

# Water Abstractions and Associated Impoundments - Methodology to Assess and Identify Significant Abstractions in Ireland



Environmental Protection Agency  
An Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil



# **Water Abstractions and Associated Impoundments - Methodology to Assess and Identify Significant Abstractions in Ireland**

**2025**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**  
**An Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil**

PO Box 3000,  
Johnstown Castle,  
Co. Wexford,  
Ireland

**T** +353 53 916 0600

**F** +353 53 916 0699

**E** [info@epa.ie](mailto:info@epa.ie)

**W** [www.epa.ie](http://www.epa.ie)

**LoCall** 0818 33 55 99



## Disclaimer

Although every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the material contained in this publication, complete accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Neither the Environmental Protection Agency nor the author(s) accepts any responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage occasioned, or claimed to have been occasioned, in part or in full as a consequence of any person acting or refraining from acting, as a result of a matter contained in this publication. All or part of this publication may be reproduced without further permission, provided the source is acknowledged.

## Acknowledgements

This report has been prepared by the EPA following a public consultation. The EPA would like to acknowledge all submissions received during the consultation.

Cover Image: Vartry Reservoir, Roundwood, Co. Wicklow. The image is provided courtesy of Conor Quinlan, EPA.

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	What is a significant abstraction?	6
3	Principles and Hydrological Limits	7
3.1	River waterbody assessment	8
3.2	Lake waterbody assessment	12
3.3	Groundwater body assessment	14
3.3.1	Groundwater body water balance	14
3.3.2	Presence of saline (or other) intrusions	15
3.3.3	Impact on surface waterbodies	15
3.4	Significance assessment for associated impoundments	16
4	Supporting Documents	20

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1</b>	River waterbody assessment process flow diagram	8
<b>Figure 2</b>	River waterbody typologies in Ireland	10
<b>Figure 3</b>	Example of the lakes habitable zone area (illustrative purposes only)	13
<b>Figure 4</b>	Framework for assessing the impact of barriers on river ecosystems (Bergkamp et al., 2000)	18
<b>Figure 5</b>	Conceptual “building block” flow components required to deliver the environmental supporting conditions in rivers (SNIFFER, 2007)	19

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1</b>	Criteria for identifying river types to which the river flow limits apply	9
<b>Table 2</b>	High status environmental objective river flow limits	11
<b>Table 3</b>	Good status environmental objective river flow limits	11
<b>Table 4</b>	Environmental limits for lake water levels	13
<b>Table 5</b>	Alternative lake risk assessment method	14



# 1 Introduction

On 28 August 2024, the Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Act 2022 and associated Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Regulations (S.I. No. 419/2024, S.I. No. 418/2024 - (Licensing Fees)) came into operation with the commencement order S.I. No. 417/2024. The EPA is the competent authority for implementing a licensing regime for water abstractions.

The Act applies a graduated approach to the regulation of abstractions. Any abstraction equal to or greater than 2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day will require an abstraction licence. Any abstraction between 25 m<sup>3</sup>/day and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day will be assessed by the EPA to determine if it is a significant abstraction. If an abstraction is deemed to be significant, an abstraction licence will be required.

This document sets out the methodologies and hydrological limits that will be used by the EPA to assess the impact of abstractions on waterbodies and to determine if abstractions are significant abstractions.

## 2 What is a significant abstraction?

The Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Act 2022 defines a significant abstraction as an abstraction on its own or together (cumulatively) with other abstractions that:

- a. alters, or is likely to alter, the hydrological regime of a body of surface water or a body of groundwater such that the water body fails or is likely to fail to meet its environmental objectives;
- b. alters or modifies, or is likely to alter or modify, the flow condition, continuity or morphological condition of a body of surface water as a result of the existence or operation of an associated impoundment, such that the water body fails or is likely to fail to meet its environmental objectives; or
- c. causes or is likely to cause a protected area to fail to achieve its environmental objectives.

The principles and hydrologic limits which form the basis of the significant abstraction assessment are set out in Section 18 (4) of the Act, and there is a requirement to have specific regard to the following elements:

In the case of an abstraction from a surface waterbody –

- the hydrological regime of the body of surface water and the impact of an abstraction on river continuity and the morphological condition of that water body;
- the impact or likely impact of an abstraction on the applicable biological quality elements set out in Schedule 5 to the [Regulations of 2009](#), as amended;
- the impact or likely impact of the abstraction on a protected area.

In the case of an abstraction from a groundwater body –

- changes or likely changes to the level of the body of groundwater, taking account of the available groundwater resource and the long-term annual average rate of abstraction;
- the balance between the abstraction and the recharge of water in the body of groundwater;
- the impact or likely impact of the abstraction on the status of surface waters which are hydrologically connected to the body of groundwater;
- the impact or likely impact of the abstraction on a terrestrial ecosystem which depends directly on the body of groundwater;
- any sustained alteration to the flow direction of water which may cause salt water or other intrusion to the body of groundwater;
- the impact or likely impact of the abstraction on a protected area.

### 3 Principles and Hydrological Limits

This section provides a summary of the methodologies and hydrological limits that will be used by the EPA to assess the impact of abstractions on waterbodies and to determine if the abstractions are significant abstractions. Depending on the abstraction type, one or more of the following assessments will be undertaken:

- River waterbody assessment.
- Lake waterbody assessment.
- Groundwater body assessment.
- Significance assessment for associated impoundments.

As defined in the Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Act 2022, significant abstraction assessments will only consider abstractions registered on the national water abstraction register and proposed abstractions that meet or exceed the registration threshold (25 m<sup>3</sup>/day).

Wallingford Hydrosolutions developed a water resources model called [Qube](#) that has been used by each of the UK environment agencies to evaluate the impact of abstractions on water resources. The Qube model has been modified for Irish conditions, with the EPAs existing [HydroTool](#) model used for river flow modelling. This enables the EPA to assess the impact of abstractions on surface water bodies in a comparable manner to Northern Ireland. The EPA will use the Qube model to assess the cumulative impact of abstractions on the water environment, taking account of available information on wastewater discharges and any significant transfers of water e.g. from canals to rivers and vice versa. The EPA will use its existing approach (EPA, 2024) to assess the impact of abstractions on groundwater bodies.

To meet the environmental objectives outlined in Section 2, different hydrological limits will apply to water bodies with high status environmental objectives to those with good status environmental objectives. Where a water body's environmental objective is less than good status, an objective of good ecological potential applies. Where good ecological potential applies, and abstractions are significant pressures, hydrological limits and other conditions will be established under the abstraction licence to ensure the good ecological potential objective is met.

The methodologies and hydrological limits for water bodies, set out in this document, are important factors in the protection of associated European sites (designated under the Birds and Habitats Directives). Water abstractions will be identified as a significant abstraction where it is assessed that the abstraction will or is likely to compromise the achievement of the supporting conditions and objectives established for water dependant protected species and natural habitats of European sites.

Where there is evidence that an abstraction between 25 m<sup>3</sup>/day and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day is dependent upon an impounding structure, the abstraction will be identified as a significant abstraction, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

Where an abstraction is identified as being a significant abstraction, the EPA will communicate the reasons for its decision to the abstractor, using the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act. If there is no material reason provided that would alter the EPA's decision, then the abstractor will be asked to apply to the EPA for an abstraction licence.



### 3.1 River waterbody assessment

The river waterbody assessment is used to determine whether adequate flow is being maintained in the waterbody and associated protected areas to support and maintain healthy ecology. These flows are defined within the context of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) as the “hydrological regime consistent with the achievement of the environmental objectives of the WFD in natural surface water bodies as mentioned in Article 4(1)”. Note, European WFD guidance recognizes that the term ‘e-flows’ are sometimes used to describe ecological and environmental flows. Hereafter in this consultation document, e-flow is taken to mean the environmental flow limit(s) that needs to be met for a water body to meet its WFD environmental objectives.

River flows that are lower than Q95 (the flow that is exceeded 95% of the time) are low flows and during low flows the river ecology is most vulnerable. As the flow increases, the water temperature is more stable, and the river has greater capacity to dilute pollutants and is more navigable for fish and other aquatic species. The ecology in small fast flowing (flashy) upland rivers is more susceptible to reduction in flow, e.g., caused by abstractions, than in larger, lowland rivers, or highly groundwater fed rivers.

The river waterbody assessment contains three potential steps, as shown in **Figure 1**. If any of the three steps does not result in failure, the relevant abstraction(s) is not considered a significant abstraction.




Figure 1 River waterbody assessment process flow diagram

## Step 1: Hydrological Limits

The e-flow limits being used in Ireland, are those that were developed by the UK Technical Advisory Group (UKTAG) and are the limits used in Northern Ireland. The e-flow limits are flow duration curve-based limits which allow for a given percentage of the flow to be abstracted at various naturalized<sup>1</sup> flow percentiles.

The specific allowable abstraction is dependent on river typology. The criteria for categorising river type are based on UK research that looked at the impact of abstractions on river and lake ecology (SNIFFER, 2006) and have been adapted for use in Ireland (Bree, 2018). The river typologies are detailed in **Table 1** and are specific to the assessment of abstractions in Ireland. **Figure 2** maps the river water body typologies in Ireland.

**Table 1 Criteria for identifying river types to which the river flow limits apply**

River type	River Type Description
A1	Low rainfall, lowland catchments with moderate groundwater contribution
A2-Downstream	Moderate rainfall, moderate-lowland catchments with moderate-high groundwater contribution
A2-Headwater	Low-moderate rainfall, headwater catchments with moderate-high groundwater contribution
B1	Moderate-high rainfall, moderate-lowland catchments with moderate groundwater contribution
B2	Typology not applicable in Ireland
C1	Typology not applicable in Ireland
C2	High rainfall, moderate-lowland catchments with low-moderate groundwater contribution
D1	Typology not applicable in Ireland
D2	High rainfall, steeply sloping catchments with low-moderate groundwater contribution
Unclassified	Unclassified due to data limitations <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> These are the flows that are expected to naturally exist if there are no artificial impacts on a river.

<sup>2</sup> Groundwater contribution and/or catchment area e.g. cross border water bodies could not be estimated.




Figure 2 River waterbody typologies in Ireland



To determine whether an abstraction, or the cumulative impact of abstractions, are significant abstractions, the river flow limits detailed in Table 2 will be applied to high status environmental objective river waterbodies and the river flow limits detailed in Table 3 will be applied to good status environmental objective river waterbodies.

As an example, Table 3 shows that for an A1 river type (low rainfall, lowland catchment) with a good environmental objective; when the naturalised flow is at Q95-Q99 (low flow), the allowable percentage that can be abstracted is 20%. Between Q70-Q95, the allowable percentage abstraction increases to 25%, and so on.

**Table 2 High status environmental objective river flow limits**

Maximum permitted total abstraction per day as a percentage of daily natural flow (Q)		
River Type	Flow > Q95	Flow < Q95
	(% change allowed from the natural flow)	
All	10	5

**Table 3 Good status environmental objective river flow limits**

Maximum permitted total abstraction per day as a percentage of daily natural flow (Q)				
River Type	Flow > Q60	Flow > Q70	Flow > Q95	Flow < Q95
	(% change allowed from the natural flow)			
A1	35	30	25	20
A2-Downstream, B1	30	25	20	15
A2-Headwater, C2, D2	25	20	15	10

## Step 2: Spatial Assessment

Any potential immediate or localized impact from an abstraction, may be negated by returned water e.g., a discharge, or other natural inflow to a water course. Therefore, to address this, the approach taken in Northern Ireland (UKTAG, 2007) has been adopted; where an abstraction results in the e-flow limit being breached, the spatial extent (length) of the river water body where the breach occurs is calculated. For good status objective rivers, where the breach is less than 15% of the total river waterbody length, the contributing abstractions are not deemed to be significant abstractions with regard to the e-flow. Where a river water body has a high status objective, the breach should not be greater than 5% of the total river water body length.

Where the e-flow standard is breached in greater than 15% (for good status objective rivers) or 5% (for high status objective rivers) of the total river waterbody length, the abstractions contributing to the breach are identified as significant abstractions, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

### Step 3: The 1% Rule

The definition of significant abstraction requires that all water abstractions (in a catchment) contributing to a water body failing or are likely to cause a water body to fail to meet its environmental objectives, are to be identified as a significant abstraction. There may be many registered abstractions located in the catchment area of water bodies failing to meet their environmental objectives, but some of these abstractions may not be causing the waterbody to fail.

Abstractions between 25 m<sup>3</sup>/day and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day will not be identified as a significant abstraction where the individual abstraction volume is less than 1% of the volume of water which can sustainably be abstracted from a river (see **Figure 1**). The remaining abstractions in these catchments will be identified as significant abstractions, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

In accordance with Section 18 (9) of the Act, the EPA will periodically review this approach, taking account of the monitoring data, risk assessment and environmental condition (status) of the water bodies impacted by abstraction pressures. The EPA will issue licences, or amend existing licence conditions, to some or all the other abstractions that are between 25 m<sup>3</sup>/day and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day, where these periodic reviews identify water bodies have not met their environmental objectives because of abstraction pressures.

## 3.2 Lake waterbody assessment

Water abstractions in and/or upstream of a lake waterbody may alter the natural range of water level fluctuations which in turn can impact lake ecosystem dynamics and ecological health. The ecological quality of lakes can be significantly affected by changes to the extent of shallow water through which sunlight can penetrate to the lakebed. Known as the littoral zone, this shallow water habitat is important ecologically, supporting rooted plants (macrophytes) and other biotic species. Lowering the natural water level and thereby increasing the extent of very shallow areas can also exacerbate the erosive effects of wave action which can have an additional effect on biotic species and sediment.

Water clarity (which allows light to penetrate beneath the surface) and lake bathymetry (lake shape and depth) are important when considering the impacts of reduced water levels, e.g., as caused by abstractions.

- Peaty lakes are typically less affected than clear water lakes, where a “Peat” lake is considered to have (i) a mean water colour greater than 90 hazen units; or (ii) where information on colour is unavailable, more than 75% of the soils of its catchment are comprised of peat.
- In deep, steep-sided lakes, the area of the habitable (littoral) zone may be affected less from water level changes than shallow gently sloping lakes. The latter can be significantly impacted by relatively small changes in water level.

The lake water level limits for lakes with high, good, moderate and poor status environmental objectives are detailed in **Table 4**.

**Table 4 Environmental limits for lake water levels**

Reduction in the lake habitable zone area that must not to be exceeded 99% of the days in any year			
High	Good	Moderate	Poor
1%	5%	10%	20%

The habitable zone lake surface is dependent on whether the lake is considered to have the geological sub-type “Peat” or “Non-Peat”.

The habitable zone is the area of the lake that enables the growth of rooted plants (macrophytes) or bottom-living algae. It’s extent is dictated by the availability of light, which allows plant growth. It is the extent of the lake surface area from the natural lake shore<sup>3</sup> to a depth 5 metres beneath the depth at which light can penetrate into the lake. In the absence of field data to the contrary, the depth to light penetration is taken to be 2 metres for lakes with the geological sub-type of “Peat” and 7 metres for “Non-Peat” lakes.

An example of a lake habitable zone area is shown in **Figure 3**.



**Figure 3 Example of the lakes habitable zone area (illustrative purposes only)**

3 The natural lake shore is the lake shore that would exist if there were no abstractions, discharges or other artificial influences.



Where a lake's habitable zone area is reduced (due to abstraction) by more than 1% in high status objective lakes, or 5% in good status objective lakes, for more than 1% of the days in any year, the abstraction(s) is identified as a significant abstraction, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

Where there are insufficient data (e.g., insufficient bathymetry or water clarity data) to complete the lake waterbody assessment using the environmental limits in **Table 4**, the limit in **Table 5** may be used as an alternative approach to assess whether a lake is potentially at risk of over-abstraction.

**Table 5 Alternative lake risk assessment method**

Lake type	% allowable change in natural Q50 lake outflow
All lakes	10

Lake waterbodies are assessed by calculating the ratio of the Q50<sup>4</sup> naturalised river outflow to the total net influence of abstractions and discharges (total abstractions minus total discharges) from within and upstream of the lake. Where the ratio is 0.1 (i.e., 10%) or greater, the contributing abstractions may alter the natural range of water level fluctuations which in turn can impact lake water quality.

Where the ratio is 0.1 or greater, the abstractions contributing to the ratio being exceeded are identified as significant abstractions, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

### 3.3 Groundwater body assessment

Groundwater abstractions, either alone or in combination with other abstractions, can directly impact groundwater resources, leading to an unsustainable lowering of groundwater levels or due to different quality groundwater e.g., saline waters, being introduced. Groundwater abstractions can indirectly impact surface water resources, which may alter the supporting conditions needed to sustain a healthy ecology in surface waters and groundwater dependent wetlands (GWDTE). The approach and hydrological limits used to identify significant groundwater abstractions in Ireland have been in place for several years and are documented in the publication *Methodology for Establishing Groundwater Threshold Values and the Assessment of Chemical and Quantitative Status for Groundwater, including an Assessment of Pollution Trends and Trend Reversal*. This publication was updated in 2024 (EPA, 2024) and is summarized in the following sections.

#### 3.3.1 Groundwater body water balance

Groundwater recharge is water (precipitation) that percolates through the soil and subsoil and replenishes groundwater stored in an aquifer. Where groundwater is being abstracted from a groundwater body via a well or borehole, it is important that the volume of groundwater abstracted over a prolonged period does not exceed the long-term / annual average recharge to the groundwater body. This is to ensure that sufficient groundwater resources remain in the aquifer to support other water uses and the wider environment.

Groundwater levels vary continuously in response to both seasonal fluctuations in recharge and discharge, and in response to groundwater abstractions. However, the presence of a sustained long-term decline in water levels may be a result of over-abstraction. Therefore, long-term water level data are examined in conjunction with the ratio of

4 Q50 refers to the natural flow exceeded for 50 per cent of the time.

abstraction volume to recharge to determine whether an abstraction is having a significant impact on groundwater resources.

Subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act, an abstraction is identified as a significant abstraction where the cumulative volume of water abstracted from the groundwater body is greater than 80% of the long-term average groundwater recharge for that groundwater body.

Subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act, an abstraction is identified as a significant abstraction where there is evidence of falling groundwater levels due to the abstraction(s) and the cumulative volume of water abstracted from the groundwater body is greater than:

- ▶ 5% of the average groundwater recharge of the groundwater bodies supporting a groundwater dependent wetland (GWDTE);
- ▶ 20% of the average groundwater recharge of bedrock groundwater bodies; or
- ▶ 30% of the average groundwater recharge of gravel groundwater bodies (EPA, 2024).

### 3.3.2 Presence of saline (or other) intrusions

Saline (or other) intrusions can occur when the saline-freshwater interface in coastal regions is drawn inland and upwards by an abstraction. Groundwater abstraction can also lead to upward movement (up coning) of poor quality water, the leakage of saline surface waters to an underlying groundwater body, or drawing in of poorer quality groundwater from an adjacent aquifer.

Where groundwater abstraction is causing an exceedance of the groundwater threshold value and has caused statistically significant upward trends in both Electrical Conductivity and Chloride concentrations in groundwater, the abstraction is identified as a significant abstraction, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

### 3.3.3 Impact on surface waterbodies

Streamflow depletion is the reduction in streamflow that occurs when groundwater baseflow to streams is intercepted by groundwater abstractions. In some cases, where wells are hydrologically connected to streams, groundwater abstractions can draw water from the stream itself.

The potential effects of streamflow depletion are mainly determined by groundwater abstraction rates, distances from streams and the hydrogeological connectivity between the groundwater flow system and the streams. In principle, streamflow depletion will be greater where abstraction rates are higher and groundwater-surface interactions are more significant. Distance is not a primary criterion, as pumping far away can also have significant, if more delayed, effects.

A risk-based approach can be used to determine the impact of groundwater abstractions on rivers and to identify abstractions that may be depleting river flows.

Streamflow depletion modelling (Moe, 2024) indicates that groundwater abstractions will not pose a risk to achieving the environmental objectives of an associated surface water body, where:

- The groundwater abstraction is less than 100 m<sup>3</sup>/day (as a daily maximum) and is abstracting from a poorly productive aquifer and the abstraction is situated in either i) a predominantly Low groundwater vulnerability setting, or ii) a moderate groundwater vulnerability setting where subsoil permeability (as mapped by Geological Survey Ireland) is Low.
- The groundwater abstraction is less than 250 m<sup>3</sup>/day (as a daily maximum), abstracting from a locally important aquifer or regionally important aquifer and is greater than 150 m from streams, irrespective of groundwater vulnerability setting.
- The groundwater abstraction is less than 250 m<sup>3</sup>/day and is abstracting from a karst aquifer where the karst features have been extensively mapped<sup>5</sup>, provided the abstraction is not within the estimated zone of contribution of a downstream/downgradient spring or stream.

For each of the scenarios above the groundwater abstraction will not be identified as a significant abstraction.

For all remaining groundwater abstractions between 25 and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day, the potential streamflow depletion caused by the abstraction should be calculated to determine if those groundwater abstractions pose a risk to achieving the environmental objectives of an associated surface water body. The volume of water depleted from the river will be a proportion of the total volume abstracted, as dictated by the hydrogeology<sup>6</sup> and distance from the river. Once calculated, the streamflow depletion caused by the groundwater abstraction will be considered as part of the cumulative surface water abstractions outlined in sections 3.1 and 3.2.

Any groundwater abstractions contributing to an e-flow breach are identified as significant abstractions, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

### 3.3.4 Impact on supporting conditions of groundwater dependent terrestrial ecosystems (GWDTEs)

Groundwater dependent terrestrial ecosystems (GWDTEs) are wetlands where the habitats and species are dependent on groundwater levels or flow to maintain the environmental supporting conditions that are required to sustain the habitat and/or species. The GWDTEs included in the National Protected Areas register, which is established under Regulation 8 of S.I. 722 of 2003 (as amended), were identified by the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS). These GWDTE were identified by NPWS because the designated flora, fauna or habitat that depend on groundwater are not in a satisfactory condition. In some instances, this is due to a reduction in groundwater quantity (flow or levels), caused by groundwater abstraction.

Groundwater abstractions can reduce or alter the groundwater levels or flow needed to maintain the habitats and species in the GWDTE. As with stream flow depletion, potential effects on the groundwater levels or flow can be dictated by groundwater abstraction rates, distances from the GWDTE and hydrogeological conditions.

A risk-based approach can be used to determine the impact of groundwater abstractions on GWDTE and to identify abstractions that may be reducing the groundwater flow or levels needed to sustain the flora, fauna or habitat. In general, groundwater abstractions will not alter the groundwater levels or flow needed to maintain the habitats and species in the GWDTE (Moe, 2024) where:

- 
- 5 Refer to GSI's karst database or conduct first-hand karst mapping, potentially with dye tracer tests. Drilling records can also be useful indicators of karst features.
- 6 Assessments involving karst must be conducted by suitably qualified and experienced hydrogeologists. In any karst-conduit scenario, the use of simplified analytical solutions is not appropriate.



- The groundwater abstraction is less than 100 m<sup>3</sup>/day (as a daily maximum) and is abstracting from a poorly productive aquifer and the abstraction is situated in either i) a predominantly Low groundwater vulnerability setting, or ii) a Moderate groundwater vulnerability setting where subsoil permeability (as mapped by Geological Survey Ireland) is Low.
- The groundwater abstraction is less than 100 m<sup>3</sup>/day (as a daily maximum), abstracting from a locally important aquifer or regionally important aquifer and is greater than 250 m from the boundary of a GWDTE or habitat with a water dependent qualifying interest, irrespective of aquifer type and vulnerability setting.
- The groundwater abstraction is less than 250 m<sup>3</sup>/day and is abstracting from a karst aquifer where the karst features have been extensively mapped<sup>7</sup>, provided the abstraction is not within the estimated zone of contribution of a downstream/downgradient GWDTE, or habitat with a water dependent qualifying interest.

For each of the scenarios above the groundwater abstraction will not be identified as a significant abstraction, unless there is case specific evidence to the contrary.

For all remaining groundwater abstractions between 25 and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day, the potential reduction in groundwater levels or flows to the GWDTE that are caused by the abstraction should be calculated to determine if those groundwater abstractions pose a risk to achieving the supporting conditions needed to sustain the GWDTEs habitat and/or species.

Any groundwater abstractions that have reduced the groundwater level or flow to a GWDTE, such that the groundwater dependent qualifying interests of the wetland have failed their condition assessment under the Habitats Directive<sup>8</sup> will be identified as significant abstractions, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

### 3.4 Significance assessment for associated impoundments


An “impoundment”, as defined in the Act, means the doing of anything whereby the water level or flow in surface waters or the continuity of the morphological condition of a body of surface water is permanently or temporarily changed by means of a structure, including a dam or weir, situated in the water and built or maintained for the purpose of an abstraction or works situated in the water and carried out for that purpose. An “associated impoundment” is an impoundment on which an abstraction depends and cannot exist without.

Impoundments alter the natural distribution and timing of river flows in natural ecosystems. Impoundments may also act as barriers to the movement of sediment and migration of aquatic species. For example, large dams may prevent the free passage of fish, which may impact on the structure and diversity of fish populations and therefore on fish status. The environmental impacts of impoundments can be considered within a hierarchical framework of interrelated effects. Bergkamp et al. (2000) distinguish first, second and third order effects. In general, the complexity of interacting processes increases from first, to third order impacts, as illustrated in **Figure 4**.

---

7 Refer to GSI's karst database or conduct first-hand karst mapping, potentially with dye tracer tests. Drilling records can also be useful indicators of karst features.

8 The links between water level, flow and ecology are complex and are often wetland specific. Therefore, assessments involving groundwater dependent terrestrial ecosystems should involve consultation with suitably qualified and experienced ecologists.



**Figure 4 Framework for assessing the impact of barriers on river ecosystems<sup>9</sup> (Bergkamp et al., 2000)**

There have been numerous scientific studies across the world that have considered the impact of different barriers (including impoundments) to aquatic species migration (e.g., ONEMA, 2014). The size and nature of an associated impoundment may result in partial or complete environmental impact. The following points outline the key criteria that should be considered in the assessment of associated impoundments.

- water temperature upstream and downstream of the impoundment,
- the flow velocity,
- the difference in water head (depth) upstream and downstream of the impoundment, which is a factor of the impoundment size (height from the base to the crest of the impoundment), plus the available head (depth) of water above the crest of the impoundment,
- the impoundment design (vertical or sloped), and
- design features (e.g., rock ramps, fish pass) that allow aquatic species to navigate the impoundment, or control features that allow sediment release.

Where there is limited or no information on these criteria, there is no appreciable mechanism to risk assess the impacts of the impoundments on the wider environment.


The environmental impact of an associated impoundment will depend on the impoundment design / function itself and the prevailing flow conditions. During low flows, providing that water is flowing over an impoundment, non-leaping species e.g., lamprey, will not be able to overcome an obstacle greater than 10 cm in height. Fish, that are able to leap, can overcome larger obstacles, e.g., trout and salmon can respectively overcome obstacles greater than 25 cm and 50 cm in height.

<sup>9</sup> Thermal disruption is also considered a first-order impact.

Sediment migration downstream depends on the ability of water and sediment to pass over the impoundment. This sediment may pass still naturally during higher flows or may require manual intervention to remove it from behind some impoundments.

As the velocity and water depth vary in response to weather, flow conditions and the influence of the abstraction itself, a site-specific assessment is required by those carrying out the abstraction, to determine if an associated impoundment is causing environmental issues and to identify any measures that can be carried out to mitigate the issues.

Broadly these measures can be described as solutions to allow upstream and downstream passage of fish and other aquatic species at the impounding structure (e.g., a rock ramp or fish pass); flow related solutions to provide enough water to facilitate migration of aquatic species (e.g., spawning flows); and flows that allow the passage of sediment downstream (e.g., flushing flows). The overall flow regime for an associated impoundment may have many components. This may include ensuring the minimum e-flow is maintained at all times, with additional periodic releases of water e.g., to regulate water temperature, or seasonal releases of water to coincide with the migratory species spawning periods in the freshwater catchment (see **Figure 5**).



**Figure 5 Conceptual “building block” flow components required to deliver the environmental supporting conditions in rivers (SNIFFER, 2007)**

Given that bespoke, and often complex, assessments and solutions are required in relation to impoundments, unless the abstractor can demonstrate the environmental impacts of the associated impounding structure have been mitigated, such that the environmental supporting conditions of the water body are being met, all abstractions between 25 and <2,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day that depend on an associated impoundment, will be identified as a significant abstraction, subject to the engagement process set out in Section 18 (6 – 11) of the Act.

## 4 Supporting Documents

Bergkamp, G., McCartney, M., Dugan, P., McNeely, J., Acreman, M. et al. (2000). *Dams, Ecosystem Functions and Environmental Restoration*. Final Version, prepared for the World Commission on Dams (WCD)

Bree (2018). *Flow Duration Curves for Ungauged Catchments in Ireland. Annual and Monthly Flow Duration Curves and Mean Flows*. Available at:

<https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/freshwater--marine/FDC-and-Meanflow-for-Ungauged-Catchments-TBree-Final-December2018.pdf>

EPA (2024). *Methodology for Establishing Groundwater Threshold Values and the Assessment of Chemical and Quantitative Status for Groundwater, including an Assessment of Pollution Trends and Trend Reversal*. Version 2. Available at:

<https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/freshwater--marine/methodology-for-establishing-groundwater-threshold-values-the-assessment-of-chemical-and-quantitative-status-for-groundwater-and-groundwater-trends.php>

Moe, H. (2024). *Streamflow Depletion - Framework for Technical Assessment*, unpublished draft report prepared for the EPA by MKO, CDM Smith and the Geological Survey of Ireland.

ONEMA (2014). *The ICE protocol for ecological continuity - Assessing the passage of obstacles by fish. Concepts, design and application*. May 2014, 202 p., ISBN print 979-10-91047-29-6, Available at:

<https://professionnels.ofb.fr/en/node/731>

SNIFFER (2006). *Development of Environmental Standards (Water Resources). Stage 3: Environmental Standards*. Project WFD48. 158 p. Available at:

[https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/3287/1/Stage3AcremanWFD48\\_2%5B1%5D.pdf](https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/3287/1/Stage3AcremanWFD48_2%5B1%5D.pdf)

SNIFFER (2007). *Guidance on Environmental Flow Releases from Impoundments to Implement the Water Framework Directive*. Project WFD82. 38 p.

UKTAG (2007). *Recommendations on Surface Water Classification Schemes for the purposes of the Water Framework Directive*. Prepared by the United Kingdom Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive. December 2007. Available at:

[https://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Characterisation%20of%20the%20water%20environment/Recommendations%20on%20surface%20water%20status%20classification\\_Final\\_010609.pdf](https://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Characterisation%20of%20the%20water%20environment/Recommendations%20on%20surface%20water%20status%20classification_Final_010609.pdf)

Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Act 2022 (No. 48 of 2022)

Water Environment (Abstractions and Associated Impoundments) Regulations 2024 (S.I. No. 419 of 2024)



**Headquarters, PO Box 3000  
Johnstown Castle Estate  
County Wexford, Ireland**

Ceanncheathrú, Bosca Poist 3000  
Eastát Chaisleán Bhaile Sheáin  
Contae Loch Garman, Éire

**T +353 53 916 0600  
F +353 53 916 0699**

**Regional Inspectorate  
McCumiskey House, Richview  
Clonskeagh Road, Dublin 14, Ireland**

Cigireacht Réigiúnach, Teach Mhic Chumascaigh  
Dea-Radharc, Bóthar Cluain Sceach  
Baile Átha Cliath 14, Éire

**T +353 1 268 0100  
F +353 1 268 0199**

**Regional Inspectorate  
Inniscarra, County Cork, Ireland**

Cigireacht Réigiúnach, Inis Cara  
Contae Chorcaí, Éire

**T +353 21 487 5540  
F +353 21 487 5545**

**Regional Inspectorate  
John Moore Road, Castlebar  
County Mayo, Ireland**

Cigireacht Réigiúnach, Bóthar Sheán de Mórdha  
Caisleán an Bharraigh, Contae Mhaigh Eo, Éire

**T +353 94 904 8400  
F +353 94 902 1934**

**Regional Inspectorate  
Seville Lodge, Callan Road,  
Kilkenny, Ireland**

Cigireacht Réigiúnach, Lóiste Sevilla,  
Bóthar Challainn, Cill Chainnigh, Éire

**T +353 56 779 6700  
F +353 56 779 6798**

**Regional Inspectorate  
The Glen, Monaghan, Ireland**

Cigireacht Réigiúnach, An Gleann  
Muineachán, Éire

**T +353 47 77600  
F +353 47 84987**

**E [info@epa.ie](mailto:info@epa.ie)  
W [www.epa.ie](http://www.epa.ie)  
Lo Call 0818 33 55 99**