information system and up-loaded to EDEN is accurate and does not contain errors.

3.1.3 | The EPA will continue to collect the following information through its excel template:

- general details of the WSA; and
- drinking water supply schemes (DW schemes).

3.2 General details of the WSA

3.2.1 | This includes the contact details for the WSA making the returns. The contact details of the person filling out the template should be included here to enable the EPA to contact the WSA in the event of any queries arising from the submission of the results.

3.3 Drinking water (DW) schemes

3.3.1 | This is a complete list of all private (and public) water supplies covered by the Regulations. WSAs must ensure that the list provided is up to date by checking the details with the private water suppliers. Any supplies no longer operational should not be included in this list. WSAs should review, in consultation with its private water suppliers, this list which is published on the CD attached to the latest EPA report on "The Provision and Quality of Drinking Water In Ireland" (www.epa.ie/downloads/pubs/water/drinking). **It is essential that private water suppliers notify WSAs of such changes to the list of supplies, and that WSAs notify the EPA of such changes as it will be assumed that these supplies have not been monitored if the list is not updated.**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.3.2 | Each private water supplier must provide the WSA with the following information so that the WSA can include it in its register of private water supplies and in its annual return to the EPA:

- ◆ the name and address of the water supplier;
- ◆ the volume of water supplied per day;
- ◆ the population served by the water supply;
- ◆ the type of treatment in place;
- ◆ the source of the water supply; and
- ◆ the supply zone code.

Further information is collected by the EPA to assist in the collation of statistics for enforcement and reporting purposes.

3.3.3 | WSAs must include all of this information on the DW schemes sheet and make sure that it is accurate and up to date. Further guidance on what is required from WSA, and therefore the private water supplier, in relation to the last three items is given below.

The type of treatment

3.3.4 | The WSA needs to obtain from the private water supplier the type of treatment (each of the treatment processes) at each treatment plant including the use of coagulants, pH adjusting chemicals, disinfectants and other chemicals. A treatment plant that might be described as ‘conventional coagulation, clarification, filtration and disinfection’ should be more fully described as for example “Coagulation using aluminium sulphate, clarification, rapid gravity sand filtration followed by disinfection using sodium hypochlorite and pH correction using sodium carbonate (soda ash)”. Details on whether the supply has a continuous residual chlorine monitor must be obtained. Also details on whether turbidity monitors are installed and where (after each filter, on the combined filtrate etc) must be included.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

The source of the water supply

3.3.5 | The template contains a drop down menu from which one of the following should be selected by the WSA based on information from the private water supplier:

- ◆ groundwater, include details of the aquifer;
- ◆ surface water, include details of the river, lake etc;
- ◆ spring water, include details of the spring; or
- ◆ mixed, include details of the mixed sources.

The supply zone code

3.3.6 | The Drinking Water National Monitoring Programme (DWNMP) (referred to in the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government circular letter, WSP11/04, dated 17 December 2004) assigned supply zone codes to all water supply zones in Ireland at the time. The database provided to each WSA with that circular contained a mechanism for assigning new supply zone codes for new private water supply zones which came into operation after that date. Each WSA should ensure that each supply zone is assigned a supply zone code of the format xxxxPRlyyyy where xxxx is the four digit WSA code and yyyy is the four digit unique supply code.

Cryptosporidium risk screening score

3.3.7 | There is no requirement for a private water supplier to carry out a risk assessment for *Cryptosporidium*. However, private water suppliers, particularly the public and private group water schemes, are strongly encouraged as a matter of good operational practice to carry out a risk assessment especially if the circumstances in the catchment indicate there is likely to be such a risk. If a private water supplier has carried out such a risk assessment, it should inform the WSA so that the WSA can include the *Cryptosporidium* risk screening scores for the catchment, the treatment works and the overall score. Private water suppliers are advised to use the risk screening methodology set out in appendix 1 of section 10 of this handbook to obtain these risk screening scores.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Other information

3.3.8 | The WSA should also include information on any private water supply that had not been used for part or all of the reporting period provided it intends to use that supply in the future. Any supply that has been permanently abandoned should not be included.

3.4 Monitoring results

Introduction

3.4.1 | WSAs must up-load to EDEN the results of the analysis for all of the check and audit compliance monitoring samples for each private water supply monitored during the year. WSAs must ensure that all data are up-loaded and complete where appropriate. A brief description of the sample information and analysis information required to be up-loaded is outlined below.

Sample information

3.4.2 | The following information is required in respect of private water supplies:

- ◆ **Scheme Name/Name of Water Supply** – the name of the water supply should be inserted here and should be the same name as that entered on the DW Schemes sheet. Where a supply has multiple colloquial names, only one of these names should be used.
- ◆ **Scheme Code** – the complete scheme code should be used. This should be of the same format as that on the DW Schemes sheet which will be xxxxPRIyyyy for private water supplies. Locally used codes (i.e. county specific) should not be reported to the EPA.
- ◆ **Public/Private/Group** – enter public group water scheme or private group water scheme or private water supply as appropriate from the drop down menu.
- ◆ **Location** – the sample location should be provided here. The purpose of this is to confirm that the sample was taken at the “point of compliance” as defined in regulation 5 and therefore the information provided here should be sufficient to enable the EPA to confirm this fact.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ **Sample Type** – the type of sample analysed should be provided here. The WSA will select either 'Check Monitoring Private' or 'Audit Monitoring Private' from the four options as the other options refer to public water supplies
- ◆ **Inv/Op/Surv** – the surveillance option should be selected for all samples as investigative and complaint samples are no longer required to be submitted as part of the annual returns to the EPA.
- ◆ **Sample Code** – the unique sample or laboratory code should be inserted here.

Analysis information

3.4.3 | The WSA should ensure all results of analysis are included and not in separate attachments (with the exception of pesticides – see paragraph 3.4.4). The WSA should flag any failures that it (or the private water supplier) demonstrated were due to the domestic distribution system (condition of the tap or pipe work) within premises that were not under the ownership of the private water supplier. When completing the analysis results section the WSA must ensure the following:

- ◆ **Results are reported in the correct units** – monitoring results reported to the EPA must be of the units specified in the Regulations. If the WSA obtains results from a laboratory in different units the results must be converted to the correct units prior to submission to the EPA. In the event that results are in the incorrect units the EPA will send the returns back to the WSA and request correction of the results. In particular WSAs should ensure that nitrate, nitrite and ammonium results are reported as NO₃, NO₂ and NH₄ respectively and not as N. A full list of the parametric values and associated units is included in section 2 of this handbook
- ◆ **Methods of analysis are adequate** – section 2 of part 3 of the schedule to the Regulations specifies the performance characteristics that must be achieved in laboratories carrying out analysis of drinking water. The WSAs must ensure that these characteristics are being met in laboratories carrying out analysis. The EPA recognises that results will be obtained and reported using methods that do not achieve these performance characteristics at present. **The EPA recommends that laboratories carrying out analysis for determining compliance with the water quality standards should aim to be accredited by the end of 2012 and that all analysis must be carried out in accredited laboratories by the end of 2015. The EPA will not accept monitoring results from unaccredited**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

laboratories after the end of 2015. In the meantime, the EPA will accept results from WSAs where the laboratories used do not meet these requirements except in cases where the method used fails to meet the requirement by a large margin. For example where results are reported as less than the limit of detection and the limit of detection is either the same as or greater than the parametric value then the results should not be submitted to the EPA (for example if cyanide results are reported as <50 µg/l when the parametric value is 50 µg/l). Where such results are submitted by the WSA they will be deleted from the returns by the EPA as it is not possible to determine compliance with the parametric value on the basis of such results. This will then be considered as a shortfall in the monitoring programme by the WSA.

Reporting of pesticides

3.4.4 | The Regulations set two standards for pesticides. For each individual pesticide the standard is 0.1 µg/l with the exception of aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide for which the standard is 0.03 µg/l. For total pesticides the standard is 0.5 µg/l. In the return the EPA requires that a figure be inserted for total pesticides. This result should be calculated by summing the individual pesticides detected and reporting this result in the template. In calculating total pesticides, all individual pesticides present in concentrations less than the limit of detection should be assumed for the purposes of these calculations as being absent (0.0 µg/l). Where all pesticides monitored are reported as less than the limit of detection, the total pesticides figure should be reported on the template as <0.5 µg/l.

3.4.5 | In cases where individual pesticides have been detected, the WSA should complete a separate summary of the concentrations of all individual pesticides detected. It is not necessary to include individual pesticides that were monitored if they were reported as less than the limit of detection. The recommended format for reporting of individual pesticides and an example of a complete report is at Appendix 1.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.5 Up-loading of data to EDEN

3.5.1 | WSAs can access the EDEN website at <https://www.edenireland.ie/Home/Home.aspx>. WSAs need to register as a user of EDEN at <https://www.edenireland.ie/Users/Register.aspx> (the register menu option in EDEN). If any WSAs need assistance to up-load data, there is a comprehensive help facility, including sample documentation at <https://www.edenireland.ie/Help/Index.html>.

3.5.2 | For WSAs using LabInfo, an upgraded version along with an application called LIXIE has been installed in those WSAs. Where the LabInfo PC has access to the Internet, LIXIE will allow automatic connection and transfer of drinking water data selected by the WSA staff to EDEN. If the PC isn't connected to the Internet, then the file can be created on the LabInfo PC and then manually uploaded to EDEN from a PC with an Internet connection.

3.5.3 | For WSAs using a LIMS other than LabInfo, a manual upload to EDEN should be carried out. Work has been completed by certain LIMS vendors so that monitoring data can be exported to the EDEN DET format required. This file will then have to be uploaded to EDEN following the instructions in the EDEN help file.

3.6 Assessment of monitoring results by the EPA

3.6.1 | The EPA will assess the monitoring results submitted and will do one of the following:

- ◆ accept the data as submitted without further queries and import the data into the EPA drinking water database;
- ◆ revert to the WSA with queries about the returns; or
- ◆ return the data to the WSA and request amendment of data and resubmission.

The EPA will return all data to the WSA where there are any errors or omissions from the returns to allow the WSA to correct the data and re-upload the data through EDEN.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.6.2 | Once the EPA is satisfied that the results are accurate and correct, the data will be imported into the EPA database. The EPA will carry out an analysis of the data to generate statistics for its annual report on drinking water quality. When this analysis is complete the EPA will send out a copy of the analysis to the WSA for verification. The following files will be sent to the WSA:

- ◆ a list of all failures in all private (and public) water supplies;
- ◆ a complete set of results as imported into the EPA database;
- ◆ a list of all private (and public) water supplies in the WSA's area;
- ◆ a list of any supplies insufficiently monitored; and
- ◆ a summary of the statistics for the private water supplies in the WSA's area including the calculations of overall compliance.

3.6.3 | The WSA will be given a period of two weeks to comment on the accuracy of these files and the calculations. All valid comments or amendments will be incorporated into the statistics used for the preparation of the overall national summary and the report on private (and public) water supplies in the WSA's area.

3.7 Submission of returns

3.7.1 | WSAs should submit their drinking water quality compliance monitoring results to EDEN by 28 February each year in respect of the previous calendar year. The general details and drinking water scheme details should be submitted by the same date using the Excel template by email to drinkingwater@epa.ie.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Suggested reporting format for pesticides

Table 1: blank

Water Services Authority	Name of Private Water Supply	Scheme Code	Sample Code	Date	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)

Table 2: example of completed report

Water Services Authority	Name of Private Water Supply	Scheme Code	Sample Code	Date	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)	Pesticide	Result (µg/l)
X County Council	Lake Private GWS	4000PRI1001	2007/1024	26/01/2007	Atrazine	0.05	Simazine	0.02	Aldrin	0.02
X County Council	Big Lake Private GWS	4000PRI1005	2007/251	12/08/2007	Heptachlor	0.01				
X County Council	Big Lake Private GWS	4000PRI1005	2007/358	12/12/2007	Heptachlor	0.01	MCPA	0.05		
X County Council	Small Well	4000PRI2002	2007/301	20/09/2007	Methoxychlor	0.15				

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 10: DRINKING WATER SAFETY PLANS



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 10: Water treatment and related matters

Summary of Section 10

- ◆ Summarises the drinking water safety plan (DWSP) approach as advocated by the World Health Organisation (the WHO) and adopted and recommended by the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA).
- ◆ Describes the key steps in developing a DWSP, particularly for Public Group Water Schemes (PuGWS) and Private Group Water Schemes (PrGWS).
- ◆ Sets out the general principles of risk assessments and control measures covering catchment/water source, treatment works, distribution networks and consumers' premises.
- ◆ Gives examples of risks and control measures for each of these parts of the water supply system.
- ◆ Describes the WHO qualitative risk scoring matrix and gives as an example a possible quantitative risk scoring matrix.
- ◆ Gives advice on documentation of the DWSP.
- ◆ Provides advice for small private water supplies, other than PuGWS and PrGWS, on carrying out simple risk assessments instead of preparing DWSPs.
- ◆ Appendix 1 sets out the risk screening methodology for *Cryptosporidium*, including all the factors that need to be considered for the catchment/water source, treatment works and distribution network risks and how to calculate the risk scores for both surface water supplies and groundwater supplies.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Contents of Section 10

1. Introduction

Figure 1: The essential components of a DWSP

2. Key steps in developing a DWSP

3. General principles of risk assessment and control measures

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Catchment and water source

Catchment factors

Type of water source

Monitoring of the water source

Catchment control measures

3.3 Treatment works

Treatment processes

Hazards and risks

Operational monitoring and controls

Treatment works operation and maintenance

3.4 Distribution network

Hazards and risks

Control measures

3.5 Consumers' premises

3.6 Risk scoring matrix

Table 10.1: semi-quantitative risk scoring matrix

Table 10.2: example of a quantitative risk scoring matrix for a treatment works

Table 10.3: example of an action matrix for a treatment works

4. Documenting the DWSP

5. Small private water supplies

Main contents of Appendix 1 Risk screening methodology for *Cryptosporidium*

1. Introduction

2. Risk screening methodology

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Catchment factors
- 2.3 Treatment, operation and management factors
- 2.4 Suitability of use of the methodology
- 2.5 Water supply risk classification
- 2.6 Approach to applying the risk assessment methodology
- 3. Surface water risk screening methodology
 - 3.1 Introduction
 - 3.2 Catchment factors
 - 3.3 Treatment operation and management factors
- 4. Groundwater risk screening methodology
 - 4.1 Introduction
 - 4.2 Delineation of the source protection area
 - 4.3 Groundwater risk screening (source – pathway – receptor)
 - 4.4 Groundwater risk assessment (water treatment score)

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | The Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) has adopted a **drinking water safety plan approach** to ensuring drinking water is both “safe” and “secure”. A drinking water supply is deemed to be “safe” if it meets the standards and indicator parameter values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations each time the supply is monitored. A drinking water supply is deemed to be “secure” if there is in place a management system that has identified all potential risks from the catchment of the source, through the treatment works and distribution network, to the consumers’ premises and has procedures in place to manage these risks.

1.2 | The essential components of a drinking water safety plan (DWSP) approach are shown in figure 1. This approach is based on the World Health Organisation (the WHO) criteria for a safe and secure drinking water supply set out in the 2004 WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality (http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/gdwq3rev/en/index/html).

Figure 1: The essential components of a DWSP



1.3 | The WHO has set out three essential components for a safe and secure drinking water supply. These are:

- ◆ **Risk assessment of water supplies from catchment to consumer** – Identification and assessment of all risks in the catchment, treatment plant and distribution network up to the tap that may result in a risk to health and/or a breach of the required standard.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ **Effective operational monitoring** – Inspection of the catchment, reservoirs, treatment plant and distribution network to detect pollution, equipment failure or chemical dosing faults; followed by prompt and effective corrective actions where problems have been identified.
- ◆ **Effective management** – Competent management of the supply during normal and abnormal conditions, regular and accurate reporting of treatment plant and distribution network operations and personnel trained and resourced to deliver clean and wholesome drinking water.

1.4 | Although there is no requirement for private water suppliers to prepare DWSPs, the EPA regards the implementation of the WHO recommendations by private water suppliers as part of a robust DWSP as a key measure to ensuring the delivery of a safe and secure water supply. The Regulations implement EU Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water for human consumption. The European Commission has initiated a review of the Directive and it has been proposed that any future revision of the Directive should include a requirement on Member States to ensure that their water suppliers prepare and implement DWSPs. **The EPA therefore recommends that private water suppliers adopt the DWSP approach to ensuring safe and secure water supplies.** This section provides guidance to private water suppliers, particularly the **Public Group Water Schemes** (PuGWS) and the **Private Group Water Schemes** (PrGWS), including PrWGS with Design, Build and Operate (DBO) treatment contracts, on preparing DWSPs. Other smaller private water suppliers are unlikely to have the resources to carry out a full DWSP and a simpler procedure is outlined in sub-section 5.

1.5 | The EPA circular letter (September 2009) recommends that for public water supplies WSAs use the new guidance in the 2009 WHO “Water Safety Plan Manual – a step by step risk management for drinking water suppliers” (http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241562638_eng.pdf). The EPA considers that the new WHO guidance should also be used by private water suppliers.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Key steps in developing a DWSP

2.1 | The following are the key steps in preparing a DWSP:

- ◆ nominate an **appropriate person from the PuGWS/PrGWS** to prepare the DWSP in consultation when necessary with external organisations, such as relevant organisations involved in River Basin Management Plans for catchment control and the Health Service Executive (the HSE) for health risks;
- ◆ **document and describe the system** – catchment, water source, treatment works, distribution network and consumers' premises;
- ◆ undertake a **risk assessment** by identifying the **hazards** that could occur and assessing the **likelihood** of them occurring at each stage of the water supply process;
- ◆ identify the **control measures** to minimise any unacceptable risks for each stage of the water supply process;
- ◆ define the **operational monitoring** of the control measures to check that they are minimising risks – this requires setting warning and alarm limits for unacceptable performance;
- ◆ establish procedures to **verify** that the DWSP is working effectively to deliver safe and secure water that meets the standards and other requirements, such as inspections, audits and monitoring;
- ◆ develop **supporting programmes** such as training, hygienic practices, standard operating protocols etc;
- ◆ prepare **management procedures**, including corrective actions, to deal with normal and incident/emergency conditions; and
- ◆ **document the DWSP.**

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3. General principles of risk assessments and control measures

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 | There are a number of significant factors that should be taken into account in any risk assessment from water source to consumers' taps. These factors are outlined below. A specific example of the application of these factors to risk assessment for *Cryptosporidium* is given in Appendix 1.

3.2 Catchment and water source

Catchment factors

3.2.1 | The nature of the catchment and activities and events in the catchment can have a significant effect on the quality of water sources in the catchment. Important factors are:

- ◆ **geology and hydrogeology** - determines whether potentially harmful natural substances are likely to be present in significant concentrations in water sources such as arsenic, fluoride, uranium and radon and whether substances that could affect the aesthetic quality of water supplies are likely to be present such as peat colour, iron and manganese;
- ◆ **animals** – high numbers of farmed or wild animals including birds roosting on raw water reservoirs can cause a deterioration of the microbiological quality of water sources, particularly in relation to *Cryptosporidium*;
- ◆ **other agricultural practices** – such as:
 - ◆ storage of slurry or dung presents a risk of microbiological contamination, particularly as many stores are not secure from leakage from rainwater;
 - widespread slurry or dung spreading presents a risk of microbiological contamination; and
 - use of fertilisers and pesticides presents a risk of contamination by nitrate and pesticides;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ **discharges** – such as:
 - sewage works effluents, septic tank effluents and other small on-site sewage treatment systems can present a risk of microbiological contamination, particularly when not operated satisfactorily;
 - effluents from industrial premises can present a risk of chemical and other types of contamination depending on the nature of the industrial process and the substances used;
 - from mining, quarrying and similar activities, particularly when abandoned, can present risks of chemical contamination; and
 - surface water and storm water overflows in urbanised areas.

Type of water source

3.2.2 | Some types of water source are at greater risk of contamination than other types for example:

- ◆ **deep boreholes and wells** - generally they are secure and present little risk unless the hydrogeology is considered vulnerable to activities on the surface;
- ◆ **shallow boreholes and wells** – generally these are less secure and present more of a risk unless the hydrogeology is considered not to be vulnerable to activities on the surface;
- ◆ **springs** – risk depends on the security of the spring, which in turn depends on whether the hydrogeology is considered vulnerable;
- ◆ **upland surface waters** – risk depends on the nature of, and activities in the catchment and whether collected in an impounding reservoir (less risk – balancing of quality) or abstracted directly from the river/stream (more risk of contamination and variable quality); and
- ◆ **lowland surface waters** - risk depends on nature of, and activities in, catchment and whether long-term storage (lower risk), bank side short-term storage (medium risk) or direct abstraction (higher risk).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Monitoring of the water source

3.2.3 | Risk is reduced when there is appropriate continuous or semi-continuous monitoring of the quality of the water source and that information is used either:

- ◆ to automatically or manually shut the intake under poor source water quality conditions; or
- ◆ to adjust the treatment processes in order to cater for deteriorating source water quality

3.2.4 | Such monitoring would normally be used on direct abstraction surface water sources and significant surface water sources with short-term bank side storage. However, they could also be used on vulnerable boreholes, wells and springs.

Catchment control measures

3.2.5 | Whenever possible and practical catchment control measures should be used to minimise catchment risks to avoid having to install expensive treatment processes. Some examples of effective catchment and source protection and control are:

- ◆ developing and implementing a catchment management plan which includes control measures to protect ground waters and surface water including for example discharge consents, restriction on the use of chemicals, restriction on certain activities etc;
- ◆ use of planning Regulations to avoid activities that could pollute catchments, lakes and raw water reservoirs;
- ◆ management of raw water reservoirs such as mixing and destratification to minimise algal blooms and solubilisation of sedimentary iron and manganese; and
- ◆ promoting awareness in the community of the impact of human, agricultural and industrial activity, on water quality and where necessary controlling such activity.

3.2.6 | Some of these measures are not within the control of the PuGWS/PrGWS and will require co-operation and liaison with other organisations that have a responsibility for catchment controls such as the local authority or other relevant organisations involved with the River Basin Management Plans.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.3 Treatment works

Treatment processes

3.3.1 | Risk is considerably reduced when there are appropriate water treatment processes to deal with the full range of variations in microbiological, chemical and physical water quality of the water source. An assessment needs to be made about whether the treatment processes provided are likely to inactivate or remove the organisms and substances likely to be present in the water source at the range of concentrations present. If the treatment processes are inadequate there is clearly a risk.

3.3.2 | For example a secure good quality groundwater or spring source may only require disinfection whereas a less secure poorer quality groundwater or spring water source may require coagulation, filtration and disinfection. Ground waters subject to chemical pollution may require additional treatment such as ion exchange to remove nitrate and granular activated carbon adsorption to remove pesticides. Some ground waters may require special processes to remove natural contaminants such as arsenic.

3.3.3 | Surface water sources usually require as a minimum coagulation, filtration and disinfection or for small surface water sources membrane filtration and disinfection. Some surface water sources will require additional treatment such as ozonisation and granular activated carbon adsorption to remove various organic contaminants, including pesticides. As surface water sources can potentially be contaminated with a wide range of micro-organisms, including *cryptosporidium*, and chemicals it is important that a multi-barrier approach is adopted for effective treatment and removal of contaminants. Also it is important to minimise the formation of disinfection by-products such as the trihalomethanes whilst not compromising microbiological quality.

Hazards and risks

3.3.4 | Hazards may be introduced during treatment or hazardous circumstances may allow contaminants to pass through treatment in significant concentrations. Some common examples are:

- ◆ sporadic significant variations in source water quality overwhelming the treatment processes and allowing potentially harmful micro-organisms to enter the distribution network;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ flow variations outside the design limits for the process or the whole treatment works allowing sub-optimal treatment and contaminants to pass through the works;
- ◆ process failure/malfunction caused by equipment or process control failure, such as dosing pump breakdown or process monitor malfunction; and
- ◆ power failures

Operational monitoring and controls

3.3.5 | Risk is considerably reduced if there is appropriate operational monitoring of the individual treatment processes and the final treated water linked to action if treatment performance deteriorates. For example filtration is a very important barrier for removing contamination. A continuous turbidity monitor should be installed on the filtrate from each filter, and as a minimum a monitor should be installed on the combined filtrate. The monitors should have appropriate warning and alarm limits so that appropriate action can be taken quickly if filter performance deteriorates. Similarly when chlorination is used as the disinfection process, apart from having an adequate dose and contact time, there should be a continuous chlorine monitor with appropriate warning and alarm limits so that action can be taken if there is a problem with the disinfection process. The actions that could be taken if performance deteriorates include:

- ◆ to adjust the treatment conditions or processes to deal with a trend indicating a deterioration in performance, such as increasing the coagulant dose or backwashing a filter; or
- ◆ automatically or manually shutting down the supply whilst urgent remedial action is taken for example when there was a significantly low or zero chlorine residual indicating a disinfection failure and a potential danger to human health.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Treatment works operation and maintenance

3.3.6 | The risk of failures of treatment processes and poor treated water quality is considerably reduced when the operators of the treatment works follow good operating and maintenance practice and procedures. These practices and procedures should be part of a quality management system. Operational practices that may give rise to increased risk of treated water quality failures include for example:

- ◆ by-passing a stage of treatment;
- ◆ operating a treatment process or the treatment works close to or above its design capacity;
- ◆ frequent and significant flow variations through the works
- ◆ returning filter backwash water to the works inlet without monitoring or treatment; and
- ◆ putting backwashed filters back into operation without slow start or a ripening period.

3.4 Distribution network

Hazards and risks

3.4.1 | The protection of the distribution network is essential for providing safe drinking water. Many potential risks exist in the network (opportunities for contamination) because of its nature involving service reservoirs/water towers, many kilometres of pipe work and inter-connections. Some examples of how contamination may enter the distribution network are:

- ◆ ingress of contaminated water from the ground as a consequence of low pressure or pressure waves;
- ◆ back flow from industrial and domestic premises without adequate backflow prevention devices;
- ◆ through service reservoirs/water towers with structural defects or poor security;
- ◆ through pipe bursts when existing mains are repaired or new mains are installed;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ when petrol, diesel or oil spillages diffuse through permeable plastic (uPVC or polyethylene) mains;
- ◆ disturbance of deposits (for example iron and manganese) through changes in flow velocity or flow reversals; and
- ◆ illegal or unauthorised tampering, such as illegal or unauthorised use of fire hydrants.

Control measures

3.4.2 | The following are some examples of control measures to minimise these risks:

- ◆ monitoring and maintaining positive pressure throughout the distribution network;
- ◆ regular inspection of high risk premises to ensure backflow prevention devices are fitted
- ◆ regular internal and external inspection of service reservoirs/water towers to make sure there are no structural defects and that access hatches, vents and other openings are either locked or covered to prevent ingress;
- ◆ written hygienic procedures for repairing burst mains and laying new mains, including disinfection before return to service;
- ◆ inspection of garages and other fuel storage facilities and education of the owners/managers about the risks from fuel spillage;
- ◆ avoiding disturbance of deposits by avoiding sudden increases in flow and flow reversals and a programme to routine flushing and maintenance; and
- ◆ reducing the time water is in the network and maintaining a chlorine residual (or other disinfectant such as chloramine) throughout the network.

3.5 Consumers' premises

3.5.1 | Water quality can deteriorate within the pipe-work and fittings in consumers' premises. If the water supply is not treated to minimise plumbosolvency (and cuprosolvency) and there are lead (or copper pipes) within the consumers' premises then there is a risk that the water at consumers' taps will not meet the standards for

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

lead (or copper) in samples taken from consumers' taps. There can also be failures to meet the standards or indicator parameter values for microbiological parameters in samples from consumers' taps that are due to the unhygienic condition of the consumers' pipe-work and fittings. There can also be failures to meet the standards resulting from cross-connections between water supply and other water systems and from backflow from water using devices if an appropriate protective device is not fitted.

3.6 Risk scoring matrix

3.6.1 | It is necessary to have a method of assessing the risk of any hazard identified in order to complete a DWSP. This means developing a risk scoring matrix that relates the likelihood (estimated frequency) of occurrence of the hazard to the potential severity of the effect of that hazard should it occur. WHO in its guidelines on DWSPs offers a simple semi-qualitative risk scoring matrix for ranking risks which is summarised in Table 10.1 below.

Table 10.1: semi-quantitative risk scoring matrix

		Severity of consequence				
		Insignificant or no impact – rating 1	Minor compliance impact – rating 2	Moderate aesthetic impact – rating 3	Major regulatory impact – rating 4	Catastrophic public health impact – rating 5
Likelihood or frequency	Almost certain - once a day - rating 5	5	10	15	20	25
	Likely - once a week - rating 4	4	8	12	16	20
	Moderate -once a month - rating 3	3	6	9	12	15
	Unlikely -once a year - rating 2	2	4	6	8	10
	Rare - once every 5 years - rating 1	1	2	3	4	5
Risk score		< 6	6-9	10-15	> 15	
Risk rating		Low	Medium	High	Very high	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.6.2 | Many countries and water suppliers developing DWSPs modify this matrix to fit with their regulatory system and human health advice and make the matrix quantitative. An example of a possible semi-quantitative risk scoring matrix for a treatment works is given in table 10.2 (this is similar to that in the 2009 WHO Water Safety Plan Manual). Similar risk scoring matrices can be constructed for source water quality, distribution networks and consumers' premises. Different scoring systems can be used.

Table 10.2: example of a quantitative risk scoring matrix for a treatment works

		Severity of consequence				
		No impact (all targets met)	Treatment compromised but no regulatory failure	Treatment compromised regulatory failure but no health risk	Treatment compromised regulatory failure and minor health risk	Treatment compromised regulatory failure and major health risk
Likelihood or frequency	Almost certain - once a day	5	10	15	20	25
	Likely - once a week ⁴	4	8	12	16	20
	Moderate - once a month	3	6	9	12	15
	Unlikely - once a year	2	4	6	8	10
	Rare - once every 5 years - rating 1	1	2	3	4	5

3.6.3 | This risk scoring matrix is supplemented by an action matrix. An example of a possible action matrix for a treatment works is given in table 10.3. Similar action matrices can be constructed for source water quality, distribution networks and consumers' premises. Different scoring systems can be used.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 10. 3: example of an action matrix for a treatment works

Risk score	Action
1-2	No action required
3-5	Action required/keep under review/consider further treatment measures
6-10	Further treatment required/possible capital investment required if existing treatment cannot be optimised
12-16	Fairly urgent further treatment required and probable capital investment required
20-25	Urgent further treatment required and probable capital investment required

3.6.4 | The EPA is considering the most appropriate risk scoring matrices and action matrices for catchment/source, treatment works, distribution network and consumers' premises based on the WHO Water Safety Plan Manual for the circumstances that exist in Ireland and will issue further guidance to private water suppliers in due course.

4. Documenting the DWSP

4.1 | For each of the four aspects of water supply – catchment/source water, treatment works, distribution network and consumers' premises – the PuGWS/PrGWS needs to document the following:

- ◆ a description of the aspect supported by diagrams/maps showing all the important features, for example for a treatment works – a schematic diagram showing all the processes, the dosage chemicals, rates and points, the operational monitoring points, the warning and alarm limits etc;
- ◆ a description of the hazard with the likelihood of its occurrence and the severity of the consequence if it occurs and the risk score if using a quantitative scoring method;
- ◆ the control measures in place to minimise the risk and the action required if the control measures are insufficient;
- ◆ the operational monitoring to check whether the control measures are operating effectively to minimise the risk;
- ◆ the warning and alarm levels to initiate action when the control measures are not performing adequately;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ an action programme including additional control measures and the timetable to implement them.

4.2 | The documented DWSP is supported by other existing documentation, such as catchment management and control plans, treatment works manuals and standard operating procedures for treatment processes, standard operating procedures for the distribution network and policy on inspection of consumers' premises.

5. Small private water supplies

5.1 | This is a large group of different types of small private water supplies comprising industrial water supplies (such as those used in the brewing industry) to boreholes serving commercial premises (e.g. pubs, hotels etc.) and public buildings (e.g. schools, nursing homes). They are not PuGWS or PrGWS and probably do not have the resources to prepare a DWSP as outlined in the above sub-sections. It is suggested that these private water suppliers adopt a much simpler risk assessment approach.

5.2 | The main risk for these small private water supplies is poor microbiological quality. If the supply is a high risk of poor microbiological quality, it is very likely that will include a high risk from *Cryptosporidium*. In this respect the private water supplier should;

- ◆ assess the risk to the water source. If the source is surface water, spring or shallow groundwater and there are animals in the catchment and there are sewage effluent discharges to the catchment/source, the source is likely to be high risk particularly from *Cryptosporidium*. If the source is a deeper secure groundwater and previous monitoring has shown satisfactory results for microbiological parameters, the source is likely to be low risk.
- ◆ If the source is high risk, assess whether the treatment provided (if any) adequately reduces the risk. The risk can only be satisfactorily reduced by appropriate treatment. Disinfection using chlorination is not an adequate treatment process for a risk from *Cryptosporidium*. To adequately remove *Cryptosporidium* a physical barrier, such as coagulation/filtration or an appropriate membrane capable of trapping particles greater than 1 micron, is needed and this would normally be followed by disinfection using chlorination to provide a residual disinfectant in the network. The UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) publishes lists of membrane products that achieve this performance (<http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedproducts/solist.shtm>). Alternatively a simple filtration method to provide a clear water

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

followed by inactivation of *Cryptosporidium* using irradiation with ultraviolet light (UV) is needed. UV does not provide any residual disinfectant in the network and it may be necessary to supplement it with chlorination unless the network is very small in length.

5.3 | The WSA may be able to assist/advise on the risk assessment because it may have prepared a DWSP for a public water supply that uses a source in the same catchment.

5.4 | There may be risks from non-microbiological parameters from some sources and private water supplies. These will largely depend on the activities in the catchment close to the source. In an arable agricultural area there may be risks from nitrate from fertilisers and pesticides. In mining/quarrying areas there may be risks from any metals being mined. If there are industrial sites in the area there may be risks from any parameters in discharges to the catchment. If any of these risks are judged high, the private water supplier should seek advice and assistance from the WSA which should include sampling and analysis for the parameter(s) at risk at the times when it is most likely that the parameter will be present. If this confirms an unacceptable risk (approaching or exceeding the standard or indicator parameter in the Regulations), the private water supplier will need to seek to reduce the risk in the catchment (through consultation with relevant organisations who may be in a position to control the risk) or install appropriate treatment to reduce the risk.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Risk screening methodology for *Cryptosporidium*

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Risk screening methodology
 - 2.1 Introduction
 - 2.2 Catchment factors
 - 2.3 Treatment, operation and management factors
 - Water treatment process factors
 - Operation and maintenance factors
 - 2.4 Suitability of use of the methodology
 - 2.5 Water supply risk classification
 - Table 1: Water supply risk classification
 - 2.6 Approach to applying the risk assessment methodology
3. Surface water risk screening methodology
 - 3.1 Introduction
 - 3.2 Catchment factors
 - Animals within the catchment
 - Table 2: Animals within the catchment
 - Agricultural practices within the catchment
 - Table 3: Agricultural practices risk score
 - Discharges in the catchment/water source
 - Table 4 Discharges risk score
 - Water source type
 - Table 5: Water source risk score
 - Catchment inspections
 - Table 6: Catchment inspections risk score
 - Raw water intakes management
 - Table 7: Raw water intakes management risk score
 - Surface water catchment risk score

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 8: Surface water catchment risk score

3.3 Treatment operation and management factors

Water treatment processes

Table 9: Water treatment processes

Treatment works monitoring of coagulation and filtration

Table 10: Monitoring of coagulation/filtration risk score

Rapid gravity and pressure filter performance

Table 11: Filter performance risk score

Treatment works operation

Table 12: Treatment works operation risk score

Distribution network

Surface water treatment, operation and management risk score

Table 13: Surface water treatment, operation and management risk score

Final weighted surface water risk screening score

Table 14: Final weighted surface water risk screening score

4. Groundwater risk screening methodology

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Delineation of the source protection area

Conceptual model: source – pathway - receptor

4.3 Groundwater risk screening (source – pathway – receptor)

Source (pressure) factor: animals within the catchment

Table 15: animal risk score

Source (pressure) factor: agricultural practices within the catchment

Table 16: Agricultural practices risk score

Source (pressure) factor: discharges to the catchment/source protection area

Table 17: Discharges risk score

Source (pressure) factor: catchment/source protection area inspections

Table 18: Catchment/source inspections risk score

Pathway factor: geology/hydrogeology

Table 19: Geology/hydrogeology risk score

Pathway factor: Rapid by-pass of unsaturated zone

Table 20: By-pass of unsaturated zone

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Receptor factor: water source type

Table 21: water source risk score

Receptor factor: sanitary protection of groundwater supply

Table 22: Sanitary protection risk score

Groundwater source – pathway – receptor (catchment) risk score

Table 23: Groundwater source – pathway – receptor risk score

4.4 Groundwater risk assessment (water treatment score)

Risk management factor – water treatment processes

Table 24: Water treatment processes risk score

Risk management factor – treatment works monitoring of filters

Table 25: Monitoring of filters risk score

Water treatment factor – treatment works operation

Table 26: Treatment works operation risk score

Groundwater treatment and supply risk score

Table 27: Groundwater treatment and supply risk score

Final weighted groundwater risk assessment score

Table 28: Final weighted groundwater risk assessment score

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | Contamination of water supplies with the parasite *Cryptosporidium* presents a significant threat to the safety of drinking water in Ireland. The first outbreak associated with a public water supply in Ireland was in Mullingar in 2002. Improved awareness of the disease and a requirement on notification of the disease to the Health Protection Surveillance Centre has led to increased reporting of the disease and hence more outbreaks of the disease have been detected. Several outbreaks associated with water supplies have occurred in Ireland since 2002 including supplies in Ennis, Roscommon, Carlow, Portlaw and most recently Galway in 2007.

1.2 | The purpose of this risk screening methodology is to assist private water suppliers, particularly PuGWS and PrGWS, identify private water supplies that are at a high risk of contamination with *Cryptosporidium* and identify high risk factors, which can be mitigated to reduce the risk associated with the supply. This risk screening methodology is based on the Scottish model as outlined in “The *Cryptosporidium* (Scottish Water) Directions, 2003” as published by the Scottish Executive. The Scottish model is a semi-quantitative risk assessment, which sets out a scoring system to enable determination of whether a supply is low, medium or high risk. The methodology involves calculating a risk score for the catchment factors and for the treatment, operational and management factors, which is then population weighted to give a final risk score. This original methodology was recommended for use in Ireland by the EPA in the “European Communities (Drinking Water) Regulations, 2000: A Handbook on Implementation for Sanitary Authorities” published in 2004. More recent information and research as well as widespread use of the risk assessment methodology have identified some deficiencies in the methodology for use in Ireland, particularly with respect to groundwater.

1.3 | The EPA established a *Cryptosporidium* Working Group under the Environmental Enforcement Network. A Risk Assessment Sub-group was established and consisted of Darragh Page (the EPA) (Chair), Frank Griffen (Department of Agriculture Laboratory), Geraldine Duffy (Teagasc), Margaret Keegan (the EPA), Mary Keane (the HSE) and Paul Carroll (Waterford County Council). This Sub-group examined the risk assessment in detail and recommended that the risk assessment be amended. The amended version of the risk assessment as presented below should be used by PuGWS and PrGWS on all private water supplies to determine the risk category of the supply. It was decided by

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

the Sub-group that a risk screening methodology should be developed, which allows supplies to be ranked relative to each other. Therefore, allowing time and resources to be spent on the high risk supplies.

1.4 | Where a supply has been identified as high risk the PuGWS and PrGWS should develop an action programme to reduce the risk to low. The risk category for each water supply should be reviewed on an annual basis and the methodology re-applied where there is any change to the catchment factors or a change in treatment, operational or management factors.

1.5 | Prior to applying the risk screening methodology an assessment of the catchment factors and the treatment, operational and management factors should be carried out for each source. Where the level of uncertainty is high in relation to the information being used in any of the sections then a precautionary approach should be adopted and the highest score should be used. However, this uncertainty should be noted and further examination of the item should be carried out prior to undertaking the risk screening process.

1.6 | Filling out of the forms should be as a result of an assessment of the catchment and the treatment plant. The PuGWS and PrGWS should keep a report on this assessment for inspection by the relevant WSA.

1.7 | This **Risk Screening Methodology** is seen as a pre-cursor to the application of a **Drinking Water Safety Plan (DWSP)** approach to the management of drinking water. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has set out three essential components to a water safety plan. These are:

- ◆ **A risk assessment of the water supply** – this is an assessment of the water supply from catchment to consumer. It should include the identification and assessment of all risks in the catchment, treatment plant and distribution network (up to the tap). The purpose of this assessment is to identify all potential risks, which may result in the supply of water that does not meet the drinking water standards or may otherwise pose a risk to health.
- ◆ **Effective operational monitoring** – this includes not only carrying out testing of the quality of the water in the catchment, treatment plant and distribution network but should also include monitoring risks to the safety of the water supply e.g. catchment inspections, regular checking of equipment/chemical dosing, service reservoirs, inspections etc. Private water suppliers must monitor risks to

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

determine if the relative threat of the risk is increasing. Operational monitoring is only effective where followed by effective corrective actions where problems have been identified.

- ◆ **Effective management** – having identified and monitored all relevant risks to the safety and security of the water supply, effective management of the risks is essential. This includes development of documented management systems outlining what measures are to be taken during normal and incident management conditions and should include regular reporting mechanism. The roles of various personnel involved in the supply of water should be clearly outlined and reviewed on a regular basis.

1.8 | The engagement of the public in the management of water supplies in terms of the protection of water resources and communication of quality issues is an essential component of any DWSP approach. The EPA encourages and promotes the DWSP approach to the management of drinking water supplies and will be issuing guidance in relation to it in the future.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2. Risk screening methodology

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 | For a risk to exist there must be a source (or pressure), a pathway and a receptor (or target) (Daly, 2004). This is the basis for the Source-Pathway-Receptor (S-P-R) conceptual model widely used for environmental management. A conceptual site model (CSM) is a textual or graphical representation of the relationship that exists between the pressure and the receptor.

2.1.2 | The risk screening methodology facilitates a clear decision-making process in devising a strategy to control any potential risks evident in the conceptual model. It has been divided into **Catchment Factors** and **Treatment, Operation and Management Factors**. The scores for these factors are additive and then population weighted.

2.2 Catchment factors

2.2.1 | There are a number of factors that have to be considered in relation to both surface water and groundwater water supplies. The pressure on the receptor is in effect the same therefore factors such as animal densities, agricultural practices, wastewater treatment facilities within the catchment or source protection area need to be examined. The pathway element has been taken into consideration for groundwater supplies as groundwater is afforded some protection by the overlying subsoils. The receptor factors relate to the inherent vulnerability of different types of water sources and the protection factors that may be incorporated into the supply.

2.2.2 | The information obtained through a desk study and walkover survey of the catchment area will inform the decision on the extent of measures, which are required to manage the risk. This may involve breaking the pathway (e.g. provision of adequate treatment) or removal of the source (e.g., restriction in land use in the catchment) or in some cases additional monitoring of the receptor.

2.3 Treatment, operation and management factors

2.3.1 | The level of treatment and associated operations and management should be such as to reduce the risk posed by the catchment factors to the consumer.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Water treatment process factors

2.3.2 | These depend on raw water and unprotected/vulnerable supplies should have higher levels of treatment than protected and less vulnerable supplies.

Operation and Management Factors

2.3.3 | While a water treatment plant may have the appropriate treatment system in place, the operational and management of the system is critical to ensure that the treatment of the supply is optimal and provides adequate protection to the source. This influences the allocation of the appropriate risk score.

2.3.4 | During a number of audits and inspections carried out by the EPA on public water supplies, it was observed that many supplies were operating well over their design capacity, thus resulting in by-pass of parts of the treatment system; filters operating sub-optimally and other issues such as inadequate settlement prior to treatment. Therefore it is critical that these factors be taken into account in a realistic manner when applying the risk screening methodology. Some factors to consider are as follows:

- ◆ alarmed continuous turbidity monitors;
- ◆ Plant designed to treat the peak turbidity and colour loading;
- ◆ recycling of backwash (avoided at times of intense rainfall);
- ◆ abnormal operation – i.e. overloaded plants, etc
- ◆ bypassing part of the treatment process;
- ◆ rate of introduction of filters after cleaning;
- ◆ monitoring of filter head loss;
- ◆ sludge removal practices;
- ◆ operation and maintenance plans in place;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.4 Suitability of use of the methodology

2.4.1 | This risk screening methodology should not be used on certain types of supplies. Where a supply falls into any one of the three categories below the supply should be immediately considered as high risk and therefore it is not necessary to apply the methodology. These conditions are:

- ◆ **A supply originating from surface water (i.e. a river, lake or reservoir) that has no treatment other than disinfection.**
- ◆ **A supply originating from groundwater (i.e. a spring, well or borehole) that has no treatment other than disinfection and where there is evidence that the source is influenced⁴ by surface water and has a history of microbial contamination in the untreated water.**
- ◆ **Where there is evidence of a past outbreak of *cryptosporidiosis* associated with the supply where the reason was unexplained and no specific steps have been taken to prevent a reoccurrence.**

2.4.2 | In such circumstances an action programme must be developed to address the issue and only when such action programme has been implemented should the risk screening methodology be applied. However, in developing the action programme the water supplier should have regard to the measures in the risk screening model, which can reduce the overall risk score.

2.4.3 | For the purposes of clarity this risk screening methodology has been broken into two separate risk screening methodologies:

- ◆ **surface water supplies** (i.e. those, which originate from a river, lake or reservoir⁵); and
- ◆ **groundwater supplies** (i.e. those, which originate from a spring, well or borehole).

4 Groundwater can be influenced by surface water where surface water can enter the aquifer through preferential flow paths, karst features or flow down the well casing. In such cases the quality of the groundwater will vary with that of the surface water and may sometimes have high turbidity.

5 This includes infiltration galleries.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.4.4 | Where there is an uncertainty about the information or inadequate information available then a conservative approach must be taken and the worse case scenario chosen.

The final risk screening score is the sum of the Catchment Factor risk score and the Treatment, Operation and Management Factors risk score. This score is then weighted according to the population served by the supply. The population-weighting factor is $0.4 \times \log_{10}(\text{population served by the supply})$. The final weighted risk screening score is the final risk screening score multiplied by the population-weighting factor.

2.5 Water supply risk classification

2.5.1 | The classification depends on the final risk screening score. It should be noted that the high risk classification used by the Scottish Executive has been renamed very high risk and the moderate risk classification split into two classifications – high risk and moderate risk. The same classification system shown in table 1 should be used for both the surface water and groundwater risk screening methodologies.

Table 1: water supply risk classification

Water Supply Risk Classification	Final Risk Assessment Score
Very high risk	>100
High risk	76-100
Moderate risk	50-75
Low risk	<50

2.6 Approach to applying the risk assessment methodology

2.6.1 | The application of the risk screening methodology should be considered as an iterative process, which will enable the highest risk supplies to be identified by the water supplier and appropriate measures to be taken in a prioritised manner.

- ◆ **Step 1:** Identify all water supplies and allocate the relevant information in relation to supply code, water type and population served.
- ◆ **Step 2:** Delineate the catchment (or source protection area) for the water supply.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ **Step 3:** Collate all relevant data sources and identify data gaps. In the case of a groundwater supply develop a conceptual site model (CSM).
- ◆ **Step 4:** Apply the methodology (if sufficient information is available to do so) to all supplies and prioritise in order of risk. This should be considered an initial risk screening.
- ◆ **Step 5:** Carry out catchment survey and an assessment of the treatment plant, its operation and management in accordance with the guidelines set out in the EPA manuals, in a prioritised manner on the supplies identified in Step 4, to gather additional information as necessary and to validate information used in the initial risk screening.
- ◆ **Step 6:** Re-apply the risk screening methodology using the information obtained from a catchment survey and inspection. A brief report should be written on each supply outlining the assumptions made and a summary of the findings.
- ◆ **Step 7:** Prioritise the supplies in order of risk, propose and implement measures to be taken to reduce the risk.
- ◆ **Step 8:** Re-apply the methodology on completion of the measures to determine the new risk screening score for the supply.
- ◆ In very high and high risk supplies consideration should be given to refining the information used in the risk screening so detailed assessment of the catchment should be undertaken such as farm surveys and on-site wastewater treatment system surveys. In cases where there are non-compliances with best practice then measures should be proposed to reduce the risk to the supply.

2.6.2 | In cases where there is currently insufficient water treatment then an assessment of the level of treatment required is dependent on the characteristics of the raw water and the catchment characteristics as well as the risk category. In all surface water supplies a barrier to *Cryptosporidium* is considered the minimum requirement for treatment. In the case of a groundwater source that is fed from a karst spring, the groundwater source should be treated as a surface water source due to the direct connection with surface water.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3. Surface water risk screening methodology

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 | Surface water is defined as water that is open to the atmosphere and subject to surface run off. It includes rivers, streams, lakes and reservoirs (impounding and pumped long term and bank side storage). Where there is more than one source supplying a treatment works, each source should be assessed individually and the highest score used to calculate the combined catchment and treatment and supply score, and the final, population weighted score.

3.2 Catchment factors

3.2.1 | Paragraphs 3.2.2 to 3.2.7 outline the factors that influence the overall catchment risk score for the supply.

Animals within the catchment

3.2.2 | Sheep and cattle, particularly when lambing or calving, are significant sources of *Cryptosporidium*. The higher the density of animals in the forage area, the higher is the potential risk. Forage areas are defined as grass, open woodland, rape for stock feed, rough grazing, turnips/swedes for stock feed and other crops for stock feed. Deer (also when high numbers in the wild) and pigs, particularly if farmed close to water sources, can also be a source of *Cryptosporidium*. The risk is higher when animals have direct access to water. High numbers of birds, particularly when roosting on or near water sources, can also be a source of *Cryptosporidium*. Animal densities can be obtained from Department of Agriculture⁶. The information is not available at farm scale and therefore is considered to be a broad brush conservative dataset. More detailed assessments including farm surveys following the application of the risk screening methodology may be required for very high and high risk supplies. One score from each of the Sections below in table 2 should be inserted into the Actual Score column. However if the factor is not present in the catchment then a zero score should be inserted. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 1 box.

6 Animal density information can be obtained from the Department of Agriculture. 5 year averages on a DED basis were made available to the River Basin Districts for the purposes of the diffuse pollution risk assessments.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 2: animal risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
1.1	Cattle/calves at less than or equal to one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	5	
	Cattle/calves at more than one livestock unit per hectare of forage area*	10	
	No cattle/calves in the catchment	0	
1.2	Sheep/lambs at less than or equal to one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	5	
	Sheep/lambs at more than one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	10	
	No sheep/lambs in the catchment	0	
1.3	Wild or farmed deer in the catchment	2	
	No wild or farmed deer in the catchment	0	
1.4	Pig farms in the catchment	2	
	No pig farms in the catchment	0	
1.5	Animals have direct access to water sources including feeder streams	4	
	Fencing prevents access to water sources including feeder streams ⁷	-4	
1.6	High numbers of birds	2	
1.7	Any other farmed animals or birds	1	
Total for Section 1			

* If density not known assume more than one animal per hectare of forage area.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Agricultural practices within the catchment

3.2.3 | Slurry spraying and dung spreading, particularly the former, pose a high risk of *Cryptosporidium* contamination of water sources. Although well-kept and managed slurry stores can allow oocysts to die off, there is no way of knowing how effectively they are being operated and therefore a risk should be assumed. Sheep pens and cattle sheds and lambing or calving on the catchment also present a potential risk. The total score for Section 2 is the sum of the scores for each of the risk factors in the table below that is taking place on the catchment. One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 3 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column if the activity is not undertaken in the catchment then a zero score should be inserted. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 2 box.

Table 3: agricultural practices risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
2.1	Slurry or sewage sludge ² spreading within the catchment	6	
2.2	Dung spreading within the catchment	3	
2.3	Slurry or dung stores	3	
2.4	Sheep pens or cattle sheds	6	
2.5	Lambing or calving on the catchment	8	
2.6	Full compliance with the Good Agricultural Practice Regulations ³ verified by catchment inspection	-6	
Total for Section 2			

Discharges to the catchment/water source

3.2.4 | Sewage works and septic tanks may not remove oocysts if there is cryptosporidiosis in the community, so there could be oocysts in the sewage works or septic tank effluent and that effluent could enter a raw water source. The impact of septic tanks and sewage works is scored separately on the basis of the total population

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

served by **all** tanks or works in the catchment. Storm water overflows and discharges from intensive agricultural activities such as abattoirs/livestock markets are also a potential source of *Cryptosporidium* and each should be scored only once even when there is more than one of each discharging into the catchment. One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 4 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column however, if there are no such discharges in the catchment then a zero score should be inserted. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 3 box.

Table 4: discharges risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
3.1	Population equivalent served by individual on-site wastewater treatment systems < 100 PE	4	
	Population equivalent served by individual on-site wastewater treatment systems > 100 PE	6	
3.2	Flooding of septic tanks on flood plains	- 2	
3.3	On-site wastewater treatment systems all known to be functioning properly ¹	4	
3.4	Population equivalent served by all wastewater works ² < 500	4	
	Population equivalent served by all wastewater works 500 to 5,000	5	
	Population equivalent served by all wastewater works 5,001 to 20,000	6	
	Population equivalent served by all wastewater works 20,001 to 50,000	7	
	Population equivalent served by all wastewater works > 50,000	8	
3.5	Storm water overflows	2	
3.6	Section 4 ⁵ or Integrated Pollution Prevention Control (IPPC) Licence discharge from intensive agricultural activity or agricultural related discharge	2	
3.7	All wastewater treatment plants complying with the UWWT Regulations quality standards	-1	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
3.8	UV inactivation at outlet of wastewater treatment plants	-2	
Total for Section 3			

Water source type

3.2.5 | Surface water sources present the highest risk from *Cryptosporidium*, particularly when there is direct abstraction from a river or stream. Lowland rivers present a greater risk than upland reservoirs. The total score for Section 4 consists of one score from the list of sources in the table 5 below (no adding of scores).

Table 5: water source risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
4.1	Upland reservoir/lake	2	
	Lowland long term storage reservoir/lake	4	
	Upland river or stream - bank side storage	5	
	Upland river or stream – direct abstraction	6	
	Lowland river or stream – direct abstraction or bank side storage	8	
Total for Section 4			

Catchment inspections

3.2.6 | Regular catchment inspections and procedures to deal with any identified irregularities reduce the risk from *Cryptosporidium*. Routine catchment inspections should include water quality monitoring of key river channels and feeder streams. The nutrients ammonia, nitrate and phosphate and recording of the presence/absence of sewage fungus or excess algal growth in stream channels will give an indication of water quality at various points on the catchment. Observations should also be made on land-use practice, particularly slurry spreading practices. Use should be made of local knowledge such as farmers, water supply consumers, anglers and local authority area workers, whose vigilance can alert water treatment plant staff to risks to the abstraction source. Cooperation with such local stakeholders should be encouraged. If unsatisfactory issues are noted then more detailed investigation procedures should be

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

applied, such as detailed investigative monitoring and farm, wastewater and industrial facilities inspections, as appropriate. Once the cause of the water quality problem is identified, then improvement and enforcement measures can be applied. One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 6 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column however, if the activity is not undertaken in the catchment then a zero score should be inserted. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 5 box.

Table 6: catchment inspection risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
5.1	Catchment inspections ⁷ carried out at least monthly	-3	
	Catchment inspections carried out less frequently	6	
5.2	Procedures in place to deal with irregularities on the catchment	-3	
Total for Section 5			

Raw water intake management

3.2.7 | Risk is reduced when water quality monitors are installed at the intake and further reduced when the monitors are alarmed and the intake shut when poor water quality conditions are detected. Poor water quality conditions are defined for each plant and are dependent on local conditions and plant operation and are based on daily monitoring results. The total score for Section 6 consists of one score from the list of sources in the table 7 below (no adding of scores).

Table 7: raw water intake management risk score

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
6.1	No appropriate water quality monitor ⁸ on intake	3	
	Appropriate water quality monitor on intake that is alarmed and connected to telemetry	-2	
6.2	Automatic intake shut down when poor water quality	-4	
	Manual intake shut down when poor water quality ⁹	-1	
	No intake shut down when poor water quality	3	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section No.	Catchment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
Total for Section 6			

Surface water catchment risk score

3.2.8 | Calculate the surface water catchment risk score by adding the scores from Sections 1 to 6 as in table 8.

Table 8: surface water catchment risk score

Surface Water Catchment Risk Scores	Section Score
Section 1 - Animals within the Catchment	
Section 2 - Agricultural Practices within the Catchment	
Section 3 - Discharges to the Catchment/Water Source	
Section 4 - Water Source Type	
Section 5 - Catchment Inspections	
Section 6 - Raw Water Intake Management	
Total Surface Water Catchment Risk Score	

3.3 Treatment, operation and management factors

3.3.1 | If there is more than one treatment process stream at the water treatment works, each treatment process stream should be scored separately and the highest scoring treatment process stream should be used to calculate the treatment and supply risk score and the combined catchment and treatment and supply risk score and the final population weighted score.

Water treatment processes

3.3.2 | It is well established that some treatment processes are much more effective in removing *Cryptosporidium*, and therefore reducing the risk, than others. The most effective processes are those that use membrane filtration or coagulation followed by sedimentation or dissolved air flotation and filtration. Membrane filtration is particularly effective when the membrane is capable of removing or retaining particles greater than one micron diameter. The UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) publishes lists of membrane products that achieve this performance. Ultraviolet disinfection

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

(UV) can also be an effective means of inactivating *Cryptosporidium* oocysts but only where there is adequate pre-treatment. UV on its own in surface water supplies is not a suitable means of inactivating *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Simple disinfection and micro-straining are not effective treatment types to remove *Cryptosporidium* and hence do not reduce the risk. Where disinfection and micro-straining are the only form of treatment in place the water supplier should immediately develop an action programme to improve treatment. The risk screening methodology should not be carried out on such supplies until the action programme has been completed. The total score for Section 7 is one of the scores from the risk factors in the table 9 below based on the principal treatment at the works.

Table 9: Water treatment process risk score

Section No.	Water Treatment Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
7.1	Simple sand filtration ¹⁰ (not slow sand filtration)	8	
	Simple sand filtration (not slow sand filtration) with UV treatment	6	
	Coagulation followed by DAF/sedimentation and filtration	-10	
	Coagulation followed by DAF/sedimentation and filtration followed by UV treatment	-16	
	Coagulation followed by rapid gravity or pressure filtration (no flotation or sedimentation)	-7	
	Coagulation followed by rapid gravity or pressure filtration (no flotation or sedimentation) followed by UV treatment	-13	
	Slow sand filtration	-9	
	Slow sand filtration followed by UV treatment	-15	
	Membrane filtration (DWI ¹¹ approved)	-16	
	Membrane filtration (Not DWI approved)	-2	
Total for Section 7			

Treatment works monitoring of coagulation and filtration

3.3.3 | This section only applies when coagulation and filtration or filtration only is part of the water treatment process. Where UV treatment is used in conjunction with the either of these the relevant section should also be scored. Turbidity meters/particle counters provide a good indication of filtration efficiency. Where turbidity meters/particle counters are fitted and are alarmed so action can be immediately taken, the risk

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

from *Cryptosporidium* is reduced. Similarly a residual coagulant monitor on the outlet of the works, particularly when alarmed, provides an indication of the efficiency of the coagulation and filtration process. When membrane filters have an alarm to monitor the integrity of the membrane or have particle counters to monitor performance, the risk from *Cryptosporidium* is also reduced. Routine discrete monitoring of treated water quality is also important. Only one of the three sections on rapid gravity and pressure filters, slow sand filters or membrane filters should be scored in table 10 below. The total score from either Section 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d or 8e should be summed and added to the total from Section 8f (UV treatment) if UV treatment is one of the treatment processes.

Table 10: monitoring of coagulation/filtration risk score

Coagulation			
Section No. 8a	Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8.1	Manual coagulant dose control – not flow proportional	5	
	Manual coagulant pH control	5	
	Coagulant pH monitored and alarmed	-5	
Total for Section 8a			

Clarification			
Section No. 8b	Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8.2	Clarified water turbidity monitor/particle counters	-1	
	Clarified water turbidity monitors/particle counters with alarm	-2	
Total for Section 8b			

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Rapid gravity and pressure filters			
Section No. 8c	Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8.3	Turbidity meter/particle counter on each filter with alarm on telemetry	-5	
	Turbidity meter/particle counter on each filter but no alarm on telemetry	0	
	One turbidity meter/particle counter shared by more than one filter with alarm on telemetry	-2	
	One turbidity meter/particle counter shared by more than one filter but no alarm on telemetry	2	
	No turbidity meters/particle counters monitoring filter performance	10	
8.4	Final water turbidity meter/particle counter with alarm on telemetry	-2	
	Final water turbidity meter/particle counter but no alarm on telemetry	2	
	No final water turbidity meter/particle counter	5	
8.5	Continuous residual coagulant monitor on combined filtrate or works outlet with alarm	-5	
	Continuous residual coagulant monitor on combined filtrate or works outlet but no alarm	-1	
	No continuous residual coagulant monitor on combined filtrate or works outlet	5	
8.6	Routine discrete monitoring of treated water for turbidity/residual coagulant	-2	
	No routine discrete monitoring of treated water for turbidity/residual coagulant	2	
8.7	Turbidity of backwash supernatant monitored when recycled	-2	
	Turbidity of backwash supernatant not monitored when recycled	2	
Total for Section 8c			

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Membrane Filtration			
Section No.	Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8e	Plant monitored and alarmed for integrity	-10	
	Plant monitored for integrity but not alarmed	0	
	Plant not monitored for integrity	10	
8.12	Particle counter used continuously to monitor filter performance	-5	
Total for Section 8e			

UV Inactivation			
Section No. 8f	Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8.13	Plant monitored for integrity and correct UV dosage	0	
	Plant monitored and alarmed for integrity and correct UV dosage	-10	
	Plant neither monitored nor alarmed	10	
8.14	Influent turbidity consistently < 0.2 NTU	-6	
	Influent turbidity consistently < 1.0 NTU	-3	
	Influent turbidity consistently > 1.0 NTU	-1	
Total for Section 8f			

Rapid gravity and pressure filter performance

3.3.4 | This section only applies to treatment works with rapid gravity or pressure filters. Final water turbidity is a good indicator of filter performance. Filter condition, particularly loss of filter media and cracking of filter bed, the effect of filter backwashing on final water turbidity, and filter maintenance are also relevant. One score from each of the Sections in table 11 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 9 box.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 11: filter performance risk score

Section No.	Risk Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
9.1	Final water turbidity increases by more than 50%, excluding normal backwash period or turbidity in the final water >1.0 NTU ¹²	4	
	Treated water turbidity increases by less than 50%, excluding normal backwash period and turbidity in the final water <1.0 NTU	0	
9.2	Media loss from any filter has brought media depth below design level	6	
	Media depth above minimum design level with audit trail maintained	-2	
9.3	Signs of media cracking on any filter or any other damage to the filter	4	
9.4	All filters have been drained, inspected and any necessary remedial action taken within last year	-2	
9.5	Air scour and backwash maintained and operating efficiently as per maintenance manual	-2	
Total for Section 9			

Treatment works operation

3.3.5 | When a treatment works is operated in accordance with good practice with quality assured procedures, the risk from *Cryptosporidium* is reduced, particularly when there are auditable action plans to deal with any deviations from expected quality. The methods of returning filters to service following backwashing (following skimming and cleaning in the case of slow sand filters) and dealing with filter backwash water have an effect on the risk. Other relevant factors are significant short-term variations in flow through the works and whether the works has operated above its design flow. One score from each of the Sections in table 12 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores from each section should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 10 box.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 12: Treatment works operation risk score

Section No.	Risk Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
10.1	Plant with documented management systems that includes procedures and process control manuals	-2	
	Process control manuals specific to works available	-1	
	Process control manuals specific to works not available	1	
10.2	Auditable action plans available for dealing with deviations in quality and evidence of implementation of the plan	-1	
	Auditable action plans not available for dealing with deviations in quality	1	
10.3	Slow start facility on filters operational	-4	
	No slow start facility on filters, or slow start facility not operational	4	
10.4	Filters run to waste for appropriate period after backwash	-6	
	Filters run to head of works for a period following backwash	-4	
	Filters not run to waste or head of works for a period following backwash	4	
10.5	Backwash water and/or sludge supernatant has to be recycled	2	
	Other disposal route available for backwash water and sludge supernatant	-2	
10.6	Water flow through works when operating has not increased by >10% in <30 minutes in last 12 months	-2	
	Water flow through works when operating has increased by >10% in <30 minutes in last 12 months	2	
10.7	Flow through works above design flow for >10% of time in last 12 months	4	
	Flow through works above design flow for ≤10% of time in last 12 months	0	
	Flow through works >130% above design flow for >50% of time in last 12 months	6	
10.8	Filters bypassed during the year	6	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section No.	Risk Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
Total for Section 10			

Distribution network

3.3.6 | The risk screening methodology does not deal with the distribution network. It considers the inherent risk of the water supply up to the point at which it has received treatment. However, issues relating to the distribution network may pose a risk to the consumer of the treated drinking water and need to be considered by the water supplier. Some issues of concern are uncovered reservoirs, broken water mains with low water pressure etc. Measures should be put in place to reduce the risk due to the distribution network and these should be documented as part of the risk screening report for each supply.

Surface water treatment, operation and management risk score

3.3.7 | The surface water treatment and supply risk score is the sum of the scores for Sections 7 to 10 (where relevant) in the table 13 below.

Table 13: surface water treatment, operation and management risk score

Surface Water - Treatment and Supply Risk Score	Section Score
Section 7 - Water Treatment Processes	
Section 8 - Treatment Works Monitoring of Coagulation and Filtration	
Section 9 - Rapid Gravity and Pressure Filter Works Performance	
Section 10 - Treatment Works Operation	
Total Surface Water – Treatment, Operation and Management Risk Score	

Final weighted surface water risk screening score

3.3.8 | The final surface water risk screening score is the sum of the surface water catchment risk score and the surface water treatment and supply risk score. This score is then weighted according to the population served by the supply. The population weighting factor is $0.4 \times \log_{10}$ (population served by the supply). The final weighted surface water risk screening score is the final surface water risk screening score x the population weighting factor. The calculation is shown in table 14 below.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 14: final weighted surface water risk screening score

Total Surface Water – Catchment Risk Score	
Total Surface Water – Treatment, Operation and Management Risk Score	
Surface Water Risk Screening Score	
Population	
Population Weighting Factor (0.4 x log10(population))	
Final Weighted Risk Screening Score	
Water Supply Risk Classification	

4. Groundwater risk screening methodology

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 | Groundwater is water that is found underground in the cracks and spaces in soil, sand and rock. Groundwater supplies include springs, wells, boreholes and well fields. It does not include infiltration galleries as these are more appropriately described as surface water supplies for the purposes of this risk assessment. Some groundwater supplies such as karst springs are influenced by surface waters and will require a high level of treatment; others however, have good natural protection through overlying subsoils.

4.2 Delineation of the source protection area

4.2.1 | One of the main methods/approaches to protect groundwater in Ireland is through the use of Groundwater Protection Schemes (GSI/DEHLG/EPA, 1999), which involves delineation of groundwater protection zones. These zones are sub-divided into source protection zones, which encompass the catchment area of the groundwater source, and aquifer (resource) protection areas, which are the remaining areas. The source protection area for public groundwater supplies is divided into the Inner Source (SI) Protection Area and the Outer Source (SO) Protection Area. The source protection area, aquifer type and vulnerability information are integrated to give source protection zones (SPZs). These source protection zones provide valuable information for the purposes of the cryptosporidium risk assessments.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.2.2 | Approximately 52% of the country has groundwater protection schemes developed, which include approximately 160 source protection zones. The remaining public groundwater supplies will have to delineate these SPZs to allow the area for the risk screening methodology to be applied. A 2-tiered process is suggested, the preferred option is where the SPZ is delineated and 2nd option is where the catchment is roughly delineated using recharge co-efficient and abstraction rates. The River Basin District Projects (RBD) are in the process of delineating source protection zones for supplies that are used as part of the National Groundwater Monitoring Programme. It is essential that a consistent approach be taken to delineate source protection zones across the country. The SPZs are required to be delineated to assist in the implementation of the Good Agriculture Practice Regulations, Water Framework Directive, safeguard zones in the Drinking Water Regulations and the groundwater- monitoring programme.

4.2.3 | A conceptual site model (CSM) should be prepared for all groundwater sources at the start of the application of the risk screening methodology as it can be used to identify all possible sources and pathways as well as the processes that are likely to occur along each Source-Pathway-Receptor (S-P-R) linkage.

Conceptual model: source – pathway – receptor

4.2.4 | The following should be considered when developing a conceptual model for the catchment of the groundwater supply.

4.2.5 | Source factors. The principle sources of *Cryptosporidium* in the source protection area will need to be identified on a catchment basis. The majority of human infections are caused by *C. hominis* and the cattle genotype *C. parvum*.

- ◆ The type of land use including animal type and density (*C. parvum*).
- ◆ Location of wastewater treatment systems including urban wastewater treatment systems discharging to groundwater and un-sewered septic tanks (*C. hominis*)

4.2.6 | Pathway factors. The inherent geological and hydrogeological factors that occur within the source protection area that influences the relationship between the source and the receptor need to be examined. The hydrogeological setting determines the likelihood of transmission of the *Cryptosporidium* from the source to the receptor.

- ◆ Aquifer type or groundwater flow regime (rapid flow rates in karst aquifers as opposed to slower more uniform flow rates in sands and gravel aquifers).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ Vulnerability Category (due to their small size *Cryptosporidium* oocysts are less efficiently removed during passage through soil, in bank filtration and in rapid or slow sand filtration – No attachment to loam or sand particles (WHO 2006⁷)). The travel time in low vulnerability areas is much greater than 6 months thus allowing time for the *Cryptosporidium* to die off.
- ◆ Connectivity between surface water and groundwater (Karst features (e.g. swallow holes, sinking/loosing streams (GSI Karst database))

4.2.7 | Receptor factors. The type of water source and the protection afforded to it influences the risk of contamination of the supply. The population served by the supply is also an important factor that is taken account of during the risk screening methodology.

- ◆ Spring or Borehole (shallow/deep)
- ◆ Wellhead protection factors

4.3 Groundwater risk screening (source – pathway – receptor)

4.3.1 | Each of the factors is dealt with in more detail in the following paragraphs 4.3.2 - . Where there is more than one source supplying a treatment works, each source should be assessed individually and the highest score used to calculate the combined catchment, treatment and supply score, and the final, population weighted score.

Source (pressure) factor: animals within the catchment

4.3.2 | Sheep and cattle, particularly when lambing or calving, are significant sources of *Cryptosporidium*. The higher the density of animals in the forage area, the higher is the potential risk. Forage areas are defined as grass, open woodland, rape for stock feed, rough grazing, turnips/swedes for stock feed and other crops for stock feed. Deer (also when high numbers in the wild) and pigs, particularly if farmed close to water sources, can also be a source of *Cryptosporidium*. The risk is higher when animals have direct access to water. High numbers of birds, particularly when roosting on or near water sources, can also be a source of *Cryptosporidium*. Animal densities can

7 WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water – *Cryptosporidium* January 2006 (EHC *Cryptosporidium* draft 2)

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

be obtained from the Department of Agriculture⁸. The information is not available at farm scale and therefore is considered to be a broad brush conservative dataset. More detailed assessments including farm surveys following the application of the risk screening methodology may be required for very high and high risk supplies. One score from each of the Sections in table 15 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 1 box.

⁸ Animal densities information to be obtained from the Department of Agriculture. 5 year averages on a DED basis were made available to the River Basin Districts for the purposes of the diffuse pollution risk assessments.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 15: animals risk score

Section No.	Pressure Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
1.1	Cattle/calves at less than or equal to one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	5	
	Cattle/calves at more than one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	10	
	No cattle/calves in the catchment	0	
1.2	Sheep/lambs at less than or equal to one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	5	
	Sheep/lambs at more than one livestock unit per hectare of forage area *	10	
	No sheep/lambs in the catchment	0	
1.3	Wild or farmed deer in the catchment	2	
	No wild or farmed deer in the catchment	0	
1.4	Pig farms in the catchment	2	
	No pig farms in the catchment	0	
1.5	Animals have direct access to sinking streams	4	
	Fencing preventing access to sinking streams	-2	
1.6	High numbers of birds	2	
1.7	Any other farmed animal or bird	1	
Total for Section 1			

Source (pressure) factor: agricultural practices within the catchment

4.3.3 | Slurry spraying and dung spreading, particularly the former, pose a high risk of *Cryptosporidium* contamination of water sources. Although well kept and managed slurry stores can kill oocysts, there is no way of knowing how effectively they are being operated and therefore a risk should be assumed. Sheep pens and cattle sheds

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

and lambing or calving on the catchment present a potential risk. The total score for Section 2 is the sum of the scores for each of the risk factors in the table below that is taking place on the catchment.

One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 16 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 2 box.

Table 16: agricultural practices risk score

Section No.	Pressure Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
2.1	Slurry spraying in the source protection area	6	
2.2	Dung spreading in source protection area	3	
2.3	Slurry or dung stores	3	
2.4	Sheep pens or cattle sheds	6	
2.5	Lambing or calving on the catchment	8	
2.6	Full compliance the Good Agricultural Practice Regulations ¹³ verified by inspections	-6	
Total for Section 2			

Source (pressure) factor: discharges to the catchment/source protection area

4.3.4 | Sewage works and septic tanks may not remove oocysts if there is cryptosporidiosis in the community, so there could be oocysts in the sewage works or septic tank effluent and that effluent could enter in some cases groundwater. The impact of septic tanks and sewage works is scored separately on the basis of the total population served by all tanks or works in the catchment. Storm water overflows and discharges from intensive agricultural activities such as abattoirs/livestock markets if discharging to groundwater are also a potential source of *Cryptosporidium*. Each should be scored only once even when there is more than one of each discharging

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

to groundwater. One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 17 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 3 box.

Table 17: discharges risk score

Section No.	Pressure Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
3.1	Population equivalent served by individual on-site wastewater treatment systems ≤ 100 PE	4	
	Population equivalent served by individual on-site wastewater treatment systems > 100 PE	6	
3.2	On-site wastewater treatment systems all known to be functioning properly ¹⁴	- 2	
3.3	Population equivalent served by all wastewater treatment plants discharging to groundwater < 500	6	
	Population equivalent served by all wastewater treatment plants discharging to groundwater 500 to 5,000	8	
3.4	Storm water overflows discharging to groundwater	2	
3.5	Section 4 ¹⁵ or Integrated Pollution Prevention Control (IPPC) Licence discharging to groundwater from intensive agricultural activity or agriculturally related discharge	2	
3.6	All wastewater treatment plants discharging to groundwater complying with the UWWT Regulations quality standards	-1	
3.7	UV inactivation at outlet of wastewater treatment plants	-2	
Total for Section 3			

Source (pressure) factor: catchment/source protection area inspections

4.3.5 | Regular catchment inspections and procedures to deal with any identified irregularities reduce the risk from *Cryptosporidium*. Routine catchment inspections should include observations made on land-use practice, particularly slurry spreading practices. Use should be made of local knowledge such as farmers, water supply consumers, anglers and local authority area workers, whose vigilance can alert water

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

treatment plant staff to risks to the abstraction source. Cooperation with such local stakeholders should be encouraged. If unsatisfactory issues are noted then more detailed investigation procedures should be applied, such as detailed investigative monitoring and farm, wastewater and industrial facilities inspections, as appropriate. Once the cause of the water quality problem is identified, then improvement and enforcement measures can be applied. One score (where appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 18 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 4 box.

Table 18: catchment/source inspections risk score

Section No.	Pressure Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
4.1	SPA inspections carried out at least monthly	-3	
	SPA inspections carried out less frequently	6	
4.2	Procedures in place to deal with irregularities on the SPA	-3	
Total for Section 4			

Pathway factor: geology/hydrogeology

4.3.6 | Role of Aquifer Category. In Ireland, the bedrock aquifers have fissured permeability and the flow is through fractures, fissures and in the case of karst, through conduits. This implies that there is very little, if any, attenuation after a contaminant reaches the bedrock. In the case of sand and gravel aquifers, which have an inter-granular permeability, some filtering of the groundwater may occur depending on the grain size of the sands. The rate of flow of the groundwater in these aquifers varies from very rapid in karstified aquifers to slower in poor unfractured aquifers. Work carried out by the Geological Survey of Ireland and the River Basin District Project (RBDs) in relation to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) has resulted in aquifers being grouped into four groundwater body types based on similarities in flow regime - karstic aquifers, gravel aquifers, productive fracture aquifers and poorly productive aquifers.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.3.7 | Role of Vulnerability Category. The overlying subsoil, depending on its lithology and thickness, may provide some protection for groundwaters. The type and thickness of subsoils are factors that have been used to develop groundwater vulnerability maps in Ireland. These are used along with the aquifer maps to delineate groundwater protection zonation maps, which form part of a county Groundwater Protection Scheme. Areas where there is less than 3m of subsoil are described as extremely vulnerable and do not provide a lot of protection to the underlying groundwater. Karst features provide a direct connection between surface and groundwater (e.g. sinking streams, swallow holes etc.) and are also afforded an extreme vulnerability classification. In general it is considered that the overlying subsoil (>3m thickness) provides very good protection of the groundwater as the time of travel through the subsoil is much greater than the die off time for *Cryptosporidium*.

4.3.8 | As there is variability in both the aquifer type and vulnerability across the source protection area some element of professional judgement is required to allocate the appropriate risk score. It is advisable that source protection zone that is predominant over the inner and outer source protection area is used, however, a conservative approach should be taken and the decision making process documented. The total score for Section 5 consists of one score from the matrix in table 19 below (no adding of scores). The scores in Section 5a relate to supplies where the source protection zones have been delineated in accordance with the GSI methodology. The scores in Section 5b related to the estimated catchment of a supply that has not been sub-divided into Inner and Outer Source Protection Areas.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 19: geology/hydrogeology risk score

Section No. 5	Pathway Factor						
	Section 5a		Section 5b				
Vulnerability Rating	Source Protection Area		Aquifer Categories (for supplies with no source protection areas delineated)				
	(SI)	(SO)	Karst (Rk & Lk)	Fissured (Rf & Lm)	Sand/gravel ¹⁶ (Rg & Lg)	LI	Poor (Pu & Pl)
Extreme (0-1 m soil/subsoil)	4	2	4	2	0	2	2
Extreme (1-3 m subsoil)	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
High	-30	-30	-30	-30	-30	-30	-30
Moderate	-45	-45	-45	-45	-45	-45	-45
Low	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50
Total for Section 5							

Pathway factor: rapid by-pass of unsaturated zone

4.3.9 | There is an additional risk to groundwater where there is a direct link between the surface and groundwater; this is where the protecting subsoil (unsaturated zone) is by-passed. This occurs where there are sinking streams or swallow holes, which are karst features. Information on karst features may be obtained from the Groundwater Section of the Geological Survey of Ireland, Dublin 4. The total score for Section 6 consists of one score (where appropriate) from the list of sources in the table 20 below (no adding of scores).

Table 20: by-pass of unsaturated zone risk score

Section No.	Pathway Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
6.1	Presence of karst feature ¹⁷ such as swallow holes, sinking streams	6	
	Likelihood ¹⁸ of karst features or direct transmission of surface run-off to groundwater	3	
	Direct transmission of surface run-off unlikely	0	
Total for Section 6			

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Reception factor: water source type

4.3.10 | Groundwater sources may present a risk from *Cryptosporidium*, particularly as they receive minimal treatment in most cases. The different water types have inherent risks associated with them and so they have different scores. Factors such as sanitary protection of groundwater supplies and natural groundwater vulnerability are important factors that will be considered in later sections. The total score for Section 7 consists of one score from the list of sources in the table 21 below (no adding of scores).

Table 21: water source risk score

Section No.	Receptor Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
7.1	Spring	6	
	Well (<3m depth of well)	4	
	Borehole (> 3m depth of borehole)	2	
	Well field ¹⁹	2	
Total for Section 7			

Reception factor: sanitary protection of groundwater supply

4.3.11 | Additional protection should be given to a groundwater source at the point of abstraction. In the case of a spring supply access to the spring itself should be prohibited and appropriate secure fencing and covering put in place. In the case of a borehole or well the immediate area around the borehole should be constructed in such a way as to prevent any by-pass of the subsoil and to prevent any contaminated material or liquid getting into the groundwater through the water supply structure (i.e. through the wellhead or casing). One score from each of the Sections in table 22 below, where appropriate, should be inserted into the Actual Score column. The scores should be summed, where applicable and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 8 box.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 22: sanitary protection risk score

Section No.	Receptor Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
8.1	Inadequate protection of spring source	12	
	Spring receptor adequate protection	6	
8.2	Borehole with known or suspected poor casing integrity or no grouting ²⁰	12	
	Borehole with suspected, not proven good casing integrity or grouting	4	
	Borehole with proven good casing integrity and good grouting	-8	
8.3	Headworks in outside chamber and/or below ground level – liable to flooding or leaking structure	12	
	Headworks in outside chamber but sealed and dry	9	
	Headworks with cover flush to floor or imperfectly sealed	6	
	Headworks with completely sealed raised cover	-8	
Total for Section 8			

Groundwater source – pathway – receptor (catchment) risk score

4.3.12 | This risk score is calculated by adding the risk scores from Sections 1 to 8 as shown in table 23.

Table 23: groundwater source – pathway – receptor risk score

Groundwater Source – Pathway – Receptor Risk Scores	Section Score
Section 1 – Animals in the catchment	
Section 2 – Agricultural Practices within the Catchment	
Section 3 – Discharges to the Catchment/source Protection Area	
Section 4 – Catchment/source Protection Area Inspections	
Section 5 – Geology/hydrogeology	
Section 6 – By-pass of unsaturated zone	
Section 7 – Water source type	
Section 8 – Sanitary protection of groundwater supply	
Total Groundwater Source – Pathway – Receptor Risk Score	

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.4 Groundwater risk assessment (water treatment score)

4.4.1 | The risk management factors to consider are the water treatment processes that are employed to reduce the risk. The type of treatment process being used, as well as the operation and management of the treatment plant need to be considered. If there is more than one treatment process stream at the water treatment works, each treatment process stream should be scored separately and the highest scoring treatment process stream should be used to calculate the treatment and supply risk score and the combined catchment and treatment and supply risk score and the final population weighted score.

Risk management factors – water treatment processes

4.4.2 | It is well established that some treatment processes are much more effective in removing *Cryptosporidium*, and therefore reducing the risk, than others. Membrane filtration is particularly effective when the membrane is capable of removing or retaining particles greater than one micron diameter. The Drinking Water Inspectorate publishes lists of membrane products that achieve this performance. Ultraviolet disinfection can also be an effective means of inactivating *Cryptosporidium* oocysts but only where there is adequate pre-treatment or where there is a clear groundwater source.

4.4.3 | In most groundwater supplies simple disinfection is the only form of treatment and this is not an effective form of treatment to remove *Cryptosporidium* and hence does not reduce the risk from *Cryptosporidium*. Where it is the only form of treatment in place and there is evidence of direct connection between the surface water and the groundwater then the water supplier should immediately develop an action programme to improve treatment. The risk assessment should not be carried out on such supplies until the action programme has been completed. The total score for Section 9 is one of the scores from the risk factors in table 24 below based on the principal treatment at the works.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 24: water treatment processes risk score

Section No.	Risk Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
9.1	Disinfection (not including UV)	16	
	UV Inactivation	-15	
	Membrane filtration (DWI approved)	-16	
	Membrane filtration (Not DWI approved)	-2	
Total for Section 9			

Risk Management factors – treatment works monitoring of filtration

4.4.4 | This section only applies when filtration only is part of the water treatment process. Where UV treatment is used in conjunction with filtration, it should also be scored. When membrane filters have an alarm to monitor the integrity of the membrane or have particle counters to monitor performance, the risk from *Cryptosporidium* is reduced. Routine discrete monitoring of treated water quality is also important. The total score from either Section 10a or 10b should be summed as shown in table 25 below.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 25: monitoring of filtration risk score

Membrane Filtration			
Section No. 10a	Risk Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
10.1	Plant monitored and alarmed for integrity	-10	
	Plant monitored for integrity but not alarmed	-3	
	Plant not monitored for integrity	10	
10.2	Particle counter used continuously to monitor filter performance	-5	
Total for Section 10a			

UV Inactivation			
Section No. 10b	Risk Management Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
10.3	Plant monitored for integrity and UV dosage	-3	
	Plant monitored and alarmed for integrity and UV dosage	-10	
	Plant neither monitored nor alarmed	10	
10.4	Influent turbidity consistently < 0.2 NTU	-6	
	Influent turbidity consistently < 1.0 NTU	-3	
	Influent turbidity consistently > 1.0 NTU	-1	
Total for Section 10b			

Water treatment factors – treatment works operation

4.4.5 | When a treatment works is operated in accordance with good practice with quality assured procedures, the risk from *Cryptosporidium* is reduced, particularly when there are auditable action plans to deal with any deviations from expected quality. The methods of returning filters to service following backwashing and dealing with filter backwash water have an effect on the risk. Other relevant factors are significant short-term variations in flow through the works and whether the works has operated above its design flow. One score (if appropriate) from each of the Sections in table 26 below should be inserted into the Actual Score column. These scores should be summed and the total of these scores should be inserted in the Total for Section 11 box.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 26: treatment works operation risk score

Section No.	Risk Factor	RA Score	Actual Score
11.1	Process control manuals specific to works available	-2	
	Process control manuals specific to works not available	1	
11.2	Auditable action plans available for dealing with deviations in quality	-2	
	Auditable action plans not available for dealing with deviations in quality	1	
11.3	Water flow through works when operating has not varied by >10% in <30 minutes in last 12 months	-2	
	Water flow through works when operating has varied by >10% in <30 minutes in last 12 months	2	
11.4	Flow through works above design flow for >10% of time in last 12 months	4	
	Flow through works above design flow for ≤10% of time in last 12 months	0	
	Flow through works >130% above design flow for >50% of time in last 12 months	6	
11.5	Membrane or UV filters bypassed during the year	6	
Total for Section 11			

Groundwater treatment and supply risk score

4.4.6 | The groundwater treatment and supply risk score is the sum of the scores for Section 9 to 11 (where relevant) as shown in table 27.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Table 27: groundwater treatment and supply risk score

Groundwater treatment and supply risk scores	Section Score
Section 9 – Water treatment processes	
Section 10 – Treatment works monitoring of filtration	
Section 11 – Treatment works operation	
Total Groundwater Source – Pathway – Receptor Risk Score	

Final weighted groundwater risk assessment score

4.4.7 | The final surface water risk assessment score is the sum of the groundwater Source- Pathway-Receptor (SPR) risk score and the surface water treatment and supply risk score. This score is then weighted according to the population served by the supply. The population weighting factor is $0.4 \times \log_{10}(\text{population served by the supply})$. The final weighted surface water risk assessment score is the final surface water risk assessment score multiplied by the population weighting factor as shown in table 28 below.

Table 28: final weighted groundwater risk assessment score

Total Groundwater – Catchment Risk Score	
Total Groundwater – Treatment, Operation and Management Risk Score	
Groundwater Risk Assessment Score	
Population	
Population Weighting Factor ($0.4 \times \log_{10}(\text{population})$)	
Final Weighted Risk Assessment Score	
Water Supply Risk Classification	

(Footnotes)

- 1 This score should only be given where the entire catchment is fenced or animal access to the source water or feeder streams is not possible.
- 2 Land spreading of sewage sludge should be in accordance with the requirements of the Waste Management (Use of Sewage Sludge in Agriculture) (Amendment) Regulations, SI No 267 of 2001.
- 3 Article 17 of the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 101 of 2009).

- 4 Survey carried out by local authority in the catchment of the groundwater source.
- 5 Wastewater Works – means sewers and their accessories (or any part thereof) and all other structural devices including wastewater treatment plants for the collection, storage, treatment or discharge of wastewater.
- 6 Section 4 Discharge to Water Licence under the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977. This could include discharges from piggeries, abattoirs, food production facilities etc
- 7 Inspections should take into account the compliance with Article 17 of the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 101 of 2009).
- 8 Monitor may include parameters such as turbidity, ammonia etc.
- 9 Includes actions taken as a result of manual monitoring at appropriate frequency.
- 10 This includes rapid gravity filters with no chemical treatment, infiltration galleries and pressure filters
- 11 DWI – Drinking Water Inspectorate of England and Wales <http://www.dwi.gov.uk/>
- 12 Monitoring equipment at the plant must be capable of measuring levels of turbidity of at least 0.1 NTU
- 13 Article 17 of the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 101 of 2009).
- 14 Survey carried out by local authority in the catchment of the groundwater source.
- 15 Section 4 Discharge to Water Licence under the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977
- 16 Vulnerability of sand/gravel aquifers is based on depth to the water table and not depth of subsoil
- 17 Information on karst can be obtained from the Groundwater Section of the Geological Survey of Ireland, Dublin 4.
- 18 There is generally a likelihood of direct connection between the surface and the groundwater where you have karstified bedrock aquifers such as regionally important karstified aquifers (Rk) or locally important karstified aquifers (Lk)
- 19 A well field is made up of a number of individual boreholes that contribute in different proportions to the water supply. These boreholes are usually located in close proximity to each other. The worse case scenario/ most conservative should be assumed.
- 20 Casing integrity should be determined through visual inspection and from borehole logs

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

**SECTION 11: WATER TREATMENT AND
RELATED MATTERS**



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 11: Water treatment and related matters

Summary of Section 11

- ◆ Describes the importance of quality assurance systems including written procedures and training for all aspects of treatment works operation, including Performance Management Systems (PMS) for private operation or Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contracts.
- ◆ Describes the importance of risk assessment of the catchment and raw water quality, the monitoring of raw water quality and the action to be taken if raw water quality deteriorates.
- ◆ Sets out some key features of treatment works operation including site security, risk assessment of failure of treatment processes, written procedures for operation of the processes including criteria for satisfactory performance, use of approved chemicals and materials and regular maintenance of equipment.
- ◆ Sets out the importance of training of operators and gives some examples of available training courses.
- ◆ Describes the importance of on-line monitors for the control of dosage of chemicals and for providing warning and alarm limits to detect deterioration in process performance.

Contents of Section 11

1. Introduction
 2. Raw water quality
 3. Water treatment works operation
 4. Training of operators
 5. Use of on-line monitors and control systems
- Appendix 1: Performance Management System for Water Treatment Plant Index

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | It is vital to ensuring drinking water quality that water treatment works are designed, operated and maintained properly. This means that private water suppliers should adopt a Quality Assurance Systems (QAS) approach (such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, NFGWS) for the management, operation and maintenance of water treatment works. As part of a QAS, private water suppliers should have written procedures (Standard Operating Procedures – SOPs) that set out how each part of the process and other related matters are to be operated and maintained at each treatment works so that the water leaving the treatment works meets the standards and other requirements of the Regulations. These procedures should include adjustments to processes when there are changes in circumstances such as deterioration of raw water quality and when abnormal circumstances exist. Managers and operators of private water supplies should be fully trained in each part of the process that they are expected to manage or operate.

1.2 | This section does not apply to **Public Group Water Schemes** (PuGWS) as these take fully treated water from a public water supply provided by the Water Services Authority (WSA) and the water is then distributed by the PuGWS to the premises supplied, **unless the PuGWS re-chlorinates the water before distribution**, in which case the parts of this section dealing with disinfection (dosage, monitoring and control) apply. This section applies fully to the following:

- ◆ **“Private” Group Water Schemes (PrGWS).** These are schemes where the owners of the scheme abstract, treat and distribute water from the raw water source (sometimes a Design, Build and Operate (DBO) contract is in place for the treatment element of the scheme) These schemes are usually owned by the community and are usually managed by a Committee or Board of representatives of the local community.
- ◆ **Small Private Supplies (SPS).** This is a large group of different types of supply comprising industrial water supplies (such as those used in the brewing industry) to boreholes serving commercial premises (e.g. pubs, hotels etc.) and public buildings (e.g. schools, nursing homes).

1.3 | The Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG) has developed a Performance Management System (PMS) to assist WSAs as employers to manage the performance of private service providers in respect of Design, Build and Operation (DBO) and Operation Contracts. These contracts mainly concern the

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

provision of private water supplies. The Water Services Training Group (WSTG) has issued comprehensive guidance on these contracts (Volume 3 – Water Treatment Plant) to assist in managing the performance of DBO contract (and other private operation contract) in respect of water treatment plant operation and maintenance. The PMS can be obtained from the WSTG. The contents of the PMS are given in appendix 1.

1.4 | The Regulations require that a private water supplier takes all necessary measures to ensure that no substances (including any impurities in these substances) used in the treatment of water remain in concentrations higher than is necessary for the purpose of use and that they do not directly or indirectly reduce the protection of public health provided for in the Regulations. They also require a private water supplier to verify the efficiency of disinfection, when disinfection is practised, and to ensure that the concentrations of disinfection by-products are kept as low as possible without compromising disinfection.

1.5 | This section provides general guidance to private water suppliers on water treatment and related matters. More detailed guidance is provided in the following Water Treatment Manuals, published by the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) and which can be freely downloaded from the web-site (<http://www.epa.ie>) and any subsequent up-date of these manuals:

- ◆ Filtration (1995)
- ◆ Disinfection (1998) (currently under review)
- ◆ Coagulation, flocculation and clarification (2002)

2. Raw water quality

2.1 | Raw water quality, particularly variations in raw water quality, for example following heavy rainfall, can have a significant effect on the performance of the treatment processes and hence compliance with the standards and indicator parameter values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations. Although not a regulatory requirement, it is important that each private water supplier carries out operational monitoring for key parameters in the raw water (see section 3 of this handbook) so that appropriate action can be taken, for example adjustments to the operation of treatment to ensure the regulatory requirements are met.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

2.2 | The private water supplier, particularly the PrGWS, should have:

- ◆ carried out a risk assessment of the catchment, as part of a Drinking Water Safety Plan (DWSP) (see section 10 of this handbook), to determine whether there is a significant risk to the operation of the water treatment processes and the quality of drinking water supplies and to determine which parameters to monitor in the raw water. If there is a risk that cannot be dealt with by the treatment processes, the private water supplier must consider with the organisations responsible for the catchment whether any controls are possible or it must improve treatment;
- ◆ liaised with the EPA and the WSA to be informed of the results of any sampling and analysis they have carried out under the 1989 Regulations (SI 294 of 1989) (or the Water Framework Directive) on the raw water;
- ◆ appropriate operational monitoring arrangements to ascertain raw water quality, including the parameters to be monitored and the frequency of monitoring. Whenever possible this should include continuous monitoring of key parameters, such as turbidity and conductivity, with appropriate alarm levels to give early warning of deteriorating raw water quality;
- ◆ appropriate treatment processes to treat the raw water, including all reasonably expected variations in raw water quality, to ensure compliance with the standards and indicator parameter values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations, to ensure the effectiveness of disinfection and to minimise the concentrations of disinfection by-products; and
- ◆ written criteria and arrangements for ceasing abstraction of raw water if its quality is such that the installed treatment processes are unlikely to be effective and therefore the treated water quality gives rise to, or is likely to give rise to, a potential danger to human health. If cessation of abstraction is not practical, the private water supplier must consult the WSA, who in turn must consult and agree with the Health Services Executive (the HSE), and advise the private water supplier on the arrangements needed to protect consumers.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3. Water treatment works operation

3.1 | Each water treatment works site should be secure from unauthorised access. The level of security will depend on the location of the site (urban or rural etc) and a risk assessment of the location. The immediate surroundings of the site should not present a potential risk to the raw water arriving at the works or to the operation of the treatment processes (for example a nearby railway line or road). The site should not present a risk to nearby residents (for example should there be an accidental release of chlorine gas when used in the disinfection process).

3.2 | The private water supplier should have a detailed map of the water treatment works site showing clearly the location of each treatment process, including any sludge treatment, and the routes of the pipe work connecting each process and the location of ancillary equipment such as dosage systems, pumps, valves etc. There should be a schematic diagram of each process showing the equipment, such as tanks, pumps and valves and chemical dosage systems, needed to operate the process. The diagram should also show the monitoring points to control each process.

3.3 | The private water supplier, particularly the PrGWS, should carry out a risk assessment of the water treatment works, as part of a DWSP (see section 10 of this handbook), to determine whether there are any risks to the operation of the treatment processes that are not controlled adequately and, if there are, to take appropriate action to reduce the risks. This risk assessment should include consideration of:

- ◆ the effect of unexpected variations in raw water quality and what actions might be taken, for example adjustments to treatment such as increasing coagulant dose, reducing flow through the works, reducing intervals between filter backwash etc;
- ◆ the risks of failure of coagulation/clarification and what actions might be taken such as duplication of coagulation dosing systems (duty/standby), reducing flow through works etc;
- ◆ the risks of failure of filtration and what actions might be taken for example regular inspection of filters for cracks, mud balls etc, reducing filtration rates and reducing backwashing intervals etc; and
- ◆ the risks of failure of the disinfection system and what actions might be taken such as duplication of disinfectant dosing systems (duty and standby), if practical automatic shut down of the works etc.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.4 | The private water supplier should have a detailed procedure, as part of a QAS (PMS), for the operation of each process, and each part of the process, that sets out what the operators should do in normal circumstances and how the operators should respond to unusual or abnormal circumstances. These procedures should be readily available to the operators at the site. As part of these procedures, there should be criteria that describe the satisfactory operation of each process, such as a physical or chemical measurement (continuous or intermittent monitoring). These criteria should include warning levels that indicate when the performance of a process is deteriorating and requires investigation and alarm levels that indicate when performance is unacceptable and urgent action needs to be taken. These procedures should set out the tests that the operators are expected to carry out and the frequency of those tests and the frequency that the operators should read or check process monitors. The unusual or abnormal circumstances may be a significant change in raw water quality, a problem with the operation of a particular process, a result from a process monitor that is outside the specified criteria, or a failure to meet a drinking water quality standard.

3.5 | Operators should keep an operational log of all action taken at the treatment works including, but not restricted to:

- ◆ all chemical dosage rates and the reason for any changes to dosage rates;
- ◆ all on-site measurements made by operator and routine readings of monitors;
- ◆ any other changes made to the operation of processes such as deliberate changes in flow rates;
- ◆ records of filter backwashes if initiated manually; and
- ◆ other relevant information relating to the processes at the site.

3.6 | The private water supplier should have a detailed programme for the regular calibration of all dosage systems and monitoring equipment and a system for recording the results of calibration. The private water supplier should have detailed schedules for the maintenance, by its own maintenance staff or by the manufacturers/suppliers, of all key items of process equipment, a system for ensuring that these schedules are met and a system for recording that maintenance has been carried out.

3.7 | The private water supplier should have robust procedures controlling the use of substances (chemicals), products and materials at treatment works including:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ that only products approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate for England and Wales (list of approved products can be found on the DWI website (<http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedProducts.shtm>) (or other equivalent European approval system) are used and any conditions associated with the approval are met;
- ◆ that only products certified by an independent third party (approval body) as manufactured in accordance with the relevant European Standard are used;
- ◆ that the person responsible for purchasing products should take into account the recommendations in the CEN Report “IS CR 14269:2001: Chemicals used for the treatment of water intended for human consumption – guidelines for purchase”;
- ◆ that any contractors are aware of the need to use approved products;
- ◆ that contracts for new treatment works or new equipment at existing treatment works specify that only approved products must be used;
- ◆ maintaining an up to date list of products approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate for England and Wales (or other equivalent European approval system); and
- ◆ acceptance of deliveries to the site, labelling and security of the delivery point and checking the quality of deliveries against the specification.

4. Training of operators

4.1 | All operators should be fully trained in the processes that they are expected to operate. The training should include normal process operation, identification of faults in the process, how to rectify faults and how to react in emergency situations. Each operator should have a copy of the site map, the schematic diagrams of the processes at the works, the works operating manual and the operating instructions for the relevant processes. A supervisor of operators should review each operator’s performance regularly and consider whether training needs to be up-dated. Full records should be kept of operator training including the training courses attended, the processes they are trained to operate and the dates of the training and any refresher training.

4.2 | All operators, maintenance staff and samplers (and any contractors and sub-contractors) working at the treatment works where they could come into contact with partially or fully treated drinking water or come into contact with equipment

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

that is in contact with drinking water, should have been **fully trained in hygienic practices** commensurate with their duties. Where appropriate, this training should include the actions required if one of these personnel has an illness (for example gastroenteritis or Hepatitis A) that could pose a risk of contamination of the drinking water supply or spread of the illness to other personnel. In the UK there is a national water hygiene training scheme that all operators and contractors are required to pass to obtain the “National Water Hygiene Card” before they can work on a water treatment works (operation, repair and maintenance). This scheme consists of completing a health questionnaire, receiving comprehensive water hygiene training and successfully passing a multi-choice test paper. The scheme is operated by Energy and Utility Skills Register (EUSR) on behalf of the UK water industry (<http://www.eusr.co.uk/eusr/the-eusr-card/the-national-water-hygiene-card>). The EPA recommends that WSAs develop, through the Water Services Training Group (WSTG), a hygiene training course for operators, contractors and others (such as samplers) working on water treatment works and distribution networks of private water suppliers.

4.3 | The Water Services Training Group (WSTG) has training programmes for water treatment works operators and supervisors and details can be found at <http://www.wsntg.ie/courses/courses.asp?id=all>. These include the following courses relevant to water treatment works operation (other suitable courses may be available from other training providers):

- ◆ Chlorine handling;
- ◆ Filter operations;
- ◆ Fluoridation of water supplies;
- ◆ Water clarification and trihalomethane (THM) removal;
- ◆ Water treatment dealing with problems;
- ◆ Water treatment plant maintenance;
- ◆ Water treatment revision programme;
- ◆ Water treatment programme; and
- ◆ Water conservation

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Use of on-line monitors and control systems

5.1 | Private water suppliers, particularly PrGWS, may use on-line monitors at treatment works to measure raw water quality, to monitor and control individual processes and to monitor the final output of the works. Some examples of important on-line monitors and control systems are:

- ◆ continuous monitoring of turbidity and conductivity in the raw water to provide warning of deterioration of raw water quality so that action can be taken, such as to adjust treatment;
- ◆ discrete monitoring of aluminium or streaming current potential to monitor the performance of the coagulation/clarification process, so that adjustments to coagulation conditions (alum dose or pH value) can be made if performance deteriorates;
- ◆ continuous monitoring of turbidity of the filtrate from each individual filter so that attention can be given to filter integrity, operation or backwashing when elevated turbidity is found. If this is not practical, there should be continuous monitoring of the combined filtrate from the filters;
- ◆ continuous monitoring of the pH value of the combined filtrate so that, if necessary an acid or alkali can be added to ensure that the pH value is optimised for effective disinfection; and
- ◆ continuous monitoring of disinfectant residual (usually chlorine), to control the disinfectant dose and to ensure that an appropriate minimum chlorine residual is present in the water entering supply. At some treatment works continuous chlorine monitors are installed at more than one point such as after the chlorine contact tank and in the final water leaving the works after the treated water reservoir.

5.2 | It is important that the monitors and the control systems are properly set up and calibrated with appropriate control limits when controlling the dosage of chemicals, appropriate warning and alarm limits so that they adequately monitor the individual processes to detect deterioration in process performance and appropriate warning and alarm limits to detect deterioration of quality.

5.3 | The private water supplier should have written instructions for the operation of on-line monitors and the associated control systems that include:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ regular calibration of the monitor with an appropriate calibration range and recording of the results of calibration;
- ◆ setting of the control limits and the warning and alarm limits and regular review of those limits;
- ◆ regular testing of the control system to ensure it responds to out of control limits;
- ◆ regular testing of the alarm system to ensure that it is activated when the alarm limit is exceeded;
- ◆ when used to monitor and control key processes, there are back-up facilities in case of failure of the monitor or control system;
- ◆ arrangements to deal with power failures at the works;
- ◆ adequate arrangements for responding to alarms, including automatic cascade systems, including that:
 - ◆ alarms cannot be ignored or switched off or by-passed;
 - key alarms are clearly identified;
 - written procedures exist for responding to alarms and it is clear what each relevant operator is required to do; and
 - records are kept of all alarms and the action taken and the results of that action; and
- ◆ monitors, control systems and telemetry systems are maintained regularly by the private water supplier's staff or the manufacturers/suppliers and all maintenance carried out is recorded.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Appendix 1: Performance Management System for Water Treatment Plant Index

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Purpose of the Performance Management System
- 1.2 Contract between the Employer and the Private Service Provider
- 1.3 New definitions
- 1.4 Structure of the PMS
- 1.5 Nomenclature and conventions
- 1.6 Up-dating the PMS

2. Reporting procedures

- 2.1 Water treatment plant monthly status report
 - 2.2 Why a procedures based approach
 - 2.3 Standards for testing and analysis
 - 2.4 Real time reporting
 - 2.5 Procedures for generating the monthly status report
- List of 20 Reporting Procedures

3. Emergency procedures

- 3.1 Site safety statement
 - 3.2 Categorisation of emergencies
 - 3.3 Interfacing with the local authority's major emergency plan
 - 3.4 Emergency procedures
- List of 7 Emergency Procedures

4. The Liaison Monitoring Committee

- 4.1 Role of the Liaison Monitoring Committee
- 4.2 Composition of the Liaison Monitoring Committee

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

4.3 Frequency of meetings

4.4 Meetings agenda

5. Independent compliance audit

5.1 Introduction

5.2 The objective of the audit

5.3 Frequency of audit service

5.4 The independent compliance audit report

5.5 Selection of the audit service provider

5.6 Initiation of appointment

5.7 Procedures for generating the independent compliance audit report

List of 9 audit procedures

Procurement of audit services brief

Sample advertisement for audit services

6. Public relations

6.1 Public awareness

6.2 Complaints

Appendices

A1 Glossary of terms and abbreviations

A2 Legislation governing operation of water sector facilities

**SECTION 12: DISTRIBUTION NETWORK AND
RELATED MATTERS**



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 12: Distribution network and related matters

Summary of Section 12

- ◆ Describes the importance of quality assurance systems including written procedures and training for all aspects of distribution networks operation.
- ◆ Describes the importance of risk assessment of the distribution network.
- ◆ Sets out some key features of distribution network operation including security and integrity of service reservoirs/water towers, risk assessment of failure/contamination of the network, written procedures for operation of the network including risk assessments and method statements for major changes to network operations, use of approved chemicals and materials and regular maintenance of equipment.
- ◆ Describes the importance of written procedures to avoid contamination when installing new mains, repairing burst mains and re-lining existing mains including references to Codes of Practice for re-lining procedures.
- ◆ Sets out the importance of training of operators and gives some examples of available training courses.

Contents of Section 12

1. Introduction
2. Service reservoirs and water towers
3. Operation and maintenance of the distribution network
4. New mains, repaired mains and re-lined mains
 - 4.1 Introduction
 - 4.2 Installation of new mains
 - 4.3 Repair of burst mains
 - 4.4 Re-lining of existing mains
5. Training of operators

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | The water that leaves treatment works has been treated to remove impurities and to enable the standards and indicator parameter values in part 1 of the schedule to the Regulations to be met. However, it is important that the treated water does not deteriorate significantly within the distribution network before it is supplied to consumers. Water can become contaminated through ingress of environmental water into the distribution network, particularly if there are structural defects in service reservoirs and water towers or low pressures and bursts in the water mains in the network. Contamination can also occur through the use of inappropriate materials in contact with water in the distribution system.

1.2 | Private water suppliers should adopt a Quality Assurance Systems (QAS) approach (such as that advocated by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes, NFGWS) to the management, operation and maintenance of the distribution network. As part of a QAS, private water suppliers should have written operating and maintenance procedures (Standard Operating Procedures – SOPs) for the distribution network that aim to maintain the supply of water to consumers and that minimise the risk of contamination whilst the water is being distributed. The length of time the water is kept in the distribution network should be kept to a minimum taking into account the need to maintain a reserve of water in the network to meet peaks of demand. Managers and operators of private water supplies should be fully trained in each facet of the distribution network that they are expected to manage or operate.

2. Service reservoirs and water towers

2.1 | If a service reservoir or water tower (this includes storage tanks within the distribution network for small private water supplies) is not properly operated and maintained, it can present a serious risk of contamination. The following advice should assist private water supplier to avoid contamination of water in service reservoirs and, where appropriate, in water towers and storage tanks.

The service reservoir (or water tower/storage tank) site should be:

- ◆ secure from unauthorised access and ideally with an appropriate alarm system;
- ◆ as secure as possible from livestock (fenced) and other animals;
- ◆ secure from water run-off from the surrounding land; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ Secure from damage from nearby trees or other large plants.

2.2 | The structure of the service reservoir (or water tower/storage tank) should be kept in a good condition in particular there should be:

- ◆ no cracks in the floor, walls or the roof;
- ◆ adequate drainage for the roof with no place that external water could infiltrate into the reservoir, tower or tank;
- ◆ no signs of animal activity on the roof, covers on access hatches and other exposed parts of the reservoir, tower or tank;
- ◆ good seals on all access hatches and all holes in the structure for pipes, cables, sampling lines etc; and
- ◆ suitable mesh covers on all vents to prevent ingress of birds and small animals.

2.3 | The operating procedures for the service reservoir/water tower/storage tank, in particular for a reservoir/tower/tank with more than one compartment, should ensure that there is no accumulation of stagnant water and that the water is turned over as quickly as possible consistent with maintaining adequate reserves of water. It is equally important to ensure that the service reservoir/tower/tank does not run dry because, in addition to there being no supply of water, when the water supply is restored there are likely to be water quality problems through disturbance of deposits in the reservoir and downstream pipe work. The operating procedures should cover the setting of level probes and maintenance of probes including testing to make sure they are working properly.

2.4 | The private water supplier's procedures should include regular external inspection of the service reservoir/water tower/storage tank structure and the surrounding site. They should also include less frequent but regular internal inspection of the structure of the reservoir/tower/tank when the opportunity should be taken to remove any accumulated debris and to clean and disinfect the internal surfaces. Records of these inspections should be kept. Any defects identified during inspections should be rectified as quickly as possible and records of these actions kept.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3. Operation and maintenance of the distribution network

3.1 | The private water supplier should have a **detailed map and schematic diagram** of the distribution network showing the location of each service reservoir, water tower and storage tank, each break pressure tank, all distribution mains, all valves including pressure reducing valves and all hydrants and washouts. Increasingly water suppliers are using GIS systems to record their distribution networks. It is also vitally important that private water suppliers know, and keep accurate records of, the status of all valves (closed, open, partially open), so that when changes need to be made to the operation of the network, the water travels in the direction intended.

3.2 | The private water supplier, particularly a Public Group Water Scheme (PuGWS) or Private Group Water Scheme (PrGWS) should carry out a **risk assessment of the distribution network** as part of a drinking water safety plan (DWSP) to determine whether there are any risks in the networks that are not controlled adequately and if there are to take appropriate action to reduce the risks. This risk assessment should include consideration of, for example:

- ◆ the security and integrity of service reservoirs and water towers;
- ◆ the length of time water remains in the service reservoirs/water towers and the network – time should be minimised to avoid deterioration of quality and “stale” water, but consistent with maintaining adequate supplies;
- ◆ what might happen if it is necessary to alter the distribution of water in the network, for example flow increases or flow reversals resulting in disturbance of any deposits in the networks causing discoloured water to be supplied to consumers;
- ◆ potential back-siphonage of contaminated water into the distribution network from industrial, commercial and domestic premises; and
- ◆ unauthorised or improper use of hydrants and washouts resulting in opportunities for direct contamination through the open hydrant or washout or indirect contamination through reduction in pressure in the network.

3.3 | Distribution networks inevitably contain some deposits arising from inadequate treatment in the past and from corrosion of the materials of the distribution network. These deposits tend to accumulate in low flow parts of the distribution network. If

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

these deposits are disturbed consumers could be supplied with discoloured water containing particulate matter. Private water suppliers should have written procedures for the operation of the network that minimise the risk of disturbance of these deposits. Before a private water supplier makes any significant change to the operation of the distribution network (for many small private supplies there will be only one way to operate the network), it should carry out a **risk assessment** of the consequences of making that change on drinking water quality, particularly discoloured water. The risk assessment should lead to a **method statement** (for example the order of opening and closing valves and the rate at which they are opened and closed) in order to minimise any risk. These operational procedures should include for example:

- ◆ the way in which a new or stand-by treatment works is brought into supply to avoid surges in flow in distribution (increase the flow from the works slowly);
- ◆ the way in which the distribution network is managed to satisfy changes in demand to avoid sudden increases in flow and to avoid as far as possible flow reversals (moving of water from one area of the network to another by careful shutting and opening of appropriate valves);
- ◆ the way in which the distribution system is managed when it is necessary to change its operation to deal with for example internal inspection and repair of a service reservoir/water tower/storage tank, repair of burst mains and mains rehabilitation so as to avoid sudden increases in flow and to avoid as far as possible flow reversals; and
- ◆ maintaining adequate pressure within the system to avoid ingress of environmental water surrounding the water mains.

3.4 | Deterioration of water quality during distribution can also occur if the water remains in the distribution network for an excessive period of time. Typically the water can develop an offensive taste or odour when it becomes stale and has been in contact for a long time with the materials of the distribution system, any biofilms on the internal surfaces of the system and any deposits in the system. The private water supplier's procedures should include managing the distribution network in a way that minimises the time water is resident within the network or sections of the network.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

3.5 | Automatic continuous chlorine monitors installed at the outlet of the service reservoir/water tower/tank and/or appropriate points in the distribution network with appropriate low chlorine warning and alarm limits can provide a useful guide on whether contamination may have entered the system.

3.6 | The procedures should also specify that only materials of construction that have been approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (list of approved products can be found on the DWI website (<http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedProducts.shtm>) (or equivalent approval system) should be used within the distribution network in contact with water and any conditions associated with the approval are met.

3.7 | The private water supplier should have procedures for the inspection of distribution networks (opening of hydrants to observe any deposits, observing the condition of mains when repairing bursts etc) as part of its criteria for deciding when maintenance is needed. Other criteria for determining when maintenance is needed should include the frequency of burst mains and the frequency of consumer complaints about drinking water quality, small animals in the water (ascellus, gammarus, chironamids, worms etc) or low water pressure. The private water supplier should have a programme of routine flushing of the network through wash-outs that concentrates on those parts of the network where deposits are known to accumulate. For those parts of the distribution network where there are regular difficulties that cannot be adequately controlled by flushing, the private water supplier will need a mains rehabilitation programme. This programme could include mechanical cleaning of mains, re-lining of mains and replacement of mains. Advice on the precautions to be taken when carrying out such programmes is given in sub-section 4 below.

4. New mains, repaired mains and re-lined mains

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 | Whenever work is carried out on the distribution network that involves opening a main there is a risk of contamination during the process that could result in contamination of the water supply when the main is returned to service. Indeed many drinking water contamination incidents have been caused by water suppliers or their

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

contractors failing to follow good practice and take adequate precautions during such work to minimise the risk. Such work could involve the installation of a new main, the repair of a burst in an existing main and the cleaning and re-lining of an existing main.

4.1.2 | It is recommended that the private water supplier has written procedures and instructions for carrying out these processes to ensure that there is no contamination during them. These procedures should include checking that the operators or contractors carrying out the work have followed the written procedures and instructions and that operators and contractors keep documented records of all actions taken within the distribution network.

4.1.3 | Frequently when these processes are carried out on the distribution network, there will be a need to discharge significant quantities of super-chlorinated water (water with higher than normal levels of chlorine used to disinfect the new, repaired or re-lined mains) or flushing water containing deposits from the cleaning process. Such chlorinated water may kill fish and other life if discharged to a water course and may interfere with the operation of sewage treatment works if discharged to a sewer or surface drain. Super-chlorinated water must not be discharged to a water course or to a surface drain that might lead to a water course without the consent of the local fisheries unit. It may be possible to discharge such water following de-chlorination. Similarly super-chlorinated water must not be discharged to a sewer or a surface drain leading to a sewage treatment works without the consent of the local authority. Flushing water containing deposits may deoxygenate or partially deoxygenate a water course or may interfere with sewage treatment processes and should not be discharged without the consent of the local fisheries unit or the local authority respectively. Any super-chlorinated or flushing water that cannot be discharged at the working site will need to be taken by tanker to a suitable disposal site.

4.2 Installation of new mains

4.2.1 | The following aspects are important for avoiding contamination during the installation and for ensuring that the drinking water quality standards are met once the main is brought into service and they should be included in the private water supplier's procedures:

- ◆ the mains are designed and sized carefully so that:

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- they are large enough to meet the demand for water but not so large as to result in excessive residence time of water in the mains;
- dead ends and water stagnation are avoided, but if this is not possible adequate flushing points are provided;
- drainage of chambers for valves, meters, hydrants etc takes water away from the mains;
- air valves are at the highest point in the relevant parts of the distribution network; and
- the material of main in contact with the water is approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (list of approved products can be found on the DWI website <http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedProducts.shtm>) (or other equivalent European approval system, pending the development of the European Acceptance Scheme) and is used in accordance with any approval conditions;
- ◆ following laying the mains must be cleaned and disinfected. As an example some suitable procedures are:
 - fill the mains with water containing 20 mg/l free chlorine;
 - flush the mains then refill with water containing 20 mg/l free chlorine and leaving in contact for 24 hours; and
 - displacing the chlorinated water with mains water and leaving for a further 24 hours pending the results of microbiological analysis;
- ◆ during and following the cleaning and disinfection process samples are taken and analysed as quickly as possible as follows:
 - free chlorine residuals to ensure that an adequate residual has been maintained throughout;
 - qualitative taste and odour of the displacement water to ensure that nothing offensive has leached before putting the main into supply;
 - visual appearance to check that all samples look satisfactory; and

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- microbiological analysis, particularly coliforms, from a number of points along the mains including the extremities to check that all samples are free from coliforms **before the main is put into supply**;
- ◆ disinfection of the services connections if there is any doubt about their cleanliness;
- ◆ if all tests are satisfactory, careful introduction of the new mains into supply;
- ◆ a nominated person should be responsible for checking that everything has been carried out according to the procedures before giving permission for the new mains to be brought into supply.

4.3 Repair of burst mains

4.3.1 | The following aspects are important for avoiding contamination during the repair and for ensuring that the drinking water quality standards are met once the repaired main is brought back into service (in some circumstances a main can be repaired whilst still in service) and they should be included in the private water supplier's procedures.

4.3.2 | For repairs that involve cutting open the main these should include:

- ◆ that water should be kept out of the trench surrounding the repair; that special precautions are taken should there be any significant risk of pollution from for example sewage because of a nearby sewer;
- ◆ if practical, disinfecting the main in a similar manner to new mains but, for example, with a minimum contact period of 2 hours with 20 mg/l free chlorine or 30 minutes with 50 mg/l chlorine;
- ◆ if the above is not practical, disinfecting all surfaces that will come into contact with the treated water with a solution containing, for example, 1000 mg/l chlorine;
- ◆ after flushing, taking microbiological samples for coliforms;
- ◆ returning the main to service after flushing, provided there is no reason to suspect that contamination has entered the main (no need to wait for the results of microbiological samples);

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ when there is reason to suspect that contamination may have entered the main, keeping the main out of service until the results of microbiological samples are available and are satisfactory;
- ◆ and making a nominated person responsible for checking that everything has been carried out according to the procedures before giving permission for the main to be returned into supply.

4.3.3 | For repairs that involve using a collar and keeping the main in service whilst the repair is made these should include:

- ◆ disinfecting the collar and the area of the fracture with a solution containing, for example, 1000 mg/l free chlorine; and
- ◆ taking a microbiological sample for coliforms to confirm that there was no contamination during the procedure.

4.4 Re-lining of existing mains

4.4.1 | There are a number of generic materials that can be used for re-lining existing mains to prolong their life. The most common ones are polyurethanes, epoxy resins, cement mortar and polyethylene or other type of plastics. The following aspects are important for avoiding contamination during the re-lining operation and for ensuring that the drinking water quality standards are met once the re-lined main is brought back into service and they should be included in the private water supplier's procedures. It is recognised that many private water suppliers are unlikely to use these techniques because it is probably more cost effective and practical to replace relatively short lengths of mains.

4.4.2 | For mains that are re-lined with polyurethane and epoxy resin materials particular care has to be taken to ensure that the components of these relining materials are thoroughly mixed in the correct proportions and that the applied mixture is adequately cured before the main is returned to service. The procedures should include:

- ◆ using only polyurethane and epoxy resin components that have been approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (list of approved products can be found on the DWI website <http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedProducts.shtm>) (or other equivalent European approval system, pending the development of the European Acceptance Scheme) and is used in accordance with any approval conditions;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ using contractors that are competent to carry out the process. The contractors should apply these approved materials in accordance with strict operational requirements such as those documented in the UK Water Industry Information and Guidance Note IGN 4-02-2 “Code of Practice: In-situ resin lining of water mains” (<http://www.wis-ign.org/downloads/IGN%204-02-02.pdf>) and Water Industry Specification WIS 4-02-01 “Operational Requirements: In-situ resin lining of water mains” (<http://www.wis.ign.org/downloads/WIS%204-02-01.pdf>);
- ◆ that a nominated person in the private water supplier is responsible for supervising the contractor, checking that all procedures have been followed satisfactorily and giving permission for the re-lined main to be returned to supply.

4.4.3 | Generally cement mortar re-lining is suitable for large diameter mains because the residence time of the water is very short and there is unlikely to be significant leaching of the components of the cement. However, cement mortar re-lining is not suitable generally for other sizes of mains when the water to be supplied through the mains is soft, with say an alkalinity less than about 50 mg/l as calcium carbonate, because components of the cement are likely to be leached out to some extent causing high pH values. Cement mortar re-lining is suitable generally for other sizes of mains when the water is hard above about 50 mg/l as calcium carbonate. Particular care also has to be taken to ensure that the cement mortar is applied and cured properly before the main is returned to service. It is not possible to be specific about the sizes of mains because the suitability of a cement mortar product will depend primarily on the composition of the cement mortar, the size of the main and the alkalinity of the water. The procedures should include:

- ◆ using only cement mortar products that have been approved by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (list of approved products can be found on the DWI website <http://dwi.gov.uk/31/approvedProducts.shtm>) (or other equivalent European approval system, pending the development of the European Acceptance Scheme) and is used in accordance with any approval conditions;
- ◆ using contractors that are competent to carry out the process. The contractors should apply these approved materials in accordance with strict operational requirements such as those documented in the Water Research Centre publication “In-situ cement mortar lining-Operational Guidelines and Codes of Practice”, Water Research Centre plc, 1990 (ISBN 0902156 84 5).

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

5. Training of operators

5.1 | All operators should be trained in the processes that they are expected to operate within the distribution network. The training should include normal operation, identification of faults, how to rectify faults and how to react in incident and emergency situations. The operator should have a copy of the relevant distribution map showing the position of service reservoirs, water towers, distribution mains and all valves, hydrants and other equipment. The operator should have operating instructions for the relevant parts of the distribution system. A supervisor of the operator should review the operator's performance regularly and consider whether training needs to be up-dated. Records should be kept of operator training.

5.2 | All operators, maintenance staff and samplers (and any contractors and sub-contractors) working on the distribution network (including service reservoirs and water towers) where they could come into contact treated drinking water or come into contact with equipment that is in contact with drinking water, should have been **fully trained in hygienic practices** commensurate with their duties. Where appropriate, this training should include the actions required if one of these personnel has an illness (for example gastroenteritis or Hepatitis A) that could pose a risk of contamination of the drinking water supply or spread of the illness to other personnel. In the UK there is a national water hygiene training scheme that all operators and contractors are required to pass to obtain the "National Water Hygiene Card" before they can work on the distribution network. This scheme consists of completing a health questionnaire, receiving comprehensive water hygiene training and successfully passing a multi-choice test paper. The scheme is operated by Energy and Utility Skills Register (EUSR) on behalf of the UK water industry (<http://www.eusr.co.uk/eusr/the-eusr-card/the-national-water-hygiene-card>). The EPA recommends that WSAs develop, through the Water Services Training Group (WSTG), a hygiene training course for operators, contractors and others (such as samplers) working on water treatment works and distribution networks of private water suppliers.

5.3 | The Water Services Training Group (WSTG) has training programmes for distribution network operators and supervisors and details can be found at <http://www.wsntg.ie/courses/courses.asp?id=all>. These include the following courses relevant to distribution operation (other suitable courses may be available from other training providers):

- ◆ Distribution system;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ Leakage control;
- ◆ Location underground services; and
- ◆ Safety at excavation.

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

SECTION 13: AUDIT OF PRIVATE WATER SUPPLIERS BY THE WSAS



Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

Section 13: Audit of WSAs by the EPA

Summary of Section 13

- ◆ Describes the regulatory requirement for each Water Services authority (WSA) to audit private water supplies to verify that private water suppliers are complying with the regulatory requirements.
- ◆ Sets out the risk based approach that the Environment Protection Agency (the EPA) requires WSAs to use to formulate their annual plan for audit of private water supplies.
- ◆ Describes the areas of the private water supplier's operations that could be included in an audit.
- ◆ Sets out what may be included in the WSA's reports on audits and the timetable for issue of reports and for private water suppliers to reply to reports.

Contents of Section 13

1. Introduction
2. Audit requirements
3. Format of audits
4. Reports on audits

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

1. Introduction

1.1 | Regulation 17 of the regulations requires each **supervising authority** to undertake an **audit** of water supplies for which it has supervisory responsibility to ensure that the provisions of the regulations are met by the relevant water supplier. This means that in respect of private water supplies each Water Services Authority (WSA), as the supervisory authority, is required to audit the performance of private water suppliers within its functional area to verify that they are complying with the regulatory requirements. This section sets out the Environment Protection Agency's **(the EPA's) binding guidance** to WSAs on the content and frequency of the audits of private water supplies.

2. Audit requirements

2.1 | Each WSA is required to prepare an annual audit plan. The EPA required the first audit plan to be prepared by 31 January 2009 and for each WSA to adopt a **risk based approach**. Thus the first plan was required to include as a minimum all private water supplies that:

- ◆ have water restrictions (advice to boil water, advice not to drink water etc) in place; or
- ◆ have persistent non-compliance with the health based standards in tables A and B of part 1 of the schedule to the regulations, particularly in respect of the microbiological standards (more than one *E coli* non-compliance over the previous two years); or;
- ◆ have no treatment; or
- ◆ have treatment plants that are over-loaded; or
- ◆ have been categorised as high or very high risk in relation to *Cryptosporidium*.

2.2 | Subsequent annual audit plans should be based on similar risk criteria. Some private water supplies may need annual audits until such time as appropriate actions have been taken and completed to ensure the safety and security of the supplies. The effect of this risk based approach to audits will be that those private water suppliers that are performing relatively poorly in respect of drinking water quality will have a

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

greater frequency of audit and those that are performing better will have a lesser frequency of audit. Each WSA must audit each Public Group Water Scheme and each Private Group Water Scheme at least once in every 5 year period.

2.3 | Each WSA should make provision in its annual plan for unscheduled audits in response to significant notifications by private water suppliers of incidents affecting private water supplies.

3. Format of audits

3.1 | The format of the audit will depend on the individual supply and the reason for carrying out the audit. The following areas are likely to be included in audits:

- ◆ identification of the risks and measures for the protection of the raw water source;
- ◆ protection of the abstraction point of the raw water source;
- ◆ quality of the raw water;
- ◆ suitability of the treatment processes for the raw water quality;
- ◆ *Cryptosporidium* risk screening and appropriate barriers for the level of risk;
- ◆ throughput of works compared to the safe operating/design capacity of the works;
- ◆ operation of treatment processes such as coagulation, clarification, filtration and disinfection with particular attention to operational monitoring and control;
- ◆ condition of treatment systems including maintenance;
- ◆ review of chemicals used to ensure they are suitable for drinking water treatment and are delivered, stored and used appropriately;
- ◆ review of the efficiency of the disinfection process and adherence to the operating criteria set out in sub-section 5 of section 6 of this handbook;
- ◆ corrective action procedures when there is a failure to meet a standard or other regulatory requirements;
- ◆ review of operational monitoring results and record keeping;

Issue No:	1
Date:	12 April 2010

- ◆ operation and maintenance of the distribution network;
- ◆ progress in developing and implementing Drinking Water Safety Plans (DWSPs);
- ◆ unaccounted for water levels (leakage etc); and
- ◆ any other matter considered necessary by the WSA, such as handling of consumers' complaints and incident and emergencies procedures.

4. Reports on audits

4.1 | Once it has completed an audit, the WSA should hold a short debriefing meeting with the private water supplier at which it will give the supplier a verbal summary of the main findings of the audit. The WSA will prepare a final report of the audit as soon as possible after the audit. This report generally will set out the purpose of the audit, what was audited, who was present, summary of the main findings/recommendations, description of what was found and observed and recommendations. The WSA sends the final report to the private water supplier and the private water supplier is required to reply within the time frame specified in the final audit report to the recommendations setting out what it has done, or proposes to do, to satisfy those recommendations.