

# **Site Visit Report**

Under the *European Union (Drinking Water) Regulations 2023*, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is the supervisory authority in relation to Uisce Éireann and its role in the provision of public drinking water supplies. This audit was carried out to assess the performance of Uisce Éireann in providing clean and wholesome water to the public water supply named below.

The audit process is a sample of the performance of a water treatment plant and public water supply on a given date.

Water Supply Zone			
Name of Installation	Durrow 1 PWS		
Organisation	Uisce Éireann		
Scheme Code	1600PUB1002		
County	Laois		
Site Visit Reference No.	SV30555		

Report Detail	
Issue Date	11/11/2024
Prepared By	Derval Devaney

Site Visit Detail				
Date Of Inspection	18/10/2024	Announced	Yes	
Time In	11:30	Time Out	13:50	
EPA Inspector(s) Derval Devaney				
Additional Visitors				
Company Personnel	Uisce Éireann (UÉ): Linda Doran, Paul Cahill. Laois County Council (working in partnership with UÉ): Francis Hegarty, Larry Gittens, Conor Ryle, Tom O'Carroll.			

## **Summary of Key Findings**

- 1. Drums of sodium hypochlorite disinfectant were not appropriately bunded.
- 2. The time delays on the alarm and plant inhibit settings were not in line with EPA guidance and UÉ's specification. UÉ could not provide the alarm settings for the nitrate raw water monitor.
- 3. The nitrate raw water trend is not displayed at the water treatment plant and historic trends could not be reviewed during the audit.
- 4. There is no online chlorine monitor on the outlet of the treated water reservoir to verify that the target chlorine is being met to ensure at least 0.1 mg/l residual chlorine is being maintained in the network at all times.

# Introduction

Durrow 1 Public Water Supply (PWS) produces approximately 19 m3/hour serving a population of 546. Raw water is abstracted from a borehole next to the water treatment plant. Treatment consists of primary disinfection using ultraviolet (UV) treatment and secondary disinfection by chlorinating the supply with sodium hypochlorite.

The audit was undertaken to assess Uisce Éireann's performance in producing clean and wholesome water with a focus on verifying that recommendations from previous EPA files relating to the supply have been addressed, that appropriate alarms and inhibits are in place at the water treatment plant (WTP), and procedures are in place to ensure appropriate oversight of treatment processes.

## >

## Supply Zones Areas Inspected

The borehole source ("Durrow Presentation Convent Well") and treatment processes and chemicals stored at the water treatment plant were inspected. The treated water storage reservoir located off-site was not inspected.



# 1.1 Is the abstraction source(s) adequately protected against contamination? Yes

#### Comment

1. UÉ does not have a raw water monitoring programme in place for the groundwater source: "Durrow Presentation Convent Well".

Answer

- However the EPA monitors the source three times annually. All available groundwater monitoring
  results for the period 1990 to 2022 are currently available for download from the EPA Geoportal:
  https://gis.epa.ie/GetData/Download. The EPA upload results once they are validated.
- 3. UÉ completed a source and sanitary survey for the source and assigned a 3 log protozoal treatment requirement for the water treatment plant (WTP). UÉ confirmed during the audit that the UV treatment at the WTP provides 3 log protozoal treatment.
- 4. The Water Supplier stated that landowners were written out to in the past in relation to their obligations under the European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2022 as amended but could not demonstrate when this was done last.



## 2. Treatment Process Chemicals

		Answer
2.1	Are treatment process chemicals appropriately managed and stored?	No

## Comment

1. Five drums of sodium hypochlorite 10% used for secondary disinfection at the WTP were stored on a bund of insufficient capacity to contain the chemical should there be a leak or spill.



## 3. Management and Control

3.1	Is the water treatment plant resilient enough to cope with significant variations in raw water quality or demand?	Yes

**Answer** 

### Comment

- 1. To improve the water treatment plant's resilience, UÉ stated it is making further improvements to the raw water pumping regime (i.e. it is to implement continuous operation of the raw water pumps which will trigger them to automatically respond to treated water reservoir levels).
- This will ensure a more continuous operation of the treatment systems on-site; such as the UV reactors and avoid frequent stop/start process situations which can be disruptive to treatment processes.

## 4. Alarms, Inhibits & Oversight Audits 2024

		Allswei
4.1	Is suitable continuous monitoring in place to verify treatment performance?	No

### Comment

1. There is no online chlorine residual monitor at the outlet of the treated water reservoir (with approximately 12 hours storage) to ensure the target chlorine concentration is being met to ensure there is 0.1 mg/l residual chlorine in the network at all times.

Anguer

		Answer
4.2	Is continuous monitoring located appropriately to verify treatment performance?	No

### Comment

1. See Q 4.1 regarding the lack of an online continuous chlorine monitor on the outlet of the treated water storage reservoir to verify secondary chlorination concentrations are adequate leaving the reservoir.

		Answer
4.3	Were online monitors operational?	Yes

#### Comment

- 1. There were two online turbidity monitors on the raw water, both displayed NTU readings but one monitor's calibration sticker stated it had failed calibration.
- 2. UÉ explained that the failed turbidity monitor was due to be decommissioned, as it was replaced by a new monitor which was within calibration and reading 0.025 NTU on the day of the audit.

		Answer	
4.4	Are suitable alarm settings in place to alert operators to deteriorating water quality or the failure of a critical treatment process?	No	
	Comment		

- 1. The UV duty and standby unit (2 No. T860F UV Reactors) at Castle Durrow WTP provides primary disinfection followed by chlorination (10% sodium hypochlorite) to provide secondary disinfection.
- 2. The UV reactor's validation certificate requires UVT > 74.1 %, a minimum UVI of 68.8 W/m2 (6.88 mW/cm2) and a maximum flow of 19.9 m3/hr to achieve a UV dose of 40 mJ/cm2.
- 3. There is a 6 minute time delay on the UVT monitor alarm and plant inhibit, this does not meet the 3 minute time delay as set out in Uisce Éireann Disinfection: Ultraviolet Irradiation Document No. TEC-900-05-03.
- 4. There is a 6 minute time delay on the turbidity monitor alarm and plant inhibit, this does not meet the 3 minute time delay as set out in Uisce Éireann Disinfection: Ultraviolet Irradiation Document No. TEC-900-05-03.
- 5. There is a 15 minute time delay on the flow monitor alarm and plant inhibit, this does not meet the 3 minute time delay as set out in Uisce Éireann Disinfection: Ultraviolet Irradiation Document No. TEC-900-05-03.
- 6. There is a 24 minute time delay on the chlorine monitor alarm and plant inhibit, this does not meet the 5 minute time delay recommended in the EPA's Water Treatment Manual: Disinfection.
- 7. The Low Low pH alarm was set at 6.2, which is below the statutory parametric value of 6.5. This alarm setting was adjusted by UÉ during the audit to 6.5.
- 8. The continuous nitrate monitor on the raw water is alarmed and has a dial out by text alarm feature, but UÉ could not provide details of the alarm settings.

		Answer
4.5	Are plant performance trends accessible by operational staff at the water treatment plant?	No

### Comment

- 1. The nitrate trend from the online raw water monitor is not available at the water treatment plant but UÉ stated it is available remotely on SCADA.
- 2. The nitrate trends for the raw water source were not available to review during the audit.
- 3. The online monitor read 35.5 mg/l during the audit which was below the drinking water parametric value of 50 mg/l for nitrate.

		Answer
4.6	Is there a documented alarm response procedure?	No

#### Comment

1. There is no site specific procedure for Durrow 1 PWS setting out how alarms are responded to in order to protect water quality and public health.

Subject	Durro	w 1 PV	VS Audit Recommendations	Due Date	11/12/2024
Subject Action Text	Uisce and s 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	EÉIreashould Liaise the re Practi Ensur are re PWS. Put m to brir Decor all modocur place Ensur 100%  i.  ii. iii. iv. ii. iii. gthe a be take	mn is responsible for ensuring a clear implement the following recommends with Laois County Council to ensure the cent past in relation to their obligations for for Protection of Waters) Regulation the the EPA's raw water monitoring resurviewed on a regular basis by UÉ to information to the implementation of the EPA's raw water monitoring resurviewed on a regular basis by UÉ to information the old turbidity monitor and on the critical to the treatment process and chlorine monitor with appropriate alayoir to ensure 0.1 mg/l is being met in the nent daily chlorine monitoring at the resure chemicals are stored in appropriately of the volume of chemicals stored there are the volume of the ensure the EPA Guidance (e.g. the EPUÉ's Disinfection Specification and standard the alarm settings for the nitrated delays;  Ensure there are documented site specification in the ensure training is provided to all relevance the plant operational nitrate monothinues;  Submit monthly nitrate monitoring under the plant operator(s) have accomined by Uisce Éireann representatives were by Uisce Éireann representatives were by Uisce Éireann to address the issuer the plant operator to th	an and wholesome dations without delations without delations without delations without delations without delations and control at the water pump to operate secontrol at the water ensure calibrations when calibrated. The pump to a control at the water ensure calibrations when calibrated arms and plant inhibition the network at all times are control at the water and plant inhibition and control with the network at all times are control at the product of	supply of drinking water ay.  Is have been written to in the Union (Good Agricultural dot)  PWS groundwater source dements for the Durrow 1  It on a continuous basis or treatment plant. Stickers are displayed on the set of the est
Uisce Éireann should submit a report to the EPA on or before the above due date detai actions taken and planned, with timescales, to close out the above recommendations.  The EPA advises that the findings and recommendations from this audit report should, relevant, be addressed at other public water supplies.				ommendations.	