

Site Visit Report

Under the *European Union (Drinking Water) Regulations 2023*, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is the supervisory authority in relation to Uisce Éireann and its role in the provision of public drinking water supplies. This audit was carried out to assess the performance of Uisce Éireann in providing clean and wholesome water to the public water supply named below.

The audit process is a sample of the performance of a water treatment plant and public water supply on a given date.

Water Supply Zone		
Name of Installation	Fanad East (Shannagh)	
Organisation	Uisce Éireann	
Scheme Code	0600PUB1043	
County	Donegal	
Site Visit Reference No.	SV31979	

Report Detail	
Issue Date	18/06/2025
Prepared By	Veronica Boland

Site Visit Detail				
Date Of Inspection	13/05/2025	Announced	Yes	
Time In	11:00	Time Out	13:05	
EPA Inspector(s)	Veronica Bola	Veronica Boland		
additional Visitors				
Company Personnel	Uisce Éireann: Geraldine Friel, John Gallagher, Donegal County Council (working in partnership with Uisce Éireann): John Duffy, James McHugh.			

Summary of Key Findings

1. There is no verified protozoal barrier in place at Shannagh WTP due to the absence of appropriate filter turbidity alarms/inhibits. Alarms set points and inhibits are required in accordance with the log turbidity performance criteria as set out in the *EPA Water Treatment Manual: Filtration* to verify the Cryptosporidium barrier.

> Introduction

The Fanad East Public Water Supply (PWS) is supplied by the Shannagh WTP which produces 604m3/day (EDEN figure). Raw water is abstracted from Lough Shannagh. Treatment processes include pre-treatment pH correction, coagulation, flocculation, clarification, rapid gravity filtration, post-treatment pH correction and chlorination. The treated water goes to Ballincrick reservoir with a treated water storage capacity of 230m3.

The audit was undertaken to assess Uisce Éireann's performance in producing clean and wholesome water with a focus on Protozoal Barriers.

Supply Zones Areas Inspected

The site areas inspected during the audit included the:

- Raw water abstraction point.
- · WTP treatment processes.

1. Protozoal Barriers Audits 2025

		Answer	
1.1	Has UÉ identified the protozoal compliance log treatment requirement for the water treatment plant?	Yes	
	Comment		
	1 A source and conitary our roy was corried out, and a protographic 2 requires	ant in agaigned	

 A source and sanitary survey was carried out, and a protozoal log 3 requirement is assigned to Shannagh WTP.

		Answer
1.2	Is the log treatment requirement achieved at the water treatment plant?	No

Comment

 There is no turbidity monitor with alarms and inhibits for the combined filtered water at the WTP and therefore the plant is not being operated in accordance with the log credit performance approach.

		Answer
1.3	Did UÉ confirm whether Cryptosporidium monitoring under the Rationale for Determining the Frequency of Cryptosporidium in Public Water Supplies is being carried out?	Yes

Comment

1. Uisce Éireann commenced Cryptosporidium monitoring at Shannagh WTP in April 2025.

		Answer	
1.4	Are online monitors operational?	No	
1.4	Are online monitors operational?	No	

Comment

1. At the audit the raw water turbidity monitor displayed readings of 0.000 NTU and 0.002 NTU. Staff advised that the monitor is not giving a correct reading as it is old and that there is a long distance between the location of the sample pot and the raw water monitor.

		Answer
1.5	Are the filters designed and managed in accordance with EPA guidance?	No
	Comment	

- 1. There are two circular dual media rapid gravity filters (RGF) at the Shannagh WTP. Both filters media was refurbished in 2023, and have a filter media depth of 1,440mm (carbon 660mm and sand 780mm), which meets the recommended minimum requirement of 1,000mm as per the *EPA Treatment Manual: Filtration*.
- 2. The WTP is old and the RGFs had been cracking due to their age and corrosion. Uisce Éireann representatives advised that in 2023 the filter shells were wrapped in fibreglass to prevent further deterioration of the RGF shells, and there is a plan to potentially upgrade the whole treatment plant but the plan is only at the scoping of ideas stage.

		Answer
1.6	Does continuous turbidity monitoring indicate that the filters are operating effectively?	Yes

Comment

- 1. At the audit, the settled water turbidity, post clarification and pre the RGF was 0.229 NTU. The RGF turbidity readings were: RGF 1 was 0.05 NTU, RGF 2 was 0.09 NTU, and final water turbidity was 0.015 NTU, indicating that the filters were operating effectively at removing turbidity.
- Turbidity trends reviewed at the audit indicate that final water turbidity is consistently below 0.1 NTU.

		Answer
1.7	Are coagulant residual monitoring results compliant in final water?	Yes

Comment

- 1. At the audit, the coagulant residual (aluminium) results in the final water were reviewed and were compliant.
- 2. The aluminium residual monitoring is undertaken manually approximately 3 times a week. Aluminium residual monitoring should be undertaken on a daily basis.

		Answer
1.8	Are alarms and inhibits on each filter, on the combined filtered water and final water in accordance with the EPA Filtration Manual?	No

Comment

- 1. There is no turbidity monitor with associated alarms and inhibits in place for the combined filtered water
- 2. There is automatic backwashing of the RGFs triggered by headloss only. The *EPA Treatment Manual: Filtration* recommends that filter backwash is triggered based on filter turbidity, time and headloss.
- 3. Uisce Éireann advised that an Alarm and Inhibit review was carried out at the end of 2024 for Shannagh WTP. One of the recommendations related to the requirement for an alarm and inhibit linked to filter turbidity which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2025.
- 4. There is no run to waste or slow start following backwash at the Shannagh WTP.
- The final water turbidity has a plant alarm inhibit setpoint of 0.5 NTU with a time delay of 15
 minutes. This time delay may be too long, and is more aligned with an upper operational alarm
 limit time delay.

Subject	Fanad East PWS (Shannagh WTP) - Audit 20 (Protozoal Barriers) Recommendations	Due Date	18/07/2025		
Action Text	Uisce Éireann is responsible for ensuring a and should implement the following recom				
	 Inform the HSE that the Cryptosporidiu turbidity alarms and shutdowns have be combined filtered water as outlined in the combined filtered water as outlined in the supply for Comparing of the supply for Comparing of Cryptospority protozoal barrier is in place at the treatment requirement. (i) Install appropriate turbidity alarm set install a turbidity monitor on the combines settings and inhibits, to ensure the 0.3 and to verify that the plant operates in a in the EPA Water Treatment Manual: For Investigate the feasibility of installing as 5. Progress the scoping of the proposed Scope EPA of the proposed works. Investigate the feasility of replacing the monitor or the raw water sample pot, and Carry out aluminium residual monitoring. Update EDEN with the correct supply we pws, taking into account increase in personal combines. 	een put in place on the EPA Water Treatmery ryptosporidum in accordium in Public Water Senent plant that is reflectings and inhibits for the diltered water with a NTU Cryptosporidum be accordance with the logilitration. Shannagh WTP upgraderaw water monitor and provide details to the gon a daily basis and solume and population.	individual filters and ant Manual: Filtration. Indance with the Irish Water Supplies until a verified etive of the log treatment are individual filters and (ii) appropriate turbidity alarm parrier is not compromised greedit approach as set out exwash. He and provide details to the differ relocating the raw water the EPA. In record the results. In served in the Fanad East		
	Actions required by Uisce Éireann				
	During the audit, Uisce Éireann representative must be taken by Uisce Éireann to address the		audit findings and that action		
	Uisce Éireann should submit a report to the El taken and planned, with timescales, to close of				
	The EPA advises that the findings and recomme relevant, be addressed at other public water s		udit report should, where		